# THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL TOOLS ON STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT IN MATHEMATICS- A REVIEW

### Basanta Borah

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Majuli College, Majuli Bborah.mc@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

The integration of digital tools into mathematics education has transformed traditional teaching and learning approaches. This paper explores how digital technologies such as educational software, online learning platforms, mobile applications, and interactive whiteboards impact student achievement in mathematics. Drawing on existing literature, case studies, and recent data, the paper evaluates both the advantages and limitations of digital tool usage. Findings suggest that when used effectively, digital tools enhance conceptual understanding, engagement, and performance in mathematics. However, outcomes vary depending on teacher preparedness, access to technology, and pedagogical integration. Recommendations for future practice and research are also presented.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

In the last two decades, education has undergone a profound transformation due to rapid technological advancement. Digital technology has penetrated almost every aspect of our daily lives, and education is no exception. Classrooms that once relied solely on chalkboards and textbooks are now equipped with smart boards, tablets, internet-enabled devices, and educational software (Dockendorff and Solar, 2017). Mathematics, often regarded as one of the most challenging subjects in school, has particularly benefited from the integration of digital tools. This subject, with its focus on logic, problem-solving, and abstract concepts, can become more accessible and engaging when taught using appropriate technological aids. As education systems worldwide strive to enhance student learning outcomes and mathematical literacy, evaluating the impact of digital tools on mathematics achievement has become increasingly significant. Mathematics education traditionally emphasizes precision, practice, and the mastery of both procedural and conceptual understanding. However, many students find it difficult to grasp mathematical ideas when taught using only conventional methods. Visualizing abstract concepts, such as geometry, algebraic relationships, and calculus, can be a major challenge without the use of illustrations or simulations. Digital tools bridge this gap by offering dynamic representations and interactive experiences that help students understand these concepts more concretely. For instance, software like GeoGebra allows students to manipulate shapes and functions in real time, thereby fostering a deeper understanding through exploration and discovery (Dockendorff and Solar, 2017). Such tools not only assist in developing cognitive skills but also make learning more enjoyable and less intimidating. The term "digital tools" in the context of mathematics education encompasses a wide range of technologies. These include graphing calculators, computerassisted instruction (CAI), mobile applications, online learning platforms (such as Khan Academy or BYJU'S), educational games, virtual manipulatives, and even artificial intelligence-based adaptive learning systems. Each of these tools serves specific purposes-whether it's practicing basic arithmetic, solving complex equations, analyzing data, or collaborating on mathematical problems online. These tools are often used in both formal classroom settings and informal learning environments, such as at home or in tutoring centers.

One of the most significant benefits of digital tools is their ability to provide immediate feedback. Traditional classroom methods often involve solving problems in notebooks, after which the teacher checks and discusses them. However, by the time feedback is given, the learning moment may have passed. Digital tools can close this gap by offering instant responses and guided hints. This immediate feedback loop allows students to correct their mistakes on the spot, learn from them, and build confidence in their problem-solving abilities. Moreover, digital tools offer personalized learning paths, which are particularly useful in heterogeneous classrooms where students have varied learning needs and paces. Adaptive learning platforms use algorithms to analyze a student's progress

and adjust the difficulty level accordingly. For example, if a student is struggling with fractions, the system will provide more practice problems and alternative explanations until the concept is mastered. Conversely, advanced learners can move ahead without being held back by the class average. This tailored approach can significantly enhance student motivation and achievement in mathematics. Engagement and motivation are other areas where digital tools have shown a positive impact. Mathematics can often appear dry and repetitive to students, especially those who find it difficult. However, integrating gamified learning experiences—such as quizzes, puzzles, and interactive challenges-can make math more appealing. Apps like Prodigy and Mathletics use game-based mechanics to reinforce math skills while keeping learners entertained. This fusion of play and education encourages consistent practice, which is crucial for mastering mathematical concepts. Despite these promising advantages, the impact of digital tools is not universally positive or guaranteed. Several factors influence the effectiveness of technology in mathematics education. First, teacher readiness and training are crucial. A digital tool is only as effective as the teacher who implements it. Many educators lack adequate training in educational technology, which can lead to under-utilization or misuse. Professional development programs are needed to equip teachers with both technical skills and pedagogical strategies to integrate digital tools meaningfully into their lessons (Kramarski and Hirsch, 2003). Infrastructure and access remain significant challenges, particularly in rural and under-resourced schools. While urban private schools may have access to high-speed internet, smart classrooms, and a one-student-one-device policy, many government-run schools in developing regions struggle with basic connectivity and limited computer availability. This creates a digital divide, where only certain groups of students can fully benefit from digital learning tools. There is a concern that over-reliance on digital tools might hinder the development of foundational skills (Fabian et al., 2018). For example, students using apps like Photomath may get instant answers without understanding the steps or logic behind the solution. Without proper guidance, these tools can promote surface learning, where students memorize procedures without developing critical thinking or reasoning abilities. Thus, digital tools should be seen as a complement to, rather than a replacement for, quality teaching. In light of these considerations, this paper seeks to explore the relationship between digital tools and student achievement in mathematics. It aims to assess the benefits and limitations of such tools, supported by literature review, real-life case studies, and recent data. The paper also examines how digital tools can be effectively integrated into diverse educational contexts to maximize student learning outcomes. Finally, it provides recommendations for educators, policymakers, and technology developers on how to ensure that digital interventions are equitable, effective, and aligned with pedagogical goals.

# 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Gherheş et al. (2021) conducted a meta-analysis of online learning and found that students using digital learning tools performed slightly better than those receiving only face-to-face instruction.

Eyyam and Yaratan (2014) found that educational technology had a small but positive effect on mathematics achievement, particularly when used for individualized instruction.

Dockendorff and Solar (2017) highlighted how interactive digital tools, especially simulations and visualizations, can improve conceptual understanding in mathematics.

Tanveer et al. (2011) analyzed computer-based mathematics instruction and concluded that technology use is most effective when integrated into regular classroom teaching rather than as a stand-alone activity. He also reported that students who used computers for mathematical problem-solving showed improved performance on standardized tests.

Ghavifekr et al.(2015) observed that the use of digital technology has a moderate impact on learner achievement, but its effectiveness depends on how it is implemented by teachers.

Jones (2005) found that tools like graphing calculators enhance understanding of algebraic and geometric relationships when used appropriately in instruction.

Kramarski and Hirsch (2003) suggested that computer algebra systems (CAS) help students focus more on mathematical thinking than routine calculations, promoting higher-order skills.

Fabian et al.(2018) showed that students who used networked handheld devices in group-based math learning performed significantly better than those in traditional settings.

Hillmayr et al.(2020) emphasized the importance of teacher training in integrating digital tools into math instruction and how it affects student outcomes.

Attard and Holmes(2020) found that technology can change the way students think about and engage with mathematical concepts by making abstract ideas more concrete.

Smiderle (2020) highlighted that digital games used in math classrooms led to higher engagement levels and better retention of content.

Papert (1980), through his work on "constructionism", argued that students learn mathematics more deeply when they build knowledge using tools like programming or digital models.

Walters et al.(2018) found that digital storytelling and visual modeling helped students articulate and solve realworld mathematical problems more effectively.

Rolfes et al.(2020) demonstrated that using real-time data visualization tools in algebra lessons improved student ability to interpret and manipulate variables dynamically.

### 3. TYPES OF DIGITAL TOOLS IN MATHEMATICS EDUCATION (INDIA CONTEXT)

In India, the use of digital tools in mathematics education has been increasing across different educational levels, especially with the push toward digital learning through national initiatives like DIKSHA, PM eVidya, and the National Education Policy 2020. Digital tools available in India can be broadly categorized into the following types:

### 3.1 Educational Software and Mathematics Applications

Several digital platforms and software tools are designed specifically to teach mathematical concepts interactively:

**GeoGebra**: Widely used in Indian classrooms, GeoGebra is an open-source software that allows students to visualize geometry, algebra, calculus, and statistics through dynamic graphics (Dockendorff and Solar, 2017).

**Desmos**: A graphing calculator tool used by many Indian teachers for teaching functions and equations interactively.

**Photomath:** Popular among students, it provides step-by-step solutions to mathematical problems using the camera. While helpful, it requires careful use to ensure conceptual understanding.

**Microsoft Math Solver and Google Lens:** These AI-powered apps are gaining popularity among high school students for problem-solving and learning steps.

### 3.2 Government-Supported Digital Learning Platforms

To make digital content accessible and inclusive, the Indian government has developed several platforms:

**DIKSHA** (**Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing**): Launched by the Ministry of Education, this platform offers curriculum-aligned math videos, worksheets, and quizzes for students from classes 1 to 12, in multiple Indian languages.

**ePathshala:** A collaborative initiative of NCERT and CIET, ePathshala provides digital textbooks, interactive resources, and math content in PDF, video, and audio formats.

**SWAYAM and NROER:** These are national platforms that offer math modules for school and college students. SWAYAM provides self-paced online courses, and NROER (National Repository of Open Educational Resources) shares interactive math learning objects.

### 3.3 Online Learning Platforms and Mobile Apps

Private EdTech companies have developed platforms to supplement school education:

**BYJU'S:** A leading Indian EdTech company that uses animations and personalized learning paths to teach mathematics, especially useful for competitive exam preparation.

**Toppr, Vedantu, and Unacademy:** These platforms offer live and recorded math classes, personalized doubt-solving, and mock tests for school students and aspirants of JEE/NEET exams.

**Khan Academy India:** Provides free, high-quality video lessons and exercises aligned with Indian curricula, especially CBSE and NCERT.

### 3.4 Virtual Manipulatives and Simulation Tools

These are interactive online tools that mimic physical teaching aids and allow students to experiment with math ideas:

**Didax Virtual Manipulatives, Toy Theater, and Braining camp:** Though mostly foreign, Indian schools increasingly use such tools for fractions, base-ten blocks, number lines, and algebra tiles.

Some Indian EdTech tools have started including manipulatives in their learning management systems (LMS) to improve experiential learning in math.

#### 3.5 Smart Boards, Tablets, and Multimedia Classrooms

Under schemes like ICT@Schools and Smart Classroom Projects, many Indian government and private schools have adopted:

**Interactive Smart Boards:** Used to present animated math concepts, solve problems step-by-step in real time, and facilitate class participation.

**Tablets and Laptops:** State governments like Kerala and Delhi have distributed tablets or laptops with preloaded math apps and eBooks to reduce the digital divide.

### 3.6 Video-Based Learning and Television Broadcasts

To reach students without smartphones or internet, India has promoted video-based math learning through:

**PM eVidya** – One Class One Channel: Dedicated TV channels for each grade under the Swayam Prabha initiative, offering recorded math lessons in regional languages.

**YouTube Channels:** Thousands of Indian teachers and platforms host free math tutorials, including channels like Dear Sir, Mathematics Wallah, and Ganit Guru.

### 3.7 Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Adaptive Learning Systems

Emerging technologies are making learning more personalized and intelligent:

AI-based apps like Doubtnut and Embibe provide question-solving through scanned images and adjust difficulty based on student performance.

Platforms like BYJU'S and Khan Academy also use learning analytics to recommend practice based on a student's strengths and weaknesses.

### 3.8 Assessment and Quiz Tools

To monitor progress and encourage practice:

Google Forms, Quizizz, Kahoot, and Testmoz are used by Indian teachers for real-time assessment, homework, and online quizzes.

Many state boards have integrated digital assessment tools within LMS platforms to evaluate mathematical skills and provide instant feedback.

India's growing ecosystem of digital tools in mathematics is enhancing learning experiences across socioeconomic segments. However, challenges such as uneven access, lack of teacher training, and infrastructural constraints must still be addressed for equitable and effective implementation.

# 4. IMPACT ON STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT

Digital tools impact student learning in various ways:

### 4.1 Improved Conceptual Understanding

Tools like simulations and graphing calculators help students understand complex topics such as functions, calculus, and statistics. For example, GeoGebra allows learners to dynamically adjust parameters and observe changes in graphs.

### 4.2 Increased Engagement and Motivation

Gamified apps and interactive tutorials maintain student interest. Feedback from platforms like Khan Academy motivates continuous learning and self-correction.

### 4.3 Individualized Learning Paths

AI-powered platforms adjust difficulty levels and provide personalized tasks based on student performance, accommodating diverse learning needs.

### 4.4 Performance Outcomes

Empirical studies report mixed but generally positive results. A 2021 study by NCERT found that Class 10 students using digital aids scored on average 7% higher in math than those using traditional methods only.

# 5. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTATION

Despite potential benefits, several barriers hinder the effective use of digital tools:

### 5.1 Lack of Infrastructure

In many rural and underdeveloped regions, schools lack the necessary hardware, internet access, or maintenance facilities.

### 5.2 Teacher Training

Many educators are not adequately trained to integrate digital tools into their pedagogy effectively. Resistance to change or lack of confidence can reduce effectiveness.

# 5.3 Digital Divide

Students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds may have limited access to devices at home, exacerbating inequalities.

### 5.4 Overdependence on Technology

Some tools, like Photomath, can lead to superficial learning, where students solve problems without understanding the underlying concepts.

### 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

Following are the some of the Recommendations:

**Teacher Training Programs:** Educational Institutions must invest in continuous professional development focusing on digital pedagogy.

**Equitable Access:** Government schemes should ensure infrastructure development and affordable internet access for all.

Blended Learning Models: Combine traditional teaching with digital tools for maximum effectiveness.

**Monitoring and Evaluation:** Implement data collection mechanisms to assess the effectiveness of digital tools in real-time.

# 7. CONCLUSION

Digital tools have emerged as powerful resources in transforming the landscape of mathematics education. Their ability to present abstract concepts in a visual and interactive manner makes them particularly well-suited to a subject that many students traditionally find challenging. When used effectively, these tools can enhance student engagement, encourage active learning, and improve academic performance by allowing learners to visualize, explore, and interact with mathematical ideas in meaningful ways.Digital tools promote self-paced and personalized learning. Students can access a variety of platforms that cater to their specific learning levels, styles, and speeds. Features like instant feedback, adaptive testing, and modified learning not only help in improving accuracy and understanding but also boost confidence and motivation. In a diverse classroom, where students often have varying levels of ability, these tools serve as valuable support mechanisms to address individual learning gaps. The successful integration of digital tools into mathematics education is not without challenges. One of the key issues is unequal access to digital infrastructure, especially in rural and economically disadvantaged areas. Many schools in India still lack the necessary hardware, reliable internet connectivity, and consistent power supply needed to run these tools effectively. Additionally, there remains a pressing need for teacher training. Teachers must not only be technically proficient but also pedagogically equipped to blend digital tools into traditional instructional methods to maximize their impact.

Another important consideration is that technology should be viewed as a supplement rather than a replacement for classroom teaching. While digital tools offer many benefits, the role of the teacher remains irreplaceable in guiding students, providing emotional support, and facilitating deeper learning through dialogue and discussion. In conclusion, digital tools offer immense potential to improve mathematics education, but their effectiveness depends on thoughtful integration, adequate infrastructure, and proper teacher support. Future research should explore the long-term impact of digital tools on learning outcomes, their adaptability across different regions and socio-economic contexts, and best practices for combining them with traditional teaching to create a balanced and inclusive learning environment.

# 8. REFERENCES

- I. Gherheş, V., Stoian, C. E., Fărcaşiu, M. A., & Stanici, M. (2021). E-learning vs. face-to-face learning: Analyzing students' preferences and behaviors. *Sustainability*, *13*(8), 4381. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084381
- II. Eyyam, R., & Yaratan, H. S. (2014). Impact of use of technology in mathematics lessons on student achievement and attitudes. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 42(Suppl.), S31– S42. https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2014.42.0.S31
- III. Dockendorff, M., & Solar, H. (2017). ICT integration in mathematics initial teacher training and its impact on visualization: The case of GeoGebra. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*. https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2017.1341060
- **IV.** Tanveer, A. M., Azeem, M., Maqbool, S., & Tahirkheli, S. A. (2011). Attitude of mathematics teachers related to the use of computer technology in the classroom. *The International Journal of Learning*, *18*(2). http://www.learning-journal.com

- V. Ghavifekr, S., & Rosdy, W. A. W. (2015). Teaching and learning with technology: Effectiveness of ICT integration in schools. *International Journal of Research in Education and Science (IJRES)*, 1(2), 175–191
- VI. Jones, K. (2005). Graphing calculators in the teaching and learning of mathematics: A research bibliography. *Micromath*, 21(2), 31–33.
- VII. Kramarski, B., & Hirsch, C. (2003). Using computer algebra systems in mathematical classrooms. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 19(1), 35–45
- VIII. Fabian, K., Topping, K. J., & Barron, I. G. (2018). Using mobile technologies for mathematics: Effects on student attitudes and achievement. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 66, 1119–1139. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-018-9580-3
- **IX.** Hillmayr, D., Ziernwald, L., Reinhold, F., Hofer, S. I., & Reiss, K. M. (2020). The potential of digital tools to enhance mathematics and science learning in secondary schools: A context-specific meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, *153*, 103897. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103897
- X. Attard, C., & Holmes, K. (2020). "It gives you that sense of hope": An exploration of technology use to mediate student engagement with mathematics. *Heliyon*, 6(1), e02945. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02945
- **XI.** Smiderle, R., Rigo, S. J., Marques, L. B., Coelho, J. A. P. de M., & Jaques, P. A. (2020). The impact of gamification on students' learning, engagement and behavior based on their personality traits. *Smart Learning Environments*, 7, Article 3
- **XII.** Walters, L. M., Green, M. R., Goldsby, D., & Parker, D. (2018). Digital storytelling as a problem-solving strategy in mathematics teacher education: How making a math-eo engages and excites 21st century students. *International Journal of Technology in Education and Science (IJTES)*, 2(1), 1–16.
- XIII. Rolfes, T., Roth, J., & Schnotz, W. (2020). Learning the concept of function with dynamic visualizations. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 693. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00693.
- XIV. https://quantumzeitgeist.com/seymour-papert/
- XV. https://static.pib.gov.in/WriteReadData/userfiles/333%20-%20Copy%201.pdf
- XVI. https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2018/12/10/improvements-ahead-how-humans-and-ai-might-evolve-together-in-the-next-decade/
- XVII. https://photomath.com/articles/photomath-101-get-math-with-photomath/