### **SIMULATION AND VALIDATION OF AN EPIDEMIC MODEL FOR WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS**

### **Chandan Kumar Gandhi\* and Abhay Singh**

\*Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, L.N. Mithila University, Darbhanga, Bihar, India. Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, C.M. Science College, L.N. Mithila University, Darbhanga, Bihar, India

### **ABSTRACT**

*This paper presents the simulation and validation of an epidemic model tailored for Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs). The model's performance is evaluated using various simulation tools and environments, providing insights into its effectiveness in managing malware spread and optimizing network performance under different scenarios.*

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) are essential for applications ranging from environmen- tal monitoring to smart infrastructure management. Ensuring these networks' robustness and efficiency against malware and other threats is crucial. This paper presents the vali- dation of a proposed epidemic model designed to enhance the performance and security of WSNs.

### **2 SIMULATION SETUP**

To validate the proposed epidemic model, extensive simulations are performed using multiple tools and environments.

### **2.1 Tools and Environment**

**Simulation Tools**: - **MATLAB/Simulink** for mathematical modeling and initial ver- ification [\[1\].](#page-6-0) - **NS-3 (Network Simulator 3)** for detailed network simulations [\[2\].](#page-6-1) - **OMNeT++** for additional network behavior simulations [\[3\].](#page-6-2)

**Development Environment**: - **MATLAB R2023a** for developing and simulating the mathematical model [\[1\].](#page-6-0) - **NS-3.35** for network simulations [\[2\].](#page-6-1) - **OMNeT++**

**5.6.2** for validation and exploration of different network scenarios [\[3\].](#page-6-2) 

**Hardware and Software Configuration**: - **Processor**: Intel Core i7-12700H - **Memory**: 32 GB RAM - **Operating System**: Ubuntu 22.04 LTS - **Software**: MAT- LAB R2023a, NS-3.35, OMNeT++ 5.6.2

**Network Configuration**: - **Nodes**: 100 - **Deployment Area**: 500m x 500m -

**Communication Range**: 50 meters - **Initial Infected Nodes**: 5 randomly selected

### **2.2 Simulation Parameters**

**Network Topology**: - **Deployment Area**: 500m x 500m - **Node Density**: 100 nodes

- **Communication Range**: 50 meters - **Initial Infected Nodes**: 5

**Communication Patterns**: - **Packet Size**: 128 bytes - **Transmission Frequency**: 1 packet per second - **Routing Protocol**: Ad-hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) [\[5\]](#page-6-3)

**Energy Consumption**: - **Transmission Power**: 0.1 W - **Reception Power**: 0.05 W - **Idle Power**: 0.01 W - **Battery Capacity**: 5000 Joules per node

**Model Parameters**: - **Transmission Rate** (*β*): 0.1 - **Recovery Rate** (*γ*): 0.05 -

**Immunity Loss Rate** (*δ*): 0.01

**Simulation Duration**: - **Total Time**: 1000 seconds - **Time Step**: 1 second

**Metrics Collected**: - **Proportion of Susceptible Nodes** (*S*(*t*)) - **Proportion of Infectious Nodes** (*I*(*t*)) - **Proportion of Recovered Nodes** (*R*(*t*)) - **Energy Consumption** - **Network Lifetime** - **Throughput** - **Latency**

### **3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The simulation results provide insights into the model's effectiveness in managing malware spread and optimizing WSN performance.

#### **3.1 Performance Metrics**

The model's performance is evaluated based on several metrics:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Proportion of Susceptible, Infectious, and Recovered Nodes**: Figures [1,](#page-1-0) [2,](#page-1-0) and [3](#page-2-0) illustrate the dynamics of the network's state over time.





<span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>The initial rapid increase in  $I(t)$  is followed by a decline as nodes recover and move to  $R(t)$ . The decrease in *S*(*t*) reflects the spread of infection.

**Energy Consumption**: Figure [4](#page-2-1) presents the cumulative energy consumption over time.



Energy consumption rises due to transmission, reception, and recovery activities, with high-transmission nodes consuming more energy.

**Network Lifetime**: Network lifetime, shown in Figure [5,](#page-4-0) measures the time until the first node depletes its energy.



The model's management of malware and energy helps extend the network's opera- tional life.

**Throughput and Latency**: Figures [6](#page-5-0) and [7](#page-5-0) show the throughput and latency over time.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

The model maintains higher throughput and lower latency by effectively controlling the infection spread.

### **3.2 Comparison with Theoretical Predictions**

Simulation results are compared with theoretical predictions to validate the model.

**Proportion of Infected Nodes**: Figure [8](#page-5-1) compares the simulated and theoretical proportions of infected nodes.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 8:** Comparison of Proportion of Infectious Nodes: Simulation vs. Theoretical

**Energy Consumption**: Figure [9](#page-5-2) shows the comparison between simulated and the- oretical cumulative energy consumption.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Copyrights @ Roman Science Publications Ins.** Vol. 5 No. S4 (July - Aug 2023)  **International Journal of Applied Engineering & Technology** 

**Equilibrium Analysis**: Figure [10](#page-6-4) compares theoretical and simulated equilibrium proportions of susceptible, infectious, and recovered nodes.<br> **Comparison of Equilibrium Proportions: Simulation vs. Theoretical** 



<span id="page-6-4"></span>**Figure 10:** Comparison of Equilibrium Proportions: Simulation vs. Theoretical

The close alignment between simulated and theoretical values confirms the model's validity.

### **4 SUMMARY**

The proposed epidemic model for WSNs has been validated through comprehensive sim- ulations. Key performance metrics, including the proportions of susceptible, infectious, and recovered nodes, energy consumption, network lifetime, throughput, and latency, have been analyzed. The model's predictions closely match theoretical values, demon- strating its effectiveness in enhancing network performance and resilience.

Future work will explore dynamic topologies, real-world deployments, and additional factors such as node mobility and environmental variations.

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[1] MATLAB and Simulink, "The Language of Technical Computing," MathWorks. Available: [https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html.](https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html)
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[2] Network Simulator 3, "NS-3 Documentation," Available: [https://www.nsnam. org/.](https://www.nsnam.org/)
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[3] OMNeT++, "OMNeT++ Discrete Event Simulator," Available: [https:// omnetpp.org/.](https://omnetpp.org/)
- [4] IEEE 802.15.4, "Part 15.4: Wireless Medium Access Control (MAC) and Phys- ical Layer (PHY) Specifications for Low-Rate Wireless Personal Area Networks (WPANs)," IEEE Standard for Information technology.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[5] C. E. Perkins, E. M. Royer, and S. R. Das, "Ad-hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing," *RFC 3561*, 2001.