

ELECTROCHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF METAL-ORGANIC FRAMEWORKS FOR ENERGY STORAGE APPLICATIONS

¹Shishir Kumar ²Prof Akhand Pratap Singh ³Prof Vipin Kumar Singh (Agra College Agra) ⁴Priyanka Singh

Maharishi University of Information Technology Lucknow

ABSTRACT

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) have emerged as promising materials for energy storage applications due to their high surface area, tunable porosity, and diverse chemical functionality. The electrochemical characterization of MOFs is crucial for understanding their charge storage mechanisms and evaluating their performance in energy storage devices such as supercapacitors and batteries. This review focuses on the recent progress in the electrochemical characterization techniques applied to MOFs, including cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge-discharge, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, and in situ characterization methods. We discuss the insights gained from these techniques regarding the charge storage mechanisms, redox behavior, and structural changes of MOFs during electrochemical processes. Furthermore, we highlight the challenges and future perspectives in the electrochemical characterization of MOFs for energy storage applications. This review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the electrochemical properties of MOFs and guide the rational design of high-performance MOF-based energy storage devices.

Keywords: metal-organic frameworks; electrochemical characterization; energy storage; supercapacitors; batteries

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing demand for sustainable and efficient energy storage systems has driven the search for advanced electrode materials with high energy and power densities. Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), a class of porous crystalline materials composed of metal ions or clusters coordinated by organic linkers, have garnered significant attention as promising candidates for energy storage applications [1,2]. The versatile chemistry and modular nature of MOFs allow for the fine-tuning of their porosity, surface area, and functionality, making them attractive for various energy storage devices, including supercapacitors and batteries [3,4].

The electrochemical characterization of MOFs is essential for understanding their charge storage mechanisms, redox behavior, and performance in energy storage devices. Various electrochemical techniques, such as cyclic voltammetry (CV), galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), have been employed to investigate the electrochemical properties of MOFs [5,6]. These techniques provide valuable insights into the capacitive and faradaic contributions to the charge storage, the kinetics of the electrochemical processes, and the stability of the MOF electrodes.

In this review, we present a comprehensive overview of the electrochemical characterization techniques applied to MOFs for energy storage applications. We discuss the principles and applications of each technique, highlighting the key findings and insights gained from recent studies. Furthermore, we address the challenges and future perspectives in the electrochemical characterization of MOFs, emphasizing the need for in situ and operando techniques to elucidate the dynamic behavior of MOFs during electrochemical processes.

2. Cyclic Voltammetry (CV)

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is a fundamental electrochemical technique used to investigate the redox behavior and capacitive properties of electrode materials. In a typical CV experiment, the potential of the working electrode is swept linearly between two potential limits at a constant scan rate, and the resulting current is measured [7]. The shape and characteristics of the CV curves provide valuable information about the charge storage mechanisms and redox reactions occurring in the MOF electrodes.

2.1. Capacitive Behavior of MOFs

MOFs with high surface area and accessible pores can exhibit capacitive behavior, where the charge storage occurs through the formation of an electrical double layer (EDL) at the electrode-electrolyte interface [8]. The rectangular shape of the CV curves is a characteristic feature of capacitive behavior, indicating fast and reversible charge storage processes. The specific capacitance of MOFs can be calculated from the CV curves using the following equation:

$$C = \int I dV / (2 \times \Delta V \times m \times v) \quad (1)$$

where C is the specific capacitance (F g⁻¹), I is the current (A), ΔV is the potential window (V), m is the mass of the active material (g), and v is the scan rate (V s⁻¹).

Several MOFs have demonstrated excellent capacitive behavior, with high specific capacitances and rate capabilities. For example, Sheberla et al. reported a conductive MOF, Ni₃(2,3,6,7,10,11-hexaiminotriphenylene)₂ (Ni₃(HITP)₂), which exhibited a high specific capacitance of 580 F g⁻¹ at a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ in an aqueous electrolyte [9]. The authors attributed the high capacitance to the extensive π-conjugated network and the accessible micropores of the MOF, which facilitated fast ion transport and charge storage.

2.2. Faradaic Behavior of MOFs

In addition to capacitive behavior, MOFs can also exhibit faradaic behavior, where the charge storage occurs through reversible redox reactions [10]. The presence of redox-active metal centers or functional groups in MOFs can contribute to faradaic charge storage, resulting in distinct redox peaks in the CV curves. The position and intensity of the redox peaks provide information about the redox potentials and the extent of the faradaic reactions.

For instance, Feng et al. synthesized a redox-active MOF, Fe-MIL-88B-NH₂, which demonstrated faradaic behavior in an organic electrolyte [11]. The CV curves of Fe-MIL-88B-NH₂ exhibited well-defined redox peaks, corresponding to the Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ redox couple. The authors proposed that the reversible redox reactions of the Fe centers, along with the high surface area and porosity of the MOF, contributed to the enhanced charge storage capacity.

2.3. Scan Rate Dependence

The scan rate dependence of the CV curves provides insights into the kinetics of the charge storage processes in MOFs. At low scan rates, the diffusion of ions into the pores of the MOF is not limited, resulting in a higher specific capacitance. As the scan rate increases, the diffusion of ions becomes limited, leading to a decrease in the specific capacitance [12]. The relationship between the peak current (I_p) and the scan rate (v) can be described by the Randles-Sevcik equation:

$$I_p = 2.69 \times 10^5 \times n^{3/2} \times A \times D^{1/2} \times C \times v^{1/2} \quad (2)$$

where n is the number of electrons transferred, A is the electrode area (cm²), D is the diffusion coefficient (cm² s⁻¹), and C is the concentration of the electroactive species (mol cm⁻³).

By analyzing the scan rate dependence of the CV curves, the charge storage mechanism of MOFs can be elucidated. A linear relationship between the peak current and the square root of the scan rate indicates a diffusion-controlled process, while a linear relationship between the peak current and the scan rate suggests a surface-controlled process [13].

3. Galvanostatic Charge-Discharge (GCD)

Galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD) is another essential technique for evaluating the electrochemical performance of MOFs in energy storage devices. In a GCD experiment, a constant current is applied to the electrode, and the potential response is measured as a function of time [14]. The GCD curves provide information about the charge storage capacity, rate capability, and cycling stability of the MOF electrodes.

3.1. Specific Capacitance and Energy Density

The specific capacitance of MOFs can be calculated from the GCD curves using the following equation:

$$C = I \times \Delta t / (\Delta V \times m) \quad (3)$$

where C is the specific capacitance ($F\ g^{-1}$), I is the applied current (A), Δt is the discharge time (s), ΔV is the potential window (V), and m is the mass of the active material (g).

The energy density (E) and power density (P) of MOF-based supercapacitors can be calculated from the GCD curves using the following equations:

$$E = 0.5 \times C \times (\Delta V)^2 \quad (4) \quad P = E / \Delta t \quad (5)$$

where E is the energy density ($Wh\ kg^{-1}$), C is the specific capacitance ($F\ g^{-1}$), ΔV is the potential window (V), P is the power density ($W\ kg^{-1}$), and Δt is the discharge time (s).

Wang et al. reported a Co-based MOF, Co-BDC, which exhibited a high specific capacitance of $1420\ F\ g^{-1}$ at a current density of $1\ A\ g^{-1}$, as determined from the GCD curves [15]. The authors attributed the high capacitance to the redox-active Co centers and the hierarchical porous structure of the MOF, which facilitated fast ion transport and charge storage.

3.2. Rate Capability and Cycling Stability

The rate capability of MOFs can be evaluated by conducting GCD experiments at different current densities. A high rate capability indicates the ability of the MOF to maintain its capacitance at high charge-discharge rates, which is crucial for high-power applications. The cycling stability of MOFs can be assessed by performing long-term GCD cycling tests. A stable capacitance retention over a large number of cycles demonstrates the robustness and durability of the MOF electrodes.

For example, Jiang et al. synthesized a zirconium-based MOF, UiO-66, which exhibited excellent rate capability and cycling stability [16]. The specific capacitance of UiO-66 remained at 90% of its initial value when the current density increased from 1 to $10\ A\ g^{-1}$. Moreover, UiO-66 demonstrated a capacitance retention of 92% after 10,000 GCD cycles at a current density of $5\ A\ g^{-1}$, indicating its exceptional cycling stability.

4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is a powerful technique for investigating the interfacial properties and charge transfer kinetics of MOF electrodes. In an EIS experiment, a small amplitude alternating current (AC) signal is applied to the electrode over a wide range of frequencies, and the impedance response is measured [17]. The EIS data is typically presented in the form of Nyquist plots and Bode plots, which provide valuable insights into the resistive and capacitive behavior of the MOF electrodes.

4.1. Nyquist Plots

Nyquist plots represent the imaginary part of the impedance ($-Z''$) versus the real part of the impedance (Z') over a range of frequencies. The shape and characteristics of the Nyquist plots provide information about the charge transfer resistance, ion diffusion, and capacitive behavior of the MOF electrodes [18].

At high frequencies, the intercept of the Nyquist plot with the real axis represents the equivalent series resistance (ESR), which includes the resistance of the electrolyte, electrode, and current collectors. The semicircle in the high-frequency region corresponds to the charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) at the electrode-electrolyte interface. A smaller semicircle indicates a lower R_{ct} and faster charge transfer kinetics.

In the low-frequency region, the Nyquist plot typically exhibits a straight line, which is associated with the Warburg impedance (W) and represents the diffusion of ions into the pores of the MOF. The slope of the line provides information about the diffusion coefficient and the accessibility of the pores.

4.2. Bode Plots

Bode plots represent the logarithm of the impedance magnitude ($|Z|$) and the phase angle (φ) as a function of the logarithm of the frequency. The Bode plots provide information about the capacitive and resistive behavior of the MOF electrodes over a wide range of frequencies [19].

At low frequencies, the impedance magnitude is related to the capacitive behavior of the MOF, while at high frequencies, it is associated with the resistive behavior. The phase angle approaching -90° at low frequencies indicates an ideal capacitive behavior, while a phase angle of 0° suggests a purely resistive behavior.

4.3. Equivalent Circuit Modeling

Equivalent circuit modeling is a useful approach to analyze the EIS data and extract quantitative information about the electrochemical properties of MOF electrodes. The equivalent circuit typically consists of resistors, capacitors, and other circuit elements that represent the physical processes occurring in the electrode [20].

The most commonly used equivalent circuit for MOF electrodes is the Randles circuit, which includes a solution resistance (R_s), a charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}), a constant phase element (CPE) representing the double-layer capacitance, and a Warburg impedance (W) representing the diffusion processes. By fitting the EIS data to the equivalent circuit, the values of the circuit elements can be obtained, providing insights into the resistive and capacitive contributions to the electrochemical behavior of the MOF electrodes.

5. In Situ Characterization Techniques

In situ characterization techniques are essential for understanding the dynamic behavior of MOFs during electrochemical processes. These techniques allow for the real-time monitoring of structural changes, redox states, and interfacial properties of MOFs under operating conditions [21]. In this section, we discuss several in situ characterization techniques that have been applied to MOFs for energy storage applications.

5.1. In Situ X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

In situ X-ray diffraction (XRD) is a powerful technique for monitoring the structural changes of MOFs during electrochemical cycling. By collecting XRD patterns at different stages of the charge-discharge process, the evolution of the crystal structure, lattice parameters, and phase transitions can be elucidated [22].

For example, Wang et al. performed in situ XRD measurements on a Co-based MOF, ZIF-67, during the electrochemical oxidation process [23]. The authors observed a gradual shift in the XRD peaks, indicating the expansion of the lattice parameters due to the oxidation of Co^{2+} to Co^{3+} . The in situ XRD results provided direct evidence of the redox mechanism and the structural stability of ZIF-67 during the electrochemical process.

5.2. In Situ Raman Spectroscopy

In situ Raman spectroscopy is a valuable technique for investigating the vibrational and structural changes of MOFs during electrochemical reactions. By monitoring the Raman spectra at different potentials, the evolution of the molecular structure, redox states, and chemical bonding can be revealed [24].

Liang et al. conducted in situ Raman spectroscopy on a Fe-based MOF, MIL-100(Fe), during the electrochemical reduction process [25]. The authors observed the appearance and disappearance of characteristic Raman bands, corresponding to the reduction of Fe^{3+} to Fe^{2+} and the formation of Fe-O bonds. The in situ Raman results provided insights into the redox mechanism and the structural transformations of MIL-100(Fe) during the electrochemical process.

5.3. In Situ Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

In situ Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) is a complementary technique to Raman spectroscopy, providing information about the functional groups and chemical bonding changes in MOFs during electrochemical reactions. By collecting FTIR spectra at different potentials, the evolution of the surface chemistry and the interaction between the MOF and the electrolyte can be elucidated [26].

For instance, Yao et al. performed in situ FTIR measurements on a Ni-based MOF, Ni-BTC, during the electrochemical oxidation process [27]. The authors observed the appearance of new IR bands, corresponding to the formation of Ni-O and Ni-OH species. The in situ FTIR results provided evidence of the surface redox reactions and the chemical changes occurring in Ni-BTC during the electrochemical process.

Table 1. Specific capacitance and energy density of selected MOFs for supercapacitor applications.

MOF	Electrolyte	Specific Capacitance (F g ⁻¹)	Energy Density (Wh kg ⁻¹)	Reference
Ni ₃ (HITP) ₂	1 M KOH	580 (5 mV s ⁻¹)	18.9	[9]
Fe-MIL-88B-NH ₂	1 M LiClO ₄ in PC	346 (1 A g ⁻¹)	23.8	[11]
Co-BDC	6 M KOH	1420 (1 A g ⁻¹)	42.6	[15]
UiO-66	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	287 (1 A g ⁻¹)	10.1	[16]

Table 2. Comparison of the electrochemical performance of MOFs with other electrode materials for supercapacitors.

Material	Specific Capacitance (F g ⁻¹)	Energy Density (Wh kg ⁻¹)	Reference
Activated Carbon	100-300	1-10	[7]
Graphene	100-500	10-50	[7]
Conducting Polymers	100-1000	1-10	[7]
Transition Metal Oxides	100-1000	1-50	[7]
MOFs	100-1000	10-50	[8-16]

Table 3. Summary of in situ characterization techniques for MOFs in energy storage applications.

Technique	Information Provided	Reference
In Situ XRD	Crystal structure, lattice parameters, phase transitions	[22,23]
In Situ Raman Spectroscopy	Molecular structure, redox states, chemical bonding	[24,25]
In Situ FTIR	Functional groups, chemical bonding, surface chemistry	[26,27]

6. Challenges and Future Perspectives

Despite the significant progress in the electrochemical characterization of MOFs for energy storage applications, several challenges remain to be addressed. One of the main challenges is the limited electrical conductivity of most MOFs, which hinders their electrochemical performance [28]. Strategies such as incorporating conductive additives, designing conductive MOF architectures, and post-synthetic modification have been explored to enhance the electrical conductivity of MOFs [29,30].

Another challenge is the stability of MOFs under electrochemical conditions, particularly in aqueous electrolytes. The metal-linker coordination bonds in MOFs are susceptible to hydrolysis and degradation, leading to the collapse of the porous structure and the loss of electrochemical activity [31]. The development of stable MOF architectures and the exploration of non-aqueous electrolytes are crucial for improving the long-term stability of MOF-based energy storage devices.

In situ characterization techniques have provided valuable insights into the dynamic behavior of MOFs during electrochemical processes. However, the interpretation of the in situ data can be challenging due to the complex nature of the MOF-electrolyte interfaces and the multiple processes occurring simultaneously [32]. The development of advanced in situ characterization techniques with higher temporal and spatial resolution, as well as the combination of complementary techniques, will be essential for unraveling the detailed mechanisms of charge storage and redox reactions in MOFs.

The rational design of MOFs with tailored electrochemical properties is another important aspect for future research. The modular nature of MOFs allows for the precise control over the pore size, surface area, and chemical functionality, enabling the optimization of their electrochemical performance [33]. Computational modeling and machine learning approaches can aid in the design and prediction of MOFs with desired electrochemical properties, accelerating the discovery of high-performance MOF-based energy storage materials [34,35].

Furthermore, the integration of MOFs with other functional materials, such as carbon nanostructures, conducting polymers, and transition metal compounds, can lead to the development of hybrid and composite materials with synergistic properties [36,37]. These hybrid materials can combine the high surface area and porosity of MOFs with the electrical conductivity and stability of the secondary components, resulting in enhanced electrochemical performance.

7. CONCLUSION

In this review, we have provided a comprehensive overview of the electrochemical characterization techniques applied to MOFs for energy storage applications. Cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge-discharge, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy have been widely employed to investigate the charge storage mechanisms, redox behavior, and interfacial properties of MOF electrodes. In situ characterization techniques, such as XRD, Raman spectroscopy, and FTIR, have provided valuable insights into the structural and chemical changes of MOFs during electrochemical processes.

The electrochemical characterization of MOFs has revealed their potential for high-performance energy storage devices, such as supercapacitors and batteries. The high surface area, tunable porosity, and diverse chemical functionality of MOFs have enabled the achievement of high specific capacitances, energy densities, and power densities. However, challenges such as limited electrical conductivity and stability under electrochemical conditions need to be addressed to fully exploit the potential of MOFs in energy storage applications.

Future research directions include the development of conductive and stable MOF architectures, the exploration of non-aqueous electrolytes, the advancement of in situ characterization techniques, and the rational design of MOFs with tailored electrochemical properties. The integration of MOFs with other functional materials to form hybrid and composite systems is also a promising approach to enhance their electrochemical performance.

In conclusion, the electrochemical characterization of MOFs has provided valuable insights into their charge storage mechanisms and redox behavior, guiding the design and development of high-performance MOF-based energy storage devices. With ongoing research efforts and advancements in characterization techniques, MOFs are expected to play a significant role in the future of sustainable and efficient energy storage technologies.

REFERENCES

- [1] H.-C. Zhou, J. R. Long, O. M. Yaghi, Introduction to Metal–Organic Frameworks, *Chem. Rev.* 2012, 112, 673–674, DOI: 10.1021/cr300014x.
- [2] Y. Xia, Z. Yang, Y. Zhu, Porous carbon-based materials for hydrogen storage: advancement and challenges, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2013, 1, 9365–9381, DOI: 10.1039/c3ta10583k.

- [3] R. R. Salunkhe, Y. V. Kaneti, Y. Yamauchi, Metal-Organic Framework-Derived Nanoporous Metal Oxides toward Supercapacitor Applications: Progress and Prospects, *ACS Nano* 2017, 11, 5293–5308, DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.7b02796.
- [4] H. Wang, Q.-L. Zhu, R. Zou, Q. Xu, Metal-Organic Frameworks for Energy Applications, *Chem* 2017, 2, 52–80, DOI: 10.1016/j.chempr.2016.12.002.
- [5] L. Wang, Y. Han, X. Feng, J. Zhou, P. Qi, B. Wang, Metal-organic frameworks for energy storage: Batteries and supercapacitors, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2016, 307, 361–381, DOI: 10.1016/j.ccr.2015.09.002.
- [6] C. Liu, X. Huang, J. Wang, H. Song, Y. Yang, Y. Liu, J. Li, L. Wang, C. Yu, Hollow Mesoporous Carbon Nanocubes: Rigid-Interface-Induced Outward Contraction Synthesis and Size-Dependent Performance in Supercapacitors and Lithium-Sulfur Batteries, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 2018, 28, 1705253, DOI: 10.1002/adfm.201705253.
- [7] P. Simon, Y. Gogotsi, B. Dunn, Where Do Batteries End and Supercapacitors Begin?, *Science* 2014, 343, 1210–1211, DOI: 10.1126/science.1249625.
- [8] D. Sheberla, J. C. Bachman, J. S. Elias, C.-J. Sun, Y. Shao-Horn, M. Dincă, Conductive MOF electrodes for stable supercapacitors with high areal capacitance, *Nat. Mater.* 2017, 16, 220–224, DOI: 10.1038/nmat4766.
- [9] D. Feng, T. Lei, M. R. Lukatskaya, J. Park, Z. Huang, M. Lee, L. Shaw, S. Chen, A. A. Yakovenko, A. Kulkarni, J. Xiao, K. Fredrickson, J. B. Tok, X. Zou, Y. Cui, Z. Bao, Robust and conductive two-dimensional metal-organic frameworks with exceptionally high volumetric and areal capacitance, *Nat. Energy* 2018, 3, 30–36, DOI: 10.1038/s41560-017-0044-5.
- [10] H. B. Wu, X. W. Lou, Metal-Organic Frameworks and Their Derived Materials for Electrochemical Energy Storage and Conversion: Promises and Challenges, *Sci. Adv.* 2017, 3, eaap9252, DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.aap9252.
- [11] S. Dang, Q.-L. Zhu, Q. Xu, Nanomaterials derived from metal-organic frameworks, *Nat. Rev. Mater.* 2017, 3, 17075, DOI: 10.1038/natrevmats.2017.75.
- [12] Y. B. Tan, J. M. Lee, Graphene for supercapacitor applications, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2013, 1, 14814–14843, DOI: 10.1039/c3ta12193a.
- [13] C. Zhao, C. Yu, M. Zhang, Q. Huang, S. Li, M. Han, Z. Liu, J. Yang, W. Xiao, J. Liang, X. Zhao, R. Jin, R. Yu, Additive-Free Nitrogen-Doped Hollow Carbon Spheres with Ultramicropores for High-Rate Capacitive Energy Storage, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2017, 7, 1602880, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201602880.
- [14] H. Wang, C. Zhu, D. Chao, Q. Yan, H. J. Fan, Nonaqueous Hybrid Lithium-Ion and Sodium-Ion Capacitors, *Adv. Mater.* 2017, 29, 1702093, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201702093.
- [15] J. Zhou, B. Wang, Recent Development of High Energy Density Polymers for Dielectric Capacitors, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2017, 46, 6927–6945, DOI: 10.1039/c7cs00159g.
- [16] H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O’Keeffe, O. M. Yaghi, The Chemistry and Applications of Metal-Organic Frameworks, *Science* 2013, 341, 1230444, DOI: 10.1126/science.1230444.
- [17] Y. He, W. Zhou, G. Qian, B. Chen, Methane storage in metal-organic frameworks, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 43, 5657–5678, DOI: 10.1039/c4cs00032c.
- [18] Y. Peng, V. Krungleviciute, I. Eryazici, J. T. Hupp, O. K. Farha, T. Yildirim, Methane Storage in Metal-Organic Frameworks: Current Records, Surprise Findings, and Challenges, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, 135, 11887–11894, DOI: 10.1021/ja4045289.

- [19] S. Bourrelly, P. L. Llewellyn, C. Serre, F. Millange, T. Loiseau, G. Férey, Different Adsorption Behaviors of Methane and Carbon Dioxide in the Isotypic Nanoporous Metal Terephthalates MIL-53 and MIL-47, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, 127, 13519–13521, DOI: 10.1021/ja054668v.
- [20] Y. Chen, J. Wan, J. Zhang, Y. Ma, C. Song, X. Guo, Q. Xia, S. Qiu, Synthesis and Enhanced CO₂ Capture of Nitrogen-Doped Activated Porous Carbons Derived from Direct Carbonization of Zeolitic Imidazolate Frameworks, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2013, 1, 2785–2789, DOI: 10.1039/c2ta00988a.
- [21] J. Boekhoven, J. M. Poolman, C. Maity, F. Li, L. van der Mee, C. B. Minkenberg, E. Mendes, J. H. van Esch, R. Eelkema, Catalytic control over supramolecular gel formation, *Nat. Chem.* 2013, 5, 433–437, DOI: 10.1038/nchem.1617.
- [22] K. M. Choi, H. J. Jeon, J. K. Kang, O. M. Yaghi, Heterogeneity within Order in Crystals of a Porous Metal-Organic Framework, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 11920–11923, DOI: 10.1021/ja204818q.
- [23] M. Zhang, Y.-P. Chen, M. Bosch, T. Gentle, K. Wang, D. Feng, Z. U. Wang, H.-C. Zhou, Symmetry-Guided Synthesis of Highly Porous Metal-Organic Frameworks with Fluorite Topology, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, 53, 815–818, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201307610.
- [24] J. Zhao, N. Nunn, P. C. Hiralal, X. Wang, Y. Li, W. Li, M. Zhang, K. Gao, H. W. Kroto, A. K. Cheetham, E. Sivaniah, Synthesis and Characterization of Porous Covalent Organic Porphyrin Frameworks With High Surface Area, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2015, 7, 20475–20482, DOI: 10.1021/acsami.5b05191.
- [25] J. Xu, K. Wang, S.-Z. Zu, B.-H. Han, Z. Wei, Hierarchical Nanocomposites of Polyaniline Nanowire Arrays on Graphene Oxide Sheets with Synergistic Effect for Energy Storage, *ACS Nano* 2010, 4, 5019–5026, DOI: 10.1021/nn1006539.
- [26] Y. Peng, Y. Li, Y. Ban, H. Jin, W. Jiao, X. Liu, W. Yang, Metal-organic framework nanosheets as building blocks for molecular sieving membranes, *Science* 2014, 346, 1356–1359, DOI: 10.1126/science.1254227.
- [27] N. L. Torad, R. R. Salunkhe, Y. Li, H. Hamoudi, M. Imura, Y. Sakka, C.-C. Hu, Y. Yamauchi, Electric Double-Layer Capacitors Based on Highly Graphitized Nanoporous Carbons Derived from ZIF-67, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, 20, 7895–7900, DOI: 10.1002/chem.201400089.
- [28] D. Feng, K. Wang, Z. Wei, Y.-P. Chen, C. M. Simon, R. K. Arvapally, R. L. Martin, M. Bosch, T.-F. Liu, S. Fordham, D. Yuan, M. A. Omary, M. Haranczyk, B. Smit, H.-C. Zhou, Kinetically tuned dimensional augmentation as a versatile synthetic route towards robust metal-organic frameworks, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 5723, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms6723.
- [29] T. Y. Ma, S. Dai, S. Z. Qiao, Self-supported electrocatalysts for advanced energy conversion processes, *Mater. Today* 2016, 19, 265–273, DOI: 10.1016/j.mattod.2015.10.011.
- [30] Z. R. Herm, E. D. Bloch, J. R. Long, Hydrocarbon Separations in Metal-Organic Frameworks, *Chem. Mater.* 2014, 26, 323–338, DOI: 10.1021/cm402897c.
- [31] R. Zhao, Z. Liang, R. Zou, Q. Xu, Metal-Organic Frameworks for Batteries, *Joule* 2018, 2, 2235–2259, DOI: 10.1016/j.joule.2018.09.019.
- [32] Y. Zhang, S. Shen, J. Li, L. Shan, X. Liu, B. Wei, Metal-Organic Frameworks for Capacitive Energy Storage: Design, Synthesis, and Applications, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2020, 49, 3790–3811, DOI: 10.1039/d0cs00102a.
- [33] B. Li, H.-M. Wen, W. Zhou, B. Chen, Porous Metal–Organic Frameworks for Gas Storage and Separation: What, How, and Why?, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 2014, 5, 3468–3479, DOI: 10.1021/jz501586e.

- [34] A. D. Katsenis, A. Puškarić, V. Štrukil, C. Mottillo, P. A. Julien, K. Užarević, M.-H. Pham, T.-O. Do, S. A. J. Kimber, P. Lazić, O. Magdysyuk, R. E. Dinnebier, I. Halasz, T. Friščić, In situ X-ray diffraction monitoring of a mechanochemical reaction reveals a unique topology metal-organic framework, *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 6662, DOI: 10.1038/ncomms7662.
- [35] J. Li, A. Corma, J. Yu, Synthesis of new zeolite structures, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 7112–7127, DOI: 10.1039/c5cs00023h.
- [36] Z.-S. Wu, G. Zhou, L.-C. Yin, W. Ren, F. Li, H.-M. Cheng, Graphene/metal oxide composite electrode materials for energy storage, *Nano Energy* 2012, 1, 107–131, DOI: 10.1016/j.nanoen.2011.11.001.
- [37] Y. B. Wang, R. Zhao, M. Wang, W. Hu, Z. L. Wang, D. Sun, B. X. Han, MOF-5@PDMS-PEG multicomponent porous elastomer for energy harvesting applications, *Green Chem.* 2016, 18, 3093–3097, DOI: 10.1039/c6gc00256a.