SPECIAL OPERATORS AND LEVEL SETS OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY D-IDEALS OF D-SUBALGEBRA

R.G. Keerthana¹ and K.R. Sobha²

¹Research Scholar, Sree Ayyappa College for Women, Chunkankadai, Nagercoil-629001, TamilNadu, India ²Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,Sree Ayyappa College for Women,Chunkankadai, Nagercoil [Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Abishekapatti, Tirunelveli-627012, Tamil Nadu, India.] ¹keerthanarajagopal.rg@gmail.com and ²vijayakumar.sobha9@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we discuss special operators and level sets of intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideals of d-subalgebra. Here we also investigate about some of its properties in detail by using the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideals.

Keywords: d-subalgebra, intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal, homomorphism, complement, necessity operator, possibility operator, level set.

AMS Subject Classification: 94D05,08A72

1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set theory was discovered by Zadeh in 1965 **[8]**. The theory of fuzzy sets actually has been a generalization of the classical theory of sets in the sense that the theory of sets should have been a special case of the theory of fuzzy sets. Fuzzy mathematics is the branch of mathematics. The study of fuzzy subsets and its applications to various mathematical contexts has given rise to what is now commonly called fuzzy mathematics.

Fuzzy set theory was guided by the assumption that the classical sets were not natural appropriate or useful notions in describing the real life problems because every object encountered in the real physical world carries some degree of fuzziness. Hence fuzzy set has become strong area of research in engineering, medical science, graph theory etc.

Fuzzy algebra is an important branch of fuzzy mathematics. J. Negger and H.S.Kim introduced the class dalgebra which is the generalization of BCK-algebras and investigated relation between d-algebras and BCKalgebras [5]. J. Negger, Y. B. Jun and H.S. Kim discussed ideal theory in d-algebra [4]. In 1986 Atanassov introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy set as a generalization of fuzzy set [2]. Y.B. Jun , H.S.Kim and D.S. Yoo introduced the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra [3]. M. Akram and K.H. Dar [1] introduced the concepts of fuzzy d-algebra, fuzzy subalgebra and fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebra.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition: 2.1

A d-algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation * satisfies the following axioms:

i. x * x = 0

ii. 0 * x = 0

iii. x * y = 0 and $y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition: 2.2

A non-empty subset of a d-algebra X is called d-subalgebra of X if $x * y \in X$, for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition : 2.3

A mapping $f : X \to Y$ of d-subalgebra is called homomorphism if

 $f(x * y) = f(x) * y(y), \quad for all x, y \in X.$

Definition: 2.4

For every intuitionistic fuzzy subset A of a set X, the following operators are defined

i) The necessity operator	$\prod A = \{x, \mu_A(x), (\mu_A)^{\mathcal{C}}(x) / x \in X\}$
ii) The possibility operator	$\Omega A = \{x, \vartheta_A(x), (\vartheta_A)^{\mathcal{C}}(x) x \in X\}.$

Definition: 2.5

The complement of μ_A , denoted by $(\mu_A)^c$ is the fuzzy set in X given by $(\mu_A)^c(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x)$.

3. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY D-IDEALS

Definition: 3.1

An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ in X is called intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X if it satisfies the following axioms:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{A}(0) &\geq \mu_{A}(x) \\ \mu_{A}(x) &\geq \min\{\mu_{A}(x * y), \mu_{A}(y)\} \\ \mu_{A}(x * y) &\geq \min\{\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{A}(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \\ \vartheta_{A}(0) &\leq \vartheta_{A}(x) \\ \vartheta_{A}(x) &\leq \max\{\vartheta_{A}(x * y), \vartheta_{A}(y)\} \\ \vartheta_{A}(x * y) &\leq \max\{\vartheta_{A}(x), \vartheta_{A}(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \end{split}$$

Theorem: 3.2

Let $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X. Then so

$$\prod A = \{x, \mu_A(x), (\mu_A)^{\mathcal{C}}(x) / x \in X\}.$$

Proof:

Since $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideals of X, then

$$\begin{split} \mu_{A}(0) &\geq \mu_{A}(x) \ , \ \mu_{A}(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{A}(x * y), \mu_{A}(y)\} \\ \mu_{A}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_{A}(x), \mu_{A}(y)\} \ for \ all \ x, y \in X \\ \text{Now} & \mu_{A}(0) \geq \mu_{A}(x) \\ 1 - (\mu_{A})^{c}(0) \geq 1 - (\mu_{A})^{c}(x) \\ (\mu_{A})^{c}(0) \leq (\mu_{A})^{c}(x) \\ \text{Now for any } x, y \in X \\ \mu_{A}(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{A}(x * y), \mu_{A}(y)\} \\ 1 - (\mu_{A})^{c}(x) \geq \min\{1 - (\mu_{A})^{c}(x * y), 1 - (\mu_{A})^{c}(y)\} \\ (\mu_{A})^{c}(x) \leq \max\{(\mu_{A})^{c}(x * y), (\mu_{A})^{c}(y)\} \end{split}$$

 $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(y)\}$ $1 - (\mu_{4})^{c}(x * y) \ge \min\{1 - (\mu_{4})^{c}(x), 1 - (\mu_{4})^{c}(y)\}$ $(\mu_{4})^{c}(x * y) \leq max\{(\mu_{4})^{c}(x), (\mu_{4})^{c}(y)\}$ Hence $\prod A = \{x, \mu_A(x), (\mu_A)^C(x) | x \in X\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X. Theorem: 3.3 Let $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X. Then so $\Omega A = \{x, \vartheta_A(x), (\vartheta_A)^{\mathcal{C}}(x) / x \in X\}.$ **Proof:** Since $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideals of X, then $\vartheta_{A}(0) \ge \vartheta_{A}(x)$ $\vartheta_{A}(x) \ge \min\{\vartheta_{A}(x * y), \vartheta_{A}(y)\}$ $\vartheta_A(x * y) \ge \min\{\vartheta_A(x), \vartheta_A(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X$ $\vartheta_{A}(0) \leq \vartheta_{A}(x)$ Now $1 - (\vartheta_{\lambda})^{c}(0) \leq 1 - (\vartheta_{\lambda})^{c}(x)$ $(\vartheta_{\lambda})^{c}(0) \geq (\vartheta_{\lambda})^{c}(x)$ Now for any $x, y \in X$ $\vartheta_{A}(x) \leq \max\{\vartheta_{A}(x * y), \vartheta_{A}(y)\}$ $1 - (\vartheta_{\lambda})^{c}(x) \leq \max\{1 - (\vartheta_{\lambda})^{c}(x * y), 1 - (\vartheta_{\lambda})^{c}(y)\}$ $(\vartheta_A)^C(x) \ge \min\{(\vartheta_A)^C(x*y), (\vartheta_A)^C(y)\}$ $\vartheta_A(x * y) \le max\{\vartheta_A(x), \vartheta_A(y)\}$ $1 - (\vartheta_{A})^{c}(x * y) \leq max\{1 - (\vartheta_{A})^{c}(x), 1 - (\vartheta_{A})^{c}(y)\}$ $(\vartheta_{A})^{c}(x * y) \geq min\{(\vartheta_{A})^{c}(x), (\vartheta_{A})^{c}(y)\}$

Hence $\Omega A = \{x, \vartheta_A(x), (\vartheta_A)^c(x) | x \in X\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X.

4. LEVEL SETS OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY D-IDEALS:

Definition: 4.1

Let $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X. For any $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$ then α – level cut of μ_A and β – level cut of ϑ_A is as follows :

$$\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq} = \{ x \in X/\mu_A(x) \ge \alpha \}$$

$$\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq} = \{ x \in X/\vartheta_A(x) \le \beta \}$$

Theorem: 4.2

Let $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X, then $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$ are d-ideal of X, for any $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$.

Proof:

Let $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X and let $\alpha \in [0,1]$.

Then we have $\mu_A(0) \ge \mu_A(x)$ for all $x \in X$ $\mu_A(x) \ge \alpha \text{ for all } x \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\ge}$ But $\mu_{A}(0) \geq \alpha$ So Therefore $0 \in \mu_{A_{\alpha}}^{\geq}$ Let $x, y \in X$ such that $(x * y), y \in \mu_{A, \alpha}^{\geq}$ Then $\mu_A(x * y) \ge \alpha$, $\mu_A(y) \ge \alpha$ Since μ_A is an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X, then $\mu_A(x) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\}$ $\geq \alpha$ $x \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x, y \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ Then $\mu_A(x) \ge \alpha$, $\mu_A(y) \ge \alpha$ Since μ_A is an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X, then $\mu_A(x * y) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(y)\}$ $\geq \alpha$

$$x * y \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$$

Therefore, $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ is a d-ideal of X, for any $\alpha \in [0,1]$.

Clearly, this can be proved for maximal condition.

Therefore, $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$, $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\leq}$ is a d-ideal of X, for any $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$.

Theorem: 4.3

An intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X, if and only if for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$, $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$ are either empty or d-ideal of X.

Proof:

Let $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$ be either or d-ideal of X, for $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$

For any $x \in X$,

Let $\mu_A(x) = \alpha$

 $\vartheta_A(x) = \beta$ then $x \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$

So, $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq} \neq \emptyset \neq \vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$

Since $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$ are d-ideals of X, therefore $0 \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$

Hence $\mu_A(0) \ge \alpha = \mu_A(x)$ and

Vol. 5 No.4, December, 2023

 $\vartheta_{A}(0) \leq \beta = \vartheta_{A}(x)$ where $x \in X$ If there exist $x', y' \in X$ such that $\mu_A(x') < min\{\mu_A(x' * y'), \mu_A(y')\}$ Then by taking $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\mu_A(x') + \min\{\mu_A(x' * y'), \mu_A(y')\}]$ We have, $min\{\mu_A(x' * y'), \mu_A(y')\} > \alpha_0 > \mu_A(x')$ Hence $x' \notin \mu_{A,q_n}^{\geq}$ $(x' * y') \in \mu_{A\alpha_n}^{\geq}$, $y' \in \mu_{A\alpha_n}^{\geq}$ That is μ_{A,α_n}^{\geq} is not d-ideals of X Which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mu_A(x) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x * y), \mu_A(y)\}$ If there exist $x'', y'' \in X$ such that $\mu_A(x'' * y'') < min\{\mu_A(x''), \mu_A(y'')\}$ Then by taking $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\mu_A(x'' * y'') + \min\{\mu_A(x''), \mu_A(y'')\} \right]$ We have, $min\{\mu_A(x''),\mu_A(y'')\} > \alpha_1 > \mu_A(x'' * y'')$ Hence $x'' * y'' \notin \mu_{A_{\alpha}}^{\geq}$ $x'' \in \mu_{A,\alpha_*}^{\geq}$, $y'' \in \mu_{A,\alpha_*}^{\geq}$ That is μ_{A,α_*}^{\geq} is not d-ideal of X Which is a contradiction. $\mu_A(x * v) \ge \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_A(v)\}$ Therefore Clearly, this can be proved for maximal condition. $\vartheta_{A}(x * y) \leq max\{\vartheta_{A}(x), \vartheta_{A}(y)\}$ Therefore Conversely, Assume that $A = (\mu_A, \vartheta_A)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideal of X To Prove: $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$ are either empty or d-ideal of X. Suppose that $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq} \neq \varphi$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$

International Journal of Applied Engineering & Technology

It is clear that $0 \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ and $\vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\geq}$ Since $\mu_A(0) \ge \mu_A(x) = \alpha$ $\vartheta_{A}(0) \leq \vartheta_{A}(x) = \beta$ Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x * y \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}, y \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ Then $\mu_A(x * y) \ge \alpha$ and $\mu_A(y) \ge \alpha$ $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \geq \min\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x * y), \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(y)\} \geq \alpha$ $x \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and hence Therefore, $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ is a d-ideal of X for $\alpha \in [0,1]$ Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \in \mu_{A_{\alpha}}^{\geq}, y \in \mu_{A_{\alpha}}^{\geq}$ Then $\mu_A(x) \geq \alpha$ and $\mu_A(y) \geq \alpha$ $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x * y) \ge \min\{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(y)\} \ge \alpha$ $x * y \in \mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ for all $x, y \in X$ and hence Therefore, $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq}$ is a d-ideal of X for $\alpha \in [0,1]$

Clearly, this can be proved for maximal condition.

Therefore, $\mu_{A,\alpha}^{\geq} \vartheta_{A,\beta}^{\leq}$ is a d-ideal of X for $\alpha, \beta \in [0,1]$

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have given some ideas on special operators and level set of intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideals of dsubalgebra. Here we also investigate about some of its properties in detail by using the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy d-ideals.

5. REFERENCES

- 1. M. Akram and K.H. Dar, On fuzzy d-algebra, Punjab University Journal of Math, 37 (2005), 61-76.
- 2. K.T. Atanassov, (1986)." Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets ", Fuzzy sets and systems 35, 87-96.
- **3.** Y.B. Jun, H.S. Kim and D.S. Yoo, e-(2006). "Intuitionistic fuzzy d-algebra", Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, 1289-1297.
- 4. J. Neggers; Y.B. Jun; H.S. Kim, "On d-ideals in d-algebras", Mathematica Slovaca.49 (1999), No.3, 243-251
- 5. J. Neggers and H.S. Kim, "On d-algebra", Math. Slovaca 49 (1999) no.1, 19-26.
- 6. L.A. Zadeh," Fuzzy set", Inform And Control, vol.8 (1965),338-353.