

BOUNDS ON DEGREE SUM ENERGY OF GRAPH

Shivakumar Swamy. C. S

Department of Mathematics, Government College for Women (Autonomous), Mandya-571401, India

Email address: cskswamy@gmail.comDate of Submission: 28th April 2022Revised: 20th May 2022Accepted: 26th June 2022

ABSTRACT

The sum of the absolute values of all Degree Sum eigenvalues $E_{DS}(G)$ of a graph G is called as the Degree sum energy of G . One upper and lower constraints on the degree Sum energy are obtained in this study.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C50.

Keywords and phrases: Degree sum matrix, Degree sum eigenvalues, Degree Sum energy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that G is a simple graph, and that $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is its vertex set. When the vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent, the adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of the graph G is a square matrix of rank n with The $(i: j)$ – entry equal to unity, otherwise, it is equal to zero. The eigenvalues of the graph G are $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$, of $A(G)$, which are considered to be non-increasing in order.

I. Gutman [6] originally defined the energy of G in 1978 as the total of its eigenvalues absolute values: $E(G) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\delta_k|$. There has been a steady flow of articles on this subject since I. Gutman first established the graph energy $E(G)$ of a simple graph G . For basic mathematical properties of the theory of graph energy including its upper and lower bounds one can see [4, 11]. Erich

Huckle[8], employed the energy of graphs technique in the early 1930s to develop approximations solutions for a family of organic molecules known as conjugated hydro carbons.

Numerous matrix types, including Incidence [10], Distance [9], Lapalcian [7], Maximum Degree Matrix [1] and others are established and researched for graphs, with inspiration drawn from the adjacency matrix(AM) of a graph. In their publication [12], Ramane et al. introduced and investigated sum-degree energy of G , defined as follows:

Let G be a simple graph with connections. The matrix $DSM(G) = [d_{kj}]$ needs to be defined as,

$$d_{kj} = \begin{cases} d_k + d_j, & \text{when } v_k \text{ and } v_j \text{ are adjacent} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

This is referred to as G 's degree sum matrix.

The degree sum energy DSE of G is then written as $E_{DS}(G) = \sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|$, where, ξ_k are the eigenvalues of $DSM(G)$, Furthermore, these eigenvalues are real numbers and are sorted in ascending order.

Note that $DSM(G)$ has $trace = 0$, and $\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^2 = 2\mathfrak{C}$, where $\mathfrak{C} = \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (d_k + d_j)^2$.

2. BOUNDS FOR DEGREE SUM ENERGY

Throughout this section G denotes a simple graph. This section is aimed to discuss upper and lower bounds for Degree sum Energy (DSE) of G .

Theorem 3.1 Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges and $2\mathfrak{C} \geq n$ then

$$E_{DS}(\mathbf{G}) \leq \frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{2\mathfrak{C}(n-1)(n^2 - 2\mathfrak{C})}.$$

Proof: Cauchy-Schwarz inequality states that if (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) and (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) are n – vectors then:

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2 \right).$$

For $a_k = 1$, $b_k = |\xi_k|$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$, in the above inequality, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k| \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n 1^2 \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^2 \right).$$

Therefore,

$$(E_{DS}(\mathbf{G}) - \xi_1)^2 \leq (n-1) \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^2 = (n-1)(2\mathfrak{C} - \xi_1^2),$$

$$E_{DS}(\mathbf{G}) = \xi_1 + \sqrt{(n-1)(2\mathfrak{C} - \xi_1^2)}.$$

Now consider the function,

$$f(x) = x + \sqrt{(n-1)(2\mathfrak{C} - x^2)}$$

Note that f is decreasing for $x \geq \sqrt{\frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n}}$, for

$$f'(x) = 1 - \frac{(n-1)x}{\sqrt{(n-1)(2\mathfrak{C} - x^2)}} \leq 0,$$

If and only if, $x \geq \sqrt{\frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n}}$.

Since, $1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n}} \leq \frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n} \leq \xi_1$, we have,

$$f(\xi_1) \leq f\left(\frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$E_{DS}(\mathbf{G}) \leq f(\xi_1) \leq f\left(\frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n}\right).$$

Hence,

$$E_{DS}(\mathbf{G}) \leq \frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n} + \sqrt{(n-1) \left(2\mathfrak{C} - \left(\frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n} \right)^2 \right)}$$

or equivalently,

$$E_{DS}(\mathbf{G}) \leq \frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sqrt{2\mathfrak{C}(n-1)(n^2 - 2\mathfrak{C})}.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let G be simple graph connected having order n and size m , then

$$E_{DS}(G) \leq \frac{4\mathfrak{C}}{(\xi_1 - \xi_n)}. \quad 2.1$$

Proof: Considering, $x = x_k$ and $y = y_k, 1 \leq k \leq n$ as real sequence such that $\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| = 1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^n |y_k| = 0$, the inequality stated below has been proved in [11]:

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} (y_k) - \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} (y_k) \right) \quad 2.2$$

Since, $\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k| = 0$, for $y_k = \xi_k$ and $x_k = \frac{\xi_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|}$ for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we have,

$$\sum_{k=1}^n x_k = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|} = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k| = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|}{\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|} = 1$$

Thus, the inequality (2.2) holds.

Since, $\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k^2 = 2\mathfrak{C}$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k \right| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k| \cdot \frac{\xi_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|} \right| = \frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^n (\xi_k)^2 \right|}{\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|} = \frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{E_{DS}(G)}.$$

Applying this in (2.2), we get,

$$\frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{E_{DS}(G)} \leq \frac{1}{2} (\max(\xi_k) - \min(\xi_k)),$$

From which, we have

$$\frac{2\mathfrak{C}}{E_{DS}(G)} \leq \frac{1}{2} (\xi_1 - \xi_n).$$

If $G \cong K_n$, then we see that,

$$\xi_k = (n-1)^2, \xi_2 = -(n-1), \dots, \xi_n = -(n-1)$$

and,

$$\xi_1 - \xi_n = n(n-1).$$

So the equality holds in (2.1).

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Adiga and Smith M ,On Maximum degree energy of a Graph,Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 4(34), (2012).
- [2] D. Babi_c and I. Gutman, More lower bounds for the total π –electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, MATCH Commun. Comput., 32 (1995), 7-17.
- [3] E.Hückel, Quantentheoretische Beiträge zum Benzolproblem I. Die Elektronenkonfiguration des Benzols und verwandter Verbindungen.Z:phys.70 (1931) 204-286.
- [4] B.J. McClelland, Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: The estimation of π –electron energy,J. Chem.Phys., 41, No. 1 (2007).
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, A survey on cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz type discrete inequalities, J.Inequal. Pure Appl.Math. 4(3) (2003), 1-142.
- [6] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, Ber. Math. Stat. Sect. Forschungsz.Graz, 103 (1978), 1-22.
- [7] I. Gutman and B. Zhou, Laplacian energy of a Graph, Lin. Algebra Appl, 414 (2006), 29-37.
- [8] G. Indulal, I. Gutman, A. Vijaykumar, On distance energy of Graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60(2008), 355-372.
- [10] M. R. Jooyandeh, D. Kiani, M. Mirzakhah, Incidence energy of Graph, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60(2008), 561-572.
- [11] D. S. Mitrinović and P. M. Vasić, Analytic inequalities, Springer, Berlin, (1970).
- [12] H.S.Ramane, D.S.Revankar, and J.B.Patil. Bounds for the degree sum eigenvalues and degree sum energy of a graph. International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences, 6(2),(2013) 161-167.