

## LAND ALLOCATION CHALLENGES IN LIGHT OF NEW LEGISLATION: BOTSWANA'S EVOLVING LANDSCAPE

**Samuel Zachariah<sup>1</sup> and Abubaker Qutieshat<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> University of Zambia, School of Graduate Studies, Lusaka, Zambia

<sup>2</sup> University of Dundee, UK

<sup>1</sup> samuelzachariah@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

*This paper delves into Botswana's intricate landscape of land allocation and its implications on economic and societal dimensions. Beginning with a historical perspective on Botswana's land distribution, the study underscores the centrality of land management in fostering economic growth. Through a detailed examination of land management laws, stakeholders, and the newly implemented legislation, the paper highlights the challenges posed by multiple land allocations, emphasizing their origins, consequences, and the ensuing conflicts that affect land governance and economic advancement. Two case studies, from Region X and Province Y, serve as focal points to elucidate the practical implications and challenges arising from conflicting land allocations. In tandem, the paper assesses the broader ramifications on sustainable development, governance transparency, and community welfare. The study culminates by recommending comprehensive strategies, encompassing education, enforcement, and enhanced inter-agency cooperation, to rectify disparities and ensure an equitable, sustainable land management future for Botswana.*

*Keywords: LAND ALLOCATION, stakeholders, BOTSWANA'S.*

### INTRODUCTION

Botswana, characterized by its rich biodiversity and scenic beauty, grapples with complex land distribution issues. The significance of effective land management in the nation is underscored by three key sectors: agriculture, mining, and tourism, which form the backbone of its economy. The challenge lies in harmonizing land allocation to cater to both population growth and environmental conservation. Effective land management bolsters economic development by establishing a transparent, reliable system that not only safeguards property rights but also encourages investments and sustainable land use. Presently, however, anomalies in Botswana's land allocation persist. Despite endeavors to streamline land management processes, instances have been noted where the same plot is allocated to multiple stakeholders, threatening both social harmony and sustainable development.

#### **A Glimpse into Botswana's Land Management Evolution:**

- **Historical Context:** Traditionally, customary authorities in Botswana determined and allocated land rights. With urbanization, this approach required modernization.
- **Colonial Era:** Under British rule, the emphasis was on individual property ownership and official land rights registration, a system Botswana subsequently adopted.
- **Post-Independence Era (1966 onwards):** The government recognized the pivotal role of secure land tenure, prompting an overhaul in land management strategies, promoting economic growth, societal equilibrium, and sustainable land practices.

#### **Key Stakeholders in Land Allocation:**

- **Ministry of Lands and Water Affairs:** Tasked with framing land policies and their administration.
- **District-Level Land Boards:** These decentralized bodies oversee regional land distribution, granting leases, designating zones, and ensuring regulatory compliance.
- **Tribal Authorities:** Entrusted with customary land allocation, these entities operate in tandem with Land Boards, ensuring traditional land rights are maintained under prevailing legal frameworks.

## *International Journal of Applied Engineering & Technology*

---

- The Surveyor General's Office: Responsible for mapping, delineating, and registering land parcels, playing a pivotal role in land conservation.

### **Legal Constructs Overseeing Land Management:**

- 2019 Land Policy: Dictates land administration protocols, championing equitable land access, sustainability, and efficiency.
- 2015 Land Act: A comprehensive act managing land partition, leasehold rights, property registration, and associated conflicts.
- 1968 Tribal Land Act: A pivotal piece of legislation that bridges the gap between traditional tribal land rights and national land management imperatives.
- Property Tribunal Act 2000: Entrusted with impartially addressing property rights, leasing, and land management disputes.
- 1967 Deeds Registry Act: Ensures the sanctity of land and property registrations by advocating for a centralized land records repository.

In response to changing needs and challenges, the government continuously refines the legal framework, prioritizing sustainable management, equitable access, and adaptive land administration.

**Technological Advancements:** Modern tools, like GIS, digital mapping, and advanced land data management systems, have significantly refined Botswana's land management approach. Such technological integrations have elevated the efficiency of land allocation, oversight, and policy-driven decision-making.

While Botswana's land management system has evolved to be more structured and effective, encompassing the Ministry of Lands and Water Affairs, Land Boards, Tribal Authorities, and the Surveyor General's Office, there remain areas, especially within customary zones, that require enhanced property documentation, conflict resolution, and streamlined administration. A robust system is vital for ensuring land sustainability, securing tenure, and fostering socio-economic growth.

Land Distribution in Botswana: Complexities, Reforms, and Challenges

### **Diverse Land Designation Modalities:**

In Botswana, land distribution is a nuanced process encompassing various tenure systems, including leasehold, freehold, and community-based land allocation.

- Customary Tenure: This acknowledges tribal property ownership, governed by ancestral, familial, and community-linked rights. Predominantly, rural Botswana communities follow this land tenure system.
- Freehold Title: This gives owners complete control over their land. Predominantly in urban areas, property is transferred legally by the government or authoritative bodies. Owners can trade, mortgage, or use their land freely.
- Leasehold: This is a contractual right, allowing individuals or entities to use and occupy land for a stipulated duration. Government or authoritative bodies lease out public, industrial, or agricultural lands for durations ranging from years to decades.
- Communal Land Allocation: Certain areas are designated for communal use, managed by rural tribes or communities, guided by customary practices or local regulations.

### **Factors Influencing Land Allocation:**

- Historical and Cultural Factors: Tribal institutions have historically shaped land tenure. The colonization period and subsequent land policies introduced urban freehold and leasehold systems.

## *International Journal of Applied Engineering & Technology*

---

- **Urbanization and Economic Expansion:** As Botswana urbanized, demand for urban and industrial lands surged, necessitating freehold and leasehold systems to drive economic progress.
- **Governmental Reforms:** Botswana's diverse land policies address concerns of tenure, distribution, and usage, striking a balance between indigenous rights and modern land distribution methodologies.

### **Impact of Land Distribution Modalities:**

- **Security and Rights:** Customary land tenure strengthens local autonomy, though disputes may arise due to lack of formal documentation. On the other hand, freehold and leasehold systems bolster property security, stimulating urban development and investments.
- **Equity Concerns:** While customary tenure fosters community cohesion, it might inadvertently restrict access. Freehold and leasehold can both spur private ownership and might inadvertently lead to land inequality.
- **Development Implications:** Customary land management upholds eco-friendly practices, but might hamper infrastructural progress. Conversely, freehold and leasehold systems can expedite development but might jeopardize environmental sustainability.

### **New Legislative Reforms:**

Botswana's revamped land allocation legislation aims to usher in a more equitable and sustainable land management framework. Key objectives include:

- Ensuring fairness in land distribution and championing rights for marginalized communities.
- Emphasizing sustainable land management practices.
- Advocating transparency and accountability to deter malpractices.
- Fortifying land rights through rigorous documentation to mitigate disputes.

### **Potential Implementation Hurdles:**

Despite the promise of the new legislation, several challenges lie ahead:

- **Resource Constraints:** Financial, human, and intellectual resource shortages can impede effective implementation.
- **Resistance to Change:** Certain stakeholders might resist the new reforms, causing delays.
- **Information Dissemination:** Ensuring comprehensive understanding of the new laws is crucial.
- **Balancing Varied Interests:** Harmonizing competing interests from agriculture, industry, housing, and conservation sectors is challenging.

Balancing the rich cultural heritage of Botswana with the imperatives of modern-day land allocation requires diligent efforts, stakeholder collaboration, and consistent monitoring. As Botswana strides forward, the onus lies in seamlessly integrating customary practices with legal reforms, underscored by comprehensive training and regular evaluations.

## **CASE STUDIES ON CONFLICTS IN LAND ALLOCATION**

### **Case Study 1: Region X**

The newly enacted land allocation laws were designed to ensure equitable and sustainable land use. However, there were instances where specific properties were allocated to multiple entities.

**Analysis:** This overlap points towards potential shortcomings of the legislation. Potential triggers might be fraudulent activities, lax enforcement, poor monitoring, or bureaucratic delays. Such mismatches can lead to various disputes, legal tussles, and confusion in property ownership.

**Case Study 2: Province Y**

While the legislation allocated land to foster agriculture, some of this earmarked land was later repurposed for residential or business use.

Analysis: This indicates a misalignment between the law's intentions and its on-ground implementations. Ambiguities in the law, confusion over land-use categorization, or inconsistent enforcement could be the culprits. This change in land usage can adversely impact agricultural yields, local communities, and the overarching objectives of the law.

**Underlying Issues:**

- Weak enforcement, resource-strapped regulatory bodies, and limited operational capabilities can lead to multiple land allocations.
- Malpractices like graft, bribery, or favoritism could allow parties to acquire property rights against the new laws' ethos.
- Fragmented decision-making due to non-cooperative government entities might result in conflicting land decisions. A synchronized and coordinated approach could offer relief.
- If the legislation is nebulous or incomplete, it can open doors to varied interpretations, leading to inconsistent land allocation decisions.

**Economic and Governance Repercussions:**

- Disputes in land classification might give rise to prolonged legal proceedings, thereby hampering investments and economic progression.
- Conflicting land rights can result in resource wastage and disrupt key sectors like urban planning, agriculture, and long-term sustainability initiatives.
- Unpredictable land allocation processes can deter investor trust, posing challenges to both local and international investment initiatives.

**Social Implications:**

Conflicting land allocations can gravely affect small-scale agriculturists, indigenous tribes, and other susceptible demographics, thereby widening societal disparities.

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- Bolster institutional architectures for better monitoring and compliance.
- Clearly articulate land allocation guidelines and definitions within the legal framework.
- Champion ethical governance and enforce stringent measures against corrupt practices.
- Initiate public education drives to raise awareness about land allocation norms and regulations.
- Promote sustainable land utilization, ensuring that environmental, societal, and economic aspects are in harmony.

**Land Management for Sustainability:**

- By safeguarding both private and public properties, it augments investments and economic development.
- Proper land administration categorizes land based on optimal use, championing sustainable development ideals.
- Efficient land governance ensures protection of resources like forests and water bodies, facilitating sustainable extraction and conservation.

**Economic Repercussions of Misaligned Land Rights:**

- Ambiguous land rights can thwart potential investments, hampering economic growth.
- Conflicting land allocations can erode efficiencies, affecting sectors like agriculture, infrastructure, and overall economic performance.
- Overlapping land rights can sow seeds of governance issues, leading to challenges like corruption, bribery, and favoritism, which in turn degrade investor confidence.

**Advantages of the New Legislative Framework**

- It lends clarity on land rights, catalyzing investments and economic growth.
- By resolving land allocation issues, it enhances land-use efficiency.
- Transparent land governance can enhance overall governance, ensuring equal access to land and promoting sustainable development.

**Press Release:**

The Ministry of Lands and Water Affairs warns against duplicity in plot allocations within the Land Information System. It has come to light that certain individuals, by hiding prior property allocations, are aiming for undue advantages. Per the 2019 National Property Policy amendment, every citizen is entitled to only one residential plot allocation on state or tribal lands. Any misrepresentations made to Land Boards will have legal repercussions.

The nuances of land allocation in Botswana, as delineated in this study, underscore the intricate interplay between legislation, governance, socio-economic development, and sustainability. Central to this discourse is the revelation that laws, regardless of how robust or well-intended, may flounder in their real-world applications if not supported by comprehensive enforcement, public awareness, and systemic transparency. The observed multiple land allocations in both Region X and Province Y exemplify how legislative ambiguities, coupled with insufficient oversight and possible fraudulent activities, can lead to inefficiencies, disputes, and economic stagnation. Such mishaps not only hamper sustainable land use and urban planning but can also erode public trust, which is foundational for any land governance system to function effectively.

Another pivotal aspect highlighted in the study is the broader socio-economic impact of land mismanagement. Conflicting land rights don't merely represent bureaucratic challenges; they have palpable consequences for local communities, particularly vulnerable groups like small-scale farmers and indigenous tribes. The alteration of agricultural lands in Province Y, presumably for short-term gains, raises concerns over long-term food security, community displacement, and economic disparities. Moreover, the deterrent effect such conflicts exert on potential investors cannot be overlooked. Investments, especially in sectors like agriculture, housing, and infrastructure, are often predicated on the clarity of land rights. Ambiguities or disputes in this arena can significantly stifle economic growth and deter both local and foreign investments.

Conversely, the new legislative framework's potential benefits, as elaborated in the paper, offer a roadmap towards more sustainable and equitable land management. However, the practical realization of these benefits hinges on rigorous implementation, public education, and continual monitoring. The press release from the Ministry of Lands and Water Affairs, warning against duplicitous land allocations, hints at the challenges that lie ahead. It signifies not only the government's awareness of the ongoing discrepancies but also its commitment to rectify them. As Botswana moves forward, a synergized approach – encompassing legislative refinements, technology-driven monitoring, public engagement, and inter-agency cooperation – appears paramount to ensure that land, a finite and invaluable resource, is managed judiciously for the collective benefit.

**IN CLOSING:**

This review offers a deep dive into Botswana's land allocation paradigm, tracing its history, understanding its mechanisms, and identifying challenges. After establishing the context with Botswana's land management

systems, the study dissects the new land distribution law, its aspirations, and its implications. The discourse further illuminates potential pitfalls in the legislation's rollout and leverages case studies to demonstrate real-world repercussions. In the end, the document proffers tangible recommendations to streamline land allocation, ensuring transparency, fairness, and alignment with Botswana's development vision. Botswana's journey in land allocation and management, as portrayed in this study, reveals the intricate dance of legislation, governance, and socio-economic imperatives. The challenges observed, particularly in Region X and Province Y, are emblematic of broader issues many nations grapple with: the challenge of translating well-intentioned policies into practical, on-the-ground realities. Discrepancies in land allocation not only deter economic progress but also threaten the societal fabric by marginalizing vulnerable groups and compromising long-term sustainability. However, the advent of new legislative frameworks presents a promising turn, signaling a commitment to more equitable and sustainable land governance. For Botswana, the way forward lies in harnessing this legislative momentum, bolstered by robust enforcement, public education, and a technology-driven, transparent approach. In doing so, the nation stands to not only optimize its land resources but also to set a precedent for effective land governance that other countries might emulate.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Banda, L. (2019). *Corruption in urban land administration in Lusaka city: drivers and forms* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Zambia). <http://dspace.unza.zm/handle/123456789/6787>
- [2] Briassoulis, H. (2019). Combating land degradation and desertification: The land-use planning quandary. *Land*, 8(2), 27. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-445X/8/2/27>
- [3] Iswantoro, I. (2021). Strategy and Management of Dispute Resolution, Land Conflicts at the Land Office of Sleman Regency. *Journal of Human Rights, Culture and Legal System*, 1(1). <http://www.jhcls.org/index.php/JHCLS/article/view/3>
- [4] Kalabamu, F. T. (2021). A commentary on Botswana's 2019 national land policy. *Land Use Policy*, 108, 105563. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0264837721002866>
- [5] Kampamba, J., Kachepa, S., Sungirirai, L., Nyendwa, C., Mpolokang, P., & Majingo, M. (2019). Land governance in Botswana. In *Land Governance in Southern Africa Symposium*. [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Johnson-Kampamba/publication/336086064\\_Land\\_governance\\_in\\_Botswana/links/6087a45a8ea909241e28d5a1/Land-governance-in-Botswana.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Johnson-Kampamba/publication/336086064_Land_governance_in_Botswana/links/6087a45a8ea909241e28d5a1/Land-governance-in-Botswana.pdf)
- [6] Liu, F., Li, L., Zhang, Y., Ngo, Q. T., & Iqbal, W. (2021). Role of education in poverty reduction: Macroeconomic and social determinants from developing economies. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28, 63163-63177. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11356-021-15252-z>
- [7] Ma, W., Jiang, G., Chen, Y., Qu, Y., Zhou, T., & Li, W. (2020). How feasible is regional integration for reconciling land use conflicts across the urban-rural interface? Evidence from Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei metropolitan region in China. *Land Use Policy*, p. 92, 104433. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0264837719313092>
- [8] Ng'ong'ola, C. (2019). Botswana's Tribal Land Act of 2018: Confounding Innovations with Congenital and Other Defects. *U. Botswana LJ*, 27, 3. <https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/unbotslj27&div=2&id=&page=>
- [9] Schmink, M., & Wood, C. H. (2019). The "political ecology" of Amazonia. In *Lands at risk in the Third World: Local-level perspectives* (pp. 38-57). Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780429042065-3/political-ecology-amazonia-marianne-schmink-charles-wood>