

AI-DRIVEN SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE GUIDANCE FOR NON-TECHNICAL ENTREPRENEURS: BRIDGING THE GAP BETWEEN VISION AND TECHNOLOGY

Samuel Johnson

Abstract

Managing software engineering projects is usually a nightmare for non-technical entrepreneurs since designing and implementing software is generally associated with some technical difficulties relating to software architecture. Thus, this paper considers the opportunities that AI-based architecture consultancy tools can bring to business people to foster guidance through complex technical choices, starting with the idea and ending with implementation. Thus, these tools using NLP analysis, machine learning, and models based on Simulated AI can make the architectural concepts easier to understand, give recommendations or suggest ideas, all in a way an entrepreneur who does not have to be skilled in architecture. The limitations, facts, trends, and possible impacts of AI in providing architectural guidelines are also captured in this research. Ultimately, these tools will go a long way in closing the gap between the visionary of the business and the software development requirements, hence allowing non-technical founders to execute their ideas effectively.

Keywords; *AI-driven architecture, non-technical entrepreneurs, software architecture, machine learning, natural language processing, architecture guidance, ethical AI, decision support systems*

1. Introduction

The increasing relevance of digital business in various industries has inspired a generation of entrepreneurs to consider software solutions to address challenges, improve efficiency, or access new client bases. However, the technical challenges associated with software development can become a massive hurdle, especially for founders who do not have a technical background. Evaluating technology options, system organization, and questions of growth must involve more than software architecture understanding; one needs to know how to contain threats, promote maintainability, and encourage extensibility for the future. These decisions may be challenging and risky to inexperienced business people as they do not understand technical concepts or even have experience with developers who can help them cover this knowledge.

AI-based software architecture recommendation tools are an adequate replacement for an expert advisor in this context as they still remove all the technical details and give clear recommendations on the architecture choices in the complex decision-making process. Through using structured advice and showing a variety of possible positive consequences of certain decisions, AI can limit the top risks entrepreneurs face using consultants while at the same time retaining the ultimate authority over the product's development. This paper aims to analyze how AI can help non-tech entrepreneurs navigate through software architecture choices, identify the models by which requirements and design patterns are examined, propose technology stacks, and give decision-making support to the entrepreneur.



Figure 1: Thriving in a Digital Era: Digital Transformation for Businesses

2. The Need for AI in Software Architecture Guidance

Table 1: The Perks of AI Architecture Advice

Benefit	Explanation
Decentralization of Access	AI makes intricate software design information accessible to non-technical entrepreneurs, empowering them to make decisions without technical expertise.
Enhanced Confidence and Skills	AI helps entrepreneurs feel more involved in decision-making and improves their ability to manage development teams and communicate with technical experts.
Reduced Costs and Time	AI guidance reduces reliance on costly consultants, speeding up the decision-making process and allowing entrepreneurs to focus on other business areas.
Predictive Analytics for Risk Mitigation	AI helps entrepreneurs identify challenges early, reducing the risk of costly setbacks and allowing for better planning and resource allocation.
Objectivity and Bias-Free Solutions	AI provides evidence-based, unbiased recommendations, free from the subjective influences that human consultants might have, ensuring more accurate and reliable solutions.

2.1 Challenges Faced by Non-Technical Entrepreneurs

The problem is that many non-technical entrepreneurs deal with several issues while deciding on software development, using technical terminology, design patterns, and the correct tech stack that they are not always ready to solve (MacDonald, 2018). For instance, terms like "microservices," "cloud-native," and "database sharding" may prove a bit enigmatic, meaning that outside consultants are hired. This dependency makes things costly and may extend the process and give limited control to the entrepreneur. In addition, the specific architecture may be unknown to the entrepreneurs or managers, such as Model-View-Controller or layered architecture, which may meet the project requirements and complexity level. Moreover, the ability to choose an appropriate technology stack is often constrained by the lack of information about available programming languages, frameworks and databases and their scalability, relative simplicity, and cost implications.

2.2 AI as a Solution

These challenges show that AI-generated guidance plans solve the problem because they help change intricate architecture into accessible and practical directions. In its most basic form, AI can reduce the amount of 'code speak' associated with technical subjects owing to natural language processing (NLP) algorithms that provide simplified definitions for complex terms. Also, specific advice based on an entrepreneur's goals and objectives helps determine the most appropriate architectural structures, tools, and recurrent patterns. It can also approximate various architectural possibilities and provide information regarding the drawbacks and advantages of the selected choices to help entrepreneurs make wiser, more tactical decisions. Thus, using AI-based instruments can minimize the technical skill gap so that entrepreneurs can safely and confidently address software architecture questions (Shepherd & Majchrzak, 2022).




Figure 2: The Rapid Rise of Generative AI

2.3 The Perks of AI Architecture Advice

Some of the benefits, however, that non-technical entrepreneurs stand to enjoy include: First, it decentralizes the accessibility of intricate software design information so that the entrepreneurs can make decisions previously unavailable to them. AI makes technical barriers more transparent, allowing venture creators to be much more involved in decision-making without possessing profound tech skills. It strengthens the entrepreneur's confidence and enhances skills for managing development teams and communicating with technical professionals.

It means decreased costs and time spent using an AI guidance system. Adopting such outcomes illustrates that opportunity identification can enhance the development timeline by eliminating or at least limiting the application of costly consulting professionals. This means that with AI's help, many technical aspects are solved, allowing entrepreneurs to concentrate on other vital fields of their business, such as marketing and acquisition. It also means that through predictive analytics, the entrepreneur is on the lookout for challenges right from when they are a minor hurdle rather than a significant mishap that sets them back financially.

AI tools can be free from biases that human consultants might possess knowingly or unknown to themselves (Shepherd & Majchrzak, 2022). As much as active human advisors may have subjective biases or may be inclined by certain tendencies and predispositions, AI depends purely on evidence-based facts. Such an approach can generate more objective solutions that reflect implementation experience and standard of practice. When using AI guidance, entrepreneurs will be confident that the architectural decisions made are not only relevant but also based on the current trends and have the capability to grow and scale.

3. Methodologies for AI-Driven Software Architecture Guidance

Table 2: Methodologies for AI-Driven Software Architecture Guidance

Methodology	Description	Benefits
Natural Language Processing (NLP)	NLP models translate high-level entrepreneurial visions into clear, functional software requirements. It helps entrepreneurs avoid technical jargon by converting ideas into actionable architecture specifications.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Simplifies communication between entrepreneurs and AI. - Helps identify project needs and requirements. - Makes complex concepts accessible.
Machine Learning (ML) for Pattern and Technology Recommendations	ML models suggest architectural patterns and technology stacks based on historical data from similar successful projects. It helps determine the most suitable design patterns for	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Recommends architecture based on project needs. - Suggests tech stacks suited for specific use

Methodology	Description	Benefits
	projects based on budget, size, and scalability needs.	cases. - Helps evaluate costs, performance, and scalability.
AI-Powered Decision Trees	AI-driven decision trees guide entrepreneurs through a step-by-step process of selecting the right architecture. Questions at each step narrow down options based on requirements like scalability, user interface, and application type.	- Provides structured decision-making. - Allows step-by-step guidance to identify the most suitable architecture. - Educates entrepreneurs on key architectural factors.
Knowledge Graphs	Knowledge graphs visualize connections between different architectural components (e.g., databases, servers, APIs) to help entrepreneurs understand how systems work together.	- Visualizes system architecture and dependencies. - Helps identify compatibility and integration issues. - Facilitates future iterations and modifications.
Simulations and What-If Scenarios	Simulations and what-if scenarios test how architectural decisions impact performance, scalability, and costs. These tools help entrepreneurs make decisions based on realistic projections.	- Validates architectural decisions before implementation. - Helps forecast costs and performance impacts. - Reduces risk of expensive changes during development.

3.1 Natural Language Processing (NLP) for Requirement Gathering

Some of the information that may be difficult for the AI to work with include vision statements, features, and targets of the related audience that entrepreneurs share when presenting their project ideas. NLP models can then analyze textual data to help discern certain patterns, realize specific essential needs, and extract functional specifications. Thus, NLP effectively links an entrepreneurial vision and software requirements by translating high-level visionary ideas into explicitly defined and well-understood functional prescriptions. For example, suppose an entrepreneur wants to develop a social platform and has an idea about the high user activity level and fast growth of their number. In that case, NLP can identify content management skills requirements and propose such options as real-time messaging and CDN for speed optimization.

NLP tools are superior to keyword-based approaches because they infer the context from descriptions to create a full-fledged list of requirements. Such tools might use sentiment analysis to determine how much importance owners assign to specific characteristics, which can often remain latent. This helps establish what efforts should be influenced by the architecture: users, security or flexibility. Further, NLP can distinguish different project themes, like e-commerce or social

networking; the first steps in the initial architectural planning of features suggested by NLP will correspond to the project's intended domain.

One of the means of NLP-driven tools is that non-technical ventures can state project requirements in concepts close to them to avoid specification in technical jargon. For instance, a business person might say, 'The project must accommodate many users at once' when they require scalable architecture. This tool ensures that non-technical people can quickly build the fundamentals to make the envisioned software solution possible.

Further progress allows for even more significant benefits as new and previously overlooked peculiarities can be detected by NLP models or the absence of the information required in generating the initial directions and objectives for development; thus, entrepreneurs are invited to fill in the gaps. NLP can point out further investigation opportunities, like data protection activities in the case of handling confidential data or the field of regulatory compliance (Mahendran et al., 2021). This additional guidance can help business people avoid omitting some parts while developing a solution, resulting in a more robust set of specifications for the AI to tackle in the subsequent steps.



Figure 3: What is NLP

3.2 Machine Learning Models for Pattern and Technology Recommendations

Since the ML models rely on past datasets obtained from a wide range of successful software projects, it is possible to receive unique suggestions on architectural patterns and technology stacks. Looking at previous data, it is possible to use trending and correlation from ML models and develop a recommended design pattern for specific projects, sectors, and scaling goals. This is useful in enabling artificial intelligent-driven systems to make recommendations as to whether a monolithic micro-services or server-less architecture would suit an entrepreneur's project best given the constraints of budget, size of the project and the need for security.

For instance, an ML recommendation engine may recommend a monolithic architecture for a small-scale, independent application because this family is typically less complicated and costly. On the other hand, for projects that may have high scalability and a tolerance for failure, such as a SaaS platform, ML models propose the application of the cloud-native microservices architecture. Such a choice would imply a non-integrated or modular design and more efficient usage of resources, enabling the system to be ready for increased visitor traffic at any time. In addition, ML can consider recent improvements in serverless computing and recommend the approach for applications in which use is sporadic or where the emphasis is on cost optimization.

This basis of architectural patterns can suggest concrete technology sets, including programming languages, frameworks, and databases (Costa & Madrazo, 2015). Some of the recommendations hinge on the different types of user interfaces, likely user traffic, and the use-related data described in the project brief. For instance, an AI-based suggestion could be Python and Django for a web application that uses or processes a reasonable amount of data or Node.js and MongoDB for a heavy, real-time web application. Councils of that sort assist startups and business people in decision-making about tools that belong to the technology background, even if the owner has no idea about them.

Machine learning models can also help enhance entrepreneurs' awareness of the consequences of their technology decisions. This way, ML tools provide information on time-to-market, maintenance requirements, and developer accessibility connected with every technology based on similar projects.

This is beneficial to non-technical entrepreneurs as it enables them to weigh the pros and cons of performance against the costs in terms of time, money and effort and between the benefits of flexibility against the relative ease of use, depending on the needs of the particular project and the long-term vision of the entrepreneur.



Figure 4: Machine Learning Models

3.3 AI-Powered Decision Trees for Architecture Selection

Decision trees are validated promotional specimens of structured decision-making that use prescriptive, AI-driven decision paths for choosing exemplary software architecture. Decision trees are beneficial for those businessmen who do not possess a technical background since it is almost impossible to make a mistake when considering all the essential features of architecture choice step by step. In the initial stage, the stakeholders might know the application's primary purpose, such as e-commerce or social networking. This initial categorization assists in reducing the available forms of architecture since each application has distinctive needs and styles.

The further choices that proceed from the decision tree may induce the entrepreneur to choose more concrete preferences in the user interface, effectively mobile or web. This decision affects other architecture proposals, as some architectures will be more suitable for mobile applications than others, such as cloud-native or hybrid architectures. After this, the decision tree presents a scalability prerequisite where one determines if a monolithic, microservices or serverless solution will suffice. For instance, the degree of scalability required may point a user towards microservices, while a more stable user base may not require using the microservices architecture.

When answering the questions presented at each stage, the decision trees introduced earlier change their suggestions in real time as entrepreneurs advance through the stages. This specialization helps guarantee that the entrepreneur gets advice that will be as close to the needs and aims of the particular project as possible. Sometimes, the decision tree may lead the entrepreneur to think about long-term scalability or costs, steering them toward more sustainable overall architectures. Because decision trees introduce the decision-making process in a divisible manner into incremental steps, they provide the architectural perspective with more comprehensible needs and options to consider.

Apart from helping with choosing the right architecture, the same AI decision trees can be used to provide educational value (Mishra & Tyagi, 2022). When going through different options and selections, the entrepreneurs develop some understanding of influences that affect software architecture. The introduction to some of these important notions, such as scalability, performance, modularity, etc., will definitely make the entrepreneurs feel more confident in the given process, making them take a more active role in determining the technological basis of the given project.



Figure 5: How to Use a Decision Tree to Make Better Decisions

3.4 Entities to obtain contextual information and dependencies.

Knowledge graphs can be viewed as a valuable tool for visualizing connections between different entities when defining software architecture; this can be useful for entrepreneurs in realizing the project's structure. As much as the components like databases, servers, and API all work together, as shown in knowledge graphs, the big picture is painted. For non-technical entrepreneurs, this graphical perspective helps them realize how different systems are interconnected and how they offer a harmonized user experience.

Knowledge graphs can also provide connections that are not easily seen, like details of how a particular database choice would affect the system's performance and scalability. For instance, if the need arises to access data in real time, the knowledge graph may recommend using a NoSQL database instead of a relational one, although the latter is more standardized. In this way, these dependencies become visible using knowledge graphs; an entrepreneur can make architecture decisions that better suit a project's functional and performance requirements.

The final impact of knowledge graphs is the depiction of compatibility problems or integration issues. For instance, the piece could inform an entrepreneur planning to use a certain cloud provider that there are constraints regarding coupling some database service or storage solutions with that provider's architecture. This awareness helps entrepreneurs prevent possible problems on the way to development and ensure the compatibility of every selected technology.

Knowledge graphs also open possibilities for iteration by enabling the adjustment of the specific elements within the architecture without revising this architecture, which can be helpful in entrepreneurial activities (Barrasa & Webber, 2023). It is most useful when projects change or grow to ensure that the architecture can be changed for the future. Knowledge graphs help an entrepreneur to make a proper decision by showing the complete visual representation of the system, which allows one to choose the best strategy not only technically but also from the viewpoint of further project development.




Figure 6: A fragment of the Wikidata knowledge graph

3.5 Simulations and What-If Scenarios for Decision Validation

Computer-aided simulations benefit non-technical business owners by helping them make nuances in architectural decisions in advance. Some examples include how the application would perform given the traffic level and expose areas such as performance or latency. This information benefits business

people expecting increased users or occasional traffic spikes, as they will be in a much better position when drawing up their redundancy and scaling plans.

What-if scenarios provide an efficient approach to analyzing what particular modifications or variations within the architecture will be like. For example, an entrepreneur might use a what-if scenario to compare with and without CDN for response time in a particular or several regions. Thus, when running these scenarios, the entrepreneur can define realistic benefits in the CDN implementation and compare them to potential extra costs, such as the CDN fees or augmented data transfer costs. This level of analysis helps entrepreneurs plan to select features and configurations effectively to meet their budget requirements and performance outcomes at optimum levels.

Through simulations, entrepreneurs understand the financial costs of architectural decisions, especially for growth and support. For example, a what-if analysis may show that a particular architecture would be costly for a large number of users, requiring the entrepreneur to look for other options. Such a form of financial forecasting confirms that decisions made in architecture are not just technically possible but fiscally possible to support the project's sustainable future development.

Whereas marketing strategies are dynamic, simulations and what-if scenarios create a sound environment in which transition occurs with more confidence in performance and cost upon architectural selections by the entrepreneurs. Adopting this approach provides an entrepreneurial nation with outcomes based on statistics rather than assumptions or guesses. Moreover, as simulations provide early architecture validation, they reduce the chances of having to make expensive changes later on, which also favours a better development process and the ability of entrepreneurs to materialize their ideas more accurately.




Figure 7: Activities of the methodological framework proposed.

4. Key Features of AI-Driven Architecture Guidance Tools

4.1 Interactive, Conversational Interfaces

Prompts are the basis of how one can implement conversational interfaces to provide non-professionals with guidance in AI-driven architecture plans. Such interfaces interactively help aspiring entrepreneurs ask questions in natural language and provide immediate guided solutions according to their queries made through simple steps. For example, an entrepreneur could ask about ways of scaling their application and get a clear explanation of its advantages and disadvantages with a serverless or microservice approach. Due to the format of these seminars, there is little to no use for complicated terminologies, thus turning everything into understandable chunks of information that can be utilized.

These conversational interfaces can also advise the entrepreneur, showing them each step of the architectural decision-making process (Chiang & Yin, 2022). For example, when an entrepreneur chooses the right database solution, the AI interface will ask questions about data volume, distribution, and frequency of access and suggest answers based on the answers given. Such a conversation alters the system adjustments and spearheads better-informed decision-making that addresses the project's needs as visualized and guided by the entrepreneur.

Conversational interfaces provide instant definitions of terms and concepts that the non-technical user may not understand. It is essential for the business owner who has no previous experience in software development, and, for sure, he will find the terms like 'containerization', 'load balancing' or

'caching'. Whenever these terms are used in the response, the AI can generate an explanation or example of the respective terms. Hence, the user becomes aware of the objects they are manipulating. This approach reduces confusion and establishes confidence, enabling entrepreneurs to make correct architectural decisions despite the little they may know in these technical fields.

Such interfaces may preserve the history of previous communications and tailor subsequent answers according to the user's growing knowledge of architectural work (Sarıkaya, 2017). For instance, if a user has engaged the interface with questions like "What is scalability?" then after a while, the interface could recommend more complex issues such as redundancy or failover to the same object. It also allows business people to learn incrementally, which makes architectural planning more understandable to the audience.

Table 3: features and benefits of "Interactive, Conversational Interfaces" in AI-driven software architecture guidance

Feature	Description	Benefits
Interactive Guidance	Conversational interfaces allow entrepreneurs to ask questions in natural language and receive immediate solutions. The system guides users step-by-step.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides user-friendly, accessible interactions. - Offers immediate, relevant solutions to queries. - Helps simplify complex decisions.
Contextual Decision-Making	The interface asks questions related to specific architectural decisions (e.g., database choice), adjusting suggestions based on responses.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Tailors guidance to the entrepreneur's project needs. - Facilitates better-informed decisions. - Addresses project-specific requirements.
Instant Definitions and Explanations	The interface provides on-demand explanations of technical terms (e.g., containerization, load balancing, caching).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduces confusion for non-technical entrepreneurs. - Increases understanding of key concepts. - Supports confident decision-making.
Progressive Learning and Customization	The interface learns from previous interactions, offering more advanced topics or tailored guidance based on the entrepreneur's growing knowledge.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Encourages incremental learning. - Provides increasingly sophisticated advice. - Adjusts to the user's pace and understanding.
History and Context Retention	The conversational interface retains the history of previous interactions, adjusting its responses according to the user's progress and evolving needs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Offers personalized, context-aware support. - Ensures continuity in the decision-making process. - Helps build long-term user understanding of architecture.

4.2 Architecture Templates and Blueprint Suggestions

They suggest architecture templates as the tools within AI systems, which provide an entrepreneurial digger starting point for their applications (Naderi & Shojaei, 2023). These are not blank but predefined structures that ensure the reliability of essential solutions predetermined by the selected project's category, such as e-commerce, SaaS, or high-data applications. Templates are beneficial for entrepreneurs as they start their projects because they provide the framework or the architecture familiar to the end user that has already undergone design and testing and can be easily adapted for your unique needs to avoid misconfiguration mistakes at the beginning of your project.

That same treatment of templates also provides users with ideas of the standard parts and organization that comprise a typical software system. For example, using e-commerce as an example, components of the template of a site might include things like the catalogue of products, authentication, cart, and payment. By viewing these elements structured in an interrelated manner, business people can understand the fundamental requirements of their particular application type. Not only does this knowledge quicken the design process, but it also assures design proc and that essential elements of design concepts are not left out when doing preliminary structures.

Blueprint recommendations go further than customization by suggesting that changes to template elements are made based on a project you are working on. For example, if the AIS identifies the value set as being highly available for the project, it can suggest additional redundancy features or load balancing. On the other hand, if the project focuses on data security, then the AI could inform about specific encryption techniques or databases to be used. This way, the AI uses them to meet these needs by providing a custom experience to match the architecture to the project's objectives immediately.

These templates can be revised annually or quarterly, depending on the emerging technologies and recommended practices. AI systems can modify such recommendations depending on the current state of affairs in architecture to help budding business people get the best out of the trends designed by today (Rouhiainen, 2018). AI systems can update such recommendations depending on the current dynamics of the advances made in architecture to ensure that budding business people can take advantage of the trends described by the best AI systems. This prevents the generation of outdated configurations but provides new funding-standardizing entrepreneurs with a strong foundation according to today's industry and for future expansion.



Figure 8: Crafting Architecture Plans With AI

4.3 Cost and Scalability Analysis

The use of AI in architectural applications remains helpful in cost and scalability analysis, considering the impact the entrepreneur will likely have in funding architectural designs (Ali, 2023). These tools predict user traffic and the amount of data you expect to store and process to estimate costs depending on the technology architecture and infrastructure. For instance, the tool could then generate an estimate of how much it would cost to leverage on a microservices cloud infrastructure compared to a 'traditional' monolithic setup within an on-premise environment and enable the entrepreneur to select the most financially feasible option for the amount of money they are willing to spend currently, let alone over the long-term horizon.

Apart from the point estimates of the cost inherent in every particular architectural decision, these tools can help identify scalability constraints implied by the choice of a specific architectural design (Ko et al., 2023). For instance, AI might expect that monolith architecture will have issues if more traffic is added in the future. Still, with microservices architecture, it will be easier to scale individual services as needed. Combined with the option of presenting a scalability perspective in parallel to the cost perspective, the tool also helps an entrepreneur always think about the need for growth and keep the architecture more financially balanced for long-term needs.

Some AI-generated cost and scalability analysis features can also consider the budget (Nyati, 2018). For example, suppose an entrepreneur wants to find a low-cost solution and is in a situation where he is using scarce resources only. In that case, the AI might recommend using serverless computing when the resources are paid only for when they are used, and no infrastructure is allocated in advance. On the other hand, for projects with high growth levels, the AI might suggest investing in container orchestration, which enables applications to scale up between distributed environments quickly. Such flexibility reached the level of choosing architecture variants, fulfilling the current load and possibly expanding it further.

Potential cost escalation related to growth can be presented in AI-driven tools, thus enabling entrepreneurs to solve financial issues before they emerge. The tool may demonstrate, for instance, that data storage cost proliferates as the user data expands. It may provide information on how to store data most efficiently or what steps to minimize the costs per data, such as data compression techniques. Thus, being proactive in architectural choice, the entrepreneurs decide on the option that would be able to fit the non-functional requirements and financial prospects throughout the application's life cycle (Silva, 2023).

4.4 Real-Time Market Trend Insight

Using AI technologies, real-time market trends help entrepreneurs make correct architecture choices concerning modern tendencies with the help of the best practices in the sphere. Such knowledge allows business people to discover what may become a popular technology, for instance, a new implementation of a database or brand-new serverless setup, and what tools and frameworks can be expected to be further developed and enhanced. For example, if the frequency of implementing the serverless architecture increases, the AI tool could recommend serverless solutions when cost optimization and high electorate are crucial (Gill, 2018).

The information is most helpful for those who want to create their future app with adaptability in mind; becoming knowledgeable of current market trends explains its advantage. Sometimes, technological advancement makes a decision on the design structure inefficient. A couple of examples of how AI can assist an entrepreneur is that real-time information will guide an entrepreneur away from the old technologies that are becoming obsolete and direct them to those likely to remain relevant and sustainable (Kumar, 2019). This future-proofing is not only helpful in extending how relevant the application will be. Still, it will also avoid compatibility issues for new integrations for exorbitant costs to re-architect.

It enables the introduction of technology, which enjoys a significant number of developers –an influential factor that provides continuous development in the long run. An AI tool may recommend frameworks or tools that have better documentation, active groups, and proper support available. When well-researched market trends support technology choices, what develops is a pool of resources for which tackling the technicalities is made more accessible, hence saving both time and resources when solving problems.

Market trend information can also reveal opportunities that help improve the competitiveness that defines the project. For example, if an emerging security standard is in place, the AI tool may suggest implementing that standard in all apps that deal with sensitive user data. By employing this forward-thinking strategy, an entrepreneur gets an opportunity to incorporate some new additional capabilities that are aimed not only at enhancing the product or service's performance but also at providing people with a product of a more progressive nature. By following these trends, entrepreneurs

can build products that reflect the progress of users' expectations of the software through the evolution of requirements alongside the standards of competition in the future (Song, 2017).



Figure 9: Several Segments for AI applications in Marketing Domain.

4.5 Risk Assessment and Mitigation

Another essential element of AI-based architecture support tools for entrepreneurs is assessing and preventing risks built into their architectural choices (Rafsanjani & Nabizadeh, 2023). These tools can point to data security concerns, vendor lock-in situations, scalability constraints, and technical debts that an entrepreneur needs to know when signing up. Long-term problems might crop up. For example, the tool could raise the risk that a particular cloud provider poses a vendor-lock implementation and suggest the business obtain cloud services from multiple vendors.

Through evaluation of the security threats, innovative solutions based on artificial intelligence aid business owners in securing personal information and enhancing customers' trust. It might recommend data at rest and in transit encryption or compliance rules for fields with specific laws like healthcare or finance. Such anticipative measures make the addition of security features possible right from the onset, allowing avoidable bust-ups like breaches or violations of compliance standards. If entrepreneurs heed these risks at the initial stages, they get a strong foundation that protects users' privacy besides meeting regulatory requirements.

Another essential element considered at the stage of AI-based risk assessments is scalability risks. Suppose the selected architecture can barely be scaled. In that case, the tool can alert the entrepreneur to performance problems as the number of users increases or provide other architectures that can handle growing traffic. Such an evaluation guarantees that entrepreneurs are fully aware of the drawbacks connected with each potential scenario and are unlikely to face performance-related problems that are inconvenient for the user or require changes to the infrastructure (Fuchs, 2016).

Risk mitigation features can also contain advisory for technical debt that help the entrepreneur make feasible choices that do not require refactoring often. The AI tool might alert on high-maintenance components and tools with longer terms of support, which can significantly reduce future technical debt. This focus on sustainability ensures that the architecture remains manageable over time and decreases the frequency of adjustment often required to meet the needs of entrepreneurs – something that contributes significantly to the stability of the software solution.



Figure 10: AI in risk management

5. Real-World Applications and Case Studies

5.1 Startup Scenario: Choosing an Architecture for a Mobile App

In a start-up scenario, an entrepreneur with a vision of mobilizing an application can lean heavily on an architecture guidance tool that utilizes AI to analyze possible technology stacks (Aaker & Moorman, 2023). The tool is proficient enough to provide customized recommendations according to the details regarding the target user base, necessary features of the core app, predicted growth rates, etc., that are peculiar to the specific application. For example, the AI may recommend applying a cloud, serverless approach if the app is supposed to be used worldwide and experiences peak usage at different hours for different regions.

Serverless architectures are especially advantageous for startups because they maximally allow the optimization of costs and are easily scalable. In this case, by applying AI, the tool can model possible usage scenarios so the business person can see how serverless architecture adjusts to the users' traffic, saving from a fixed setup of dedicated servers. That is why this recommendation can benefit startups that plan on growing large and do not wish to spend too much money on physical infrastructure: serverless solutions do not charge used-up resources and ensure that the instances will be paid for only during peak usage.

Another way the entrepreneur may leverage technology is by identifying what mobile-specific technologies are required to implement the ideas and where BaaS solutions for user authentication, data storage, and real-time updates can be recommended by the tools. In this way, AI makes the entrepreneur an informed decision-maker to develop an adaptive and highly sustainable mobile application which may not require much learning (Heilig & Scheer, 2023). The tool's recommendations help guarantee that app growth for functionality and popularity is possible without affecting speed while establishing a solid foundation for future updates if the startup becomes more popular or if new features are desired.



Figure 11: App Development for Startups

5.2 SaaS Platform Development for Nonprofit Organizations

An AI-based architecture guidance tool to create SaaS platforms in nonprofit organizations can help the founders avoid details about the software architecture while adhering fully to their cause (Kulkov, 2023). A nonprofit founder could begin by outlining the platform's purpose in the tool, such as using the web interface for service delivery, addressing the issue of security on beneficiaries' information, and minimizing overhead expenses. The AI tool could break down these requirements and suggest a multi-tenant architecture that helps different individuals or organizations use the exact copy of software and data. In contrast, the data of each user or organization is locked in a different compartment and cannot be accessed by other users.

A multi-tenant environment is especially beneficial for nonprofit SaaS platforms because it caters to multiple clients needing secure and properly built platforms without the need to dedicate time and money to developing these from scratch. The AI-driven tool also has a feature that suggests the proper measures to take for data protection and security; this is essential for nonprofit organizations, which may post clients' personal details. Through recommending secure ways of sharing data, access controls, and encryption methods, the AI tool guarantees the platform complies with information security standards that are vital in nonprofit organizations in as much as they need people to trust the organization so that they can donate.

The tool can specify possible architecture designs by hosting options and frameworks, which should be efficient and reasonably cheap. For example, the AI could recommend that instead of maintaining in-house tools, it is possible to use open-source tools or hire a cloud manager (Campos Zabala, 2023). In that way, the AI-driven tool is the source of inspiration for the nonprofit founder to come up with an Affordable Scalable Solution and build a reliable and secure SaaS platform that will meet the organizational needs as an innovation expands while not taking too much of the founder's focus, energy, and money away from the nonprofit's mission.

Table 4: features and benefits of using an AI-based architecture guidance tool for SaaS platform development in nonprofit organizations

Feature	Description	Benefits
Multi-Tenant Architecture	AI tool recommends a multi-tenant architecture that ensures each organization or user has separate, secure data compartments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Helps nonprofit organizations manage multiple clients or beneficiaries. - Ensures data isolation and security.
Data Protection & Security Recommendations	AI suggests security measures like data encryption, access controls, and compliance with security standards.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensures compliance with data protection regulations. - Builds trust with clients and donors through secure data handling.
Cost-Effective Hosting and Framework Suggestions	The tool recommends cost-effective hosting options (e.g., cloud services) and frameworks (e.g., open-source tools).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduces overhead costs associated with in-house development and maintenance. - Supports scalability and affordability.
SaaS Platform Design Recommendations	The AI tool suggests efficient, secure, and scalable architecture designs tailored to the nonprofit's needs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides easy-to-implement solutions for building a reliable SaaS platform. - Focuses on minimizing founder's time and effort.

Feature	Description	Benefits
Focus on Nonprofit Mission	AI tool allows nonprofit founders to focus on their mission rather than complex technical decisions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Frees up time and energy for the founder to prioritize their cause. - Ensures technology doesn't distract from the nonprofit's goals.

5.3 E-commerce Venture with High Scalability Needs

An e-business visionary who intends to start an online store that needs to be highly scalable can apply the architectural guidance provided by AI technology to help in decision-making on managing a large volume of users and activity. The AI tool can suggest a microservices architecture with a NoSQL database when the expected number of users, daily sales, and inventory size are entered. This architecture is appropriate when starting an e-business since most of the components are easily decoupled and can be scaled individually, including product lists, users, and payment services, to name a few.

The architecture of microservices is proper when an e-commerce site receives a lot of attention, as each service can be expanded as required without affecting the others (Li et al., 2021). For instance, if it focuses on the top sales seasons, the tool may advise increasing only the checkout and payment gateway services with no necessity to improve the entire complex application. This is where NoSQL is typically suggested due to how well it is adapted to managing unstructured data and large volumes of transactions, which can be especially useful for handling the products in a store or users in real-time.

Apart from the architecture recommendation, the AI tool can give suggestions regarding the load balancer and caching mechanism so that the e-commerce platform does not slow down in traffic surge. The performance issue can be modelled through such traffic loads, and the system remains intensely responsive to these approaches. This approach enables the entrepreneur to develop a robust and high-quality e-commerce platform that answers the existing demand while being adaptable enough to grow with the business and continue to offer an easy shopping experience for users where the site is progressing (Tarakanova, 2023).



Figure 12: E-commerce models.

6. Challenges and Ethical Considerations

6.1 Accuracy and Generalization of AI Recommendations

One of the most significant problems of AI-driven architecture guidance is generalization, where an AI model built on a general dataset will have some intrinsic shortcomings (Krueger, 2023). Although these models assist in accommodating valuable ideas and recommendations likely to be observed in several practical projects, they have shortcomings in realizing solutions to new and creative business concepts.

For example, if the entrepreneur is creating a particular application for a specific culture or the business is building an application that addresses a particular need, the general AI guidance may miss some important features or offer features irrelevant or inadequate to the business model. This means that the specific building architecture may not be optimal for the entrepreneur or for finding unique market niches.

It has been proved that the specifics of the given industry, legal and local restrictions and position in a market can be left unnoticed by AI training data sets. In specific industries like healthcare or finance, where there may be particular guidelines, the generic advice may mean there are technical debt or compliance risks (Sweeting, 2017). This further shows the need to create AI models that can vary according to the goals, the industry norms and the entrepreneur's requirements. These systems require the incorporation of other, more specific databases and should be responsive enough so that they redesign their proposals depending on the projects' characteristics.

Such a demand for custom AI solutions also contributes to the problem of how to generalize best and specialize in AI model training. An ideal AI architecture tool for a highly adaptable knowledge base would inform material that integrates general propositions with innovative alterations specific to an individual business venture and provide entrepreneurs with advice regarding their project's requirements. To strike this balance, it takes some time to conduct further research on more complex models and machine learning algorithms that enable one to be more specific as to which direction the entrepreneur should take so that they do not fall into the traps surrounding generalized advice.




Figure 13: AI-based optimization techniques used to solve power quality issues

6.2 Data Privacy and Security in AI Systems

From an entrepreneur's standpoint, privacy/Protection of data concerns can also be a contentious issue when using AI-driven architecture guidance tools since the systems involve project information to offer customized suggestions. Business people can upload personal information like patents, exclusive strategies, or new organizational paradigms, or they can upload sensitive project plans, which demand robust data security measures (Segate, 2020). Suppose there are no strong measures put in place against infringement. In that case, unauthorized individuals may gain access to the data or misuse the information to which they have access. Hence, there is a need for proper data privacy measures in AI applications and safe features like encryption data storage in social solutions.

In situations when applications and tools are based on an AI approach and use the cloud or third-party data processing centers, data privacy becomes a problem. Small business owners require confidence that the AI tool adopted is secure, including being built to conform to data protection laws like GDPR in Europe or CCPA in California. To meet these regulations' requirements, an accurate and open data processing policy must be set for when, how, and where the data is gathered and managed (Gharaibeh et al., 2017). This is important, especially for AI providers and entrepreneurs who may be too shy to provide their data for analysis.

As for the data privacy issue, components include implementing role-based access controls and performing periodic security reviews. The restricted and controlled access combined with other secure checks leaves it to AI to decrease the risks of data abuse or leakage. Some instruments help entrepreneurs anonymize data, thus excluding additional risks. To gain the customers' trust for the new generation AI-based architectural tools, the developers should pay more significant focus on the security

aspect where the user's data remains his proprietary information, which is secure, shielded and belongs to the user all through the phases of guidance.




Figure 14: AI and Privacy

6.3 Bias and Overdependence On the AI Suggestions

While AI significantly reduces mistakes because it operates with vast amounts of data, recommendations can be skewed by training data or model design, posing a threat to non-technical entrepreneurs. Applied to the given context, this means that an AI model trained mainly on data from a specific region or industry may bring a bias to a concept choice and make suggestions regarding architectural patterns or technologies that may be unbeneficial to an entrepreneur or do not correspond to the current trends in the industry. This can provide either less or biased advice, guiding the entrepreneurs in improper directions towards less than optimal solutions (Zellweger & Zenger, 2023). The problem can only be solved by integrating membership into more diverse datasets and preestablishing bias recognition mechanisms to check that recommendations are fair for most people.

Aside from bias, there is another problem: entrusting such critical decisions to artificial intelligence, especially for those investors who do not possess extensive knowledge of architecture and do not have the skills to look at it critically. Of particular importance is the finding that over-reliance may result in entrepreneurial decisions being made without critical thinking or proper assessment of the broader impact from an entrepreneurship standpoint, as is seen in the lack of independent judging. Such dependence may become an issue if the offered approach is not well-tailored to the specifics of an entrepreneur's specific project or if the applied recommendations stem from an outdated or often stereotyped view. The risk that the AI system makes a flawed decision or recommendation is high (Cai & Zhu, 2015). However, designing feedback circuits into the guidance process can go a long way to counter this because it makes the entrepreneurs interrogate the guidance.

Transparency in AI recommendations by minimizing dependency on this technology is essential in preventing reliance and misuse. Perhaps native explanations or reasoning behind each suggested action should be incorporated into the AI tools to help the entrepreneurs get the 'WHY' part. Moreover, incorporating human decision-control checks, like involving technical experts when making tough choices, allows the development of a composite system where the AI system forms the basis of the decision. Still, technical persons add more depth to the same. By employing this model, architecture reliability is improved to enhance the provision of benefits from AI by entrepreneurs while maintaining human intervention as ideal.

Table 5: challenges and ethical considerations related to AI-driven architecture guidance tools:

Challenge	Description	Ethical Considerations
Accuracy and Generalization of AI Recommendations	AI models trained on general datasets may struggle with new or niche business concepts, leading to suboptimal or irrelevant suggestions.	- Need for AI models that can adapt to specific industries, goals, and entrepreneurial requirements.

Challenge	Description	Ethical Considerations
		- Risk of generic advice causing technical debt or non-compliance in specialized sectors (e.g., healthcare, finance).
Data Privacy and Security in AI Systems	Handling sensitive project data through AI tools raises concerns about unauthorized access and misuse of private business information.	- Strong data protection measures like encryption and role-based access control. - Adherence to data privacy laws (e.g., GDPR, CCPA). - Entrepreneurs should have control over their data.
Bias and Overdependence on AI Suggestions	AI models may provide biased recommendations based on their training data, and entrepreneurs may become overly reliant on AI advice without critical assessment.	- AI systems must be trained on diverse datasets to reduce bias. - Need for transparency in AI recommendations and reasoning. - Risk of over-reliance on AI without independent critical thinking.

7. Conclusion and Future Directions

With the help of artificial intelligence, getting guidance on architecture from experienced specialists is possible for any businessman without a technical background. The mentioned tools allow entrepreneurs to deal with sophisticated technical concepts directly, make the right cost-to-value decisions on the design aspects of solutions, and translate ideas into working software where they may lack detailed technical knowledge. Due to this easy accessibility, business people can spend most of their time creating value rather than having to decipher some of the essential traits of software structures. Yet, to realize this potential, the next generation of AI-based tools requires context-aware and personalization capabilities and optimized suggestions based on project specifics and domain.

Ethical issues and explicit decentralized architecture are essential aspects of the further advancement of the AI system. The guidance must be trustworthy, consistent with goals, and up-to-date, and data privacy and fairness standards must be followed. This implies continuous enhancements to ethical AI guidelines, changes in the recommendation models that are in the current trends, and the elucidation of AI decisions. Thus, overcoming these critical areas, AI architecture guidance tools can help entrepreneurs not only in the creation of projects but also in the continuous successful development in the conditions of competition.

There's much potential in using AI in the future to help architects come up with reflective, sociable, and ethical instruments. Here are several crucial strategic directions that can define further development of such systems and help an entrepreneur to be responsible and build future-proof initiatives as the programs advance.

Future Directions

As AI architecture guidance progresses, the applicability of knowledge-intensive, flexible, and morally correct decisions in software development attracts excellent interest among entrepreneurs. The subsequent future directions describe essential enhancements that would be vital in improving AI and facilitated tools for support, which would be more personal, accurate, and timely. These innovations are set to close, neglecting the gap between business ideas and the technicalities of developing robust software products.

1. Self-learning AI for Always-on Support

New artificial intelligence architecture aids could also go beyond delivering one-time advice to feed architects' advice as structures develop constantly. Such constant guidance would enable the AI model to detect shifts in requirements, surprisingly in terms of project scope, user needs, or the market environment, and adjust its advice again. For example, if the owner of an application initially launched as an e-commerce site wanted to add more features to the site to become a platform for buying and selling goods, the AI could offer recommendations for solutions that would help to prepare for this step or introduce new architectural patterns that can be useful when expanding the application, for example, based on a microservices architecture.

Adaptive AI would impose stock checks on the external environment and tailor information according to project circumstances. For instance, when more people download the application or require more data, the AI can recommend storage strategies, streamline data handling chains, or distribute loads among servers. This continued service would make the tool AI-guided, which could support entrepreneurs and help them adapt to their business's growth without having to be redeveloped. Therefore, it could help business owners avoid high retooling costs.

Adaptive AI can provide predictive notifications, for instance, about a lag in the services provided or a breach in the system architecture before the user is affected. Through flexible guidance, where the system adapts to the dynamic need, there is a promise of enhanced entrepreneur flexibility, responsiveness, and readiness to face future shocks.

2. AI integration with human consultants

Introducing human consultants into the loop of assistance through artificial intelligence results in balancing artificial intelligence that provides essential advice while accepting the human touch that supplements the basics. It would be of most value in projects which are specific or complicated in some way, as the generic nature of this input is paramount. For instance, human consultants could assist businessmen and women in perfecting a specific technology to employ in their businesses or guarantee compliance with laws that may come mainly in health or the financial market.

AI could handle ordinary recommendations, including the primary architectural designs or the scalability reports. Human consultants would then deal with the critical decisions and the finer details that demand the consultant's specialty. Business people could get enhanced services that mix the speed of AI with the specific recommendations from actual consultants, which would provide comprehensive guidance on architecture.

The hybrid model also brings substantial transparency and trust since entrepreneurs can try to understand why AI provides specific advice. The live case collaborative conference, where the opportunities to engage with AI and machine learning are supplemented by direct dialogue with an expert, would put AI advice within reach of new business owners and make the process more efficient.

3. Stronger Proxies and Near Live Testing Platforms

One compelling secondary use in the future AI-based architecture design tools could be the simulation that helps entrepreneurs model architectural decisions in near-realistic, interactive environments. The startup could gain a natural feel of how far different architectural designs would go by explaining several scenarios, including the number of users, server outages and security intrusions. Such simulations would have caused the earliest possible discovery of these weaknesses in a design phase, which significantly aids in arriving at the right decisions that minimize the chances of arriving at a design that is either performance-constrained or inherently insecure.

These testing environments could also enable so-called dynamic simulations—' what if scenarios'—where the entrepreneur can try out different architecture configurations to determine the most efficient and economical configurations to use. For instance, an existing business person thinking about experiencing poor user acquisition rates could use a model to try and show various cloud infrastructure configurations and compare the cost estimations with what it takes in a bid to guarantee that all monetary aspects have been catered for from the word go.

Real-time testing may extend beyond pre-deployment to include post-deployment monitoring and enable patch testing, updates, or a new feature in a live environment without necessarily affecting the running process. Such guidance tools powered by AI help entrepreneurs make the right decisions with this level of detail, letting them safely create and test the architecture choices in practical environments.

4. Proposed New Standards Relating to Ethical AI

Since AI is essential in formulating important choices, what is the proper ethics for devising safe and inclusive procedures? AI architecture tools should have specific ethical standards regarding the data that individuals input; they shouldn't infringe on their privacy, they should not provide users with biased recommendations, and the users should know how their data is being handled. For instance, these tools should erase specific identification details of projects to safeguard ideas and concepts and ensure the users understand how data affects recommendations.

Perhaps most important to parity will be guaranteeing that an algorithmic recommendation is not influenced by preconceptions that benefit determinative technologies or vendors (Nyati, 2018). Implement audits and fairness processes that can prevent unintentional bias so that every entrepreneur, regardless of their colour, gender, or wallet size, is provided proper guidance.

AI-based architecture tools also provide the possible 'Explanation' option, where every recommendation is explained to the client. In the same way, ethical standards would enhance the reliability of AI-driven tools, thus incorporating privacy-related concerns to form a trustworthy design, which would appeal to entrepreneurial types who process or obtain sensitive or proprietary information.

5. Advanced Hybrid Models

The more complex hybrid configurations of current models would allow fully interactive sessions wherein the entrepreneur could be directly connected and share a real-time environment with AI-based tools and human advisors. HCOs would participate in these sessions as a brainstorming setting where AI would offer suggestions, while human consultants would either approve or adjourn the suggested strategies. It was possible to give the entrepreneurs immediate feedback and try different options engagingly, which would help them learn and be assured in architecture choices.

They include a method in which human experts can update or fill gaps in the AI-developed guidance so that the recommendations are up-to-date and accurate. For instance, a layout may show that the architecture suggested by AI tools is not proper for the entrepreneur's expected data capacity. Yet, the expert introduces other accessible database options, meaning adhering to AI's advice, giving confidence to the client and a knowledge-enrichment experience at the same time.

The interactive hybrid model can also be used as a feedback loop for the AI, where the consultants are free to tag the recommendation as they see fit. This implies that the consultants also need to adjust a number of the recommendations that the AI offers over time. This makes the system more dynamic as it comprises machine learning fused with human intelligence.

6. Contextual Ethics Frameworks

Future architecture guidance tools could integrate contextual ethics frameworks for particular applications since ethical aspects will grow more crucial in the AI-based future. For instance, tools that facilitate the architecture of a healthcare application would contain data privacy and security requirements that are characteristic of the healthcare industry. In contrast, those guiding the architecture of the finance application would focus on financial data protection. This contextualization would help disclose that the framework complies with a sector of legal/ethical requirements that may impose high sector-specific legal/ethical risks.

These frameworks also come in handy when a project is initiated in one country and carried out in another; the laws to follow may vary. Using the developed AI tool, entrepreneurs could receive region-specific instructions regarding the peculiarities in legislation and ensure they follow the General Data Protection Regulation in architecture for their business.

Integrating contextual ethics into AI-assisted tools would help entrepreneurs ensure socially correct choices that reflect privacy, security, and legal requirements. This would help build trust and credibility in software solutions.

7. Prolonged on-site artificial intelligence surveillance

Ideally, future architecture guidance tools should be capable of extending and monitoring sentiment, engagement, and application performance after deployment. Such academics would help businesses detect potential usability problems, poor performance, or scalability problems as their software develops. AI could make real-time analyses to make the best recommendation for change or alert the possibility of an issue before it reaches a level that would impact the end user.

For instance, when latency is discovered to have increased sharply, the AI can suggest changes in the number of servers to assign or the form of caching to continue with efficient functioning. One is that the use of post-deployment measurement may also assist the entrepreneurs in containing the sentiment data to get the apt update of the results as per the requirements and wants of the users.

This continuous monitoring would also expand AI's role to being a constant companion to the architect after the initial selection, suggesting improvements and optimizations as the business scales and its user base grows, ensuring the software remains optimal.

8. Market-Responsive AI Updates

It might also be helpful for guidance tools based on artificial intelligence to incorporate market analysis data about recent technological advancements to help businesspersons keep track of these changes. They could indicate recommendations that could be in harmony with contemporary improvements in the advancement of technology in the industry. This responsiveness would be most helpful in sectors such as artificial intelligence, cloud computing, and cyber security, environments that can only afford to offer the latest technologies to remain relevant in the market.

For example, when a new database technology comes on the market because of its efficiency, the AI could propose moving to that technology to improve efficiency. In the same way, if a specific programming framework is expected to become obsolete in the future, AI could recommend that entrepreneurs switch to a more sustainable programming option to help cut down on future technical detriments.

As the knowledge base of AI is refreshed with current industry trends, the guidance provided to the entrepreneurs would be safe from the criticism of being dated. It would protect the project with architectural solutions that incorporate current innovations.

Conclusion

AI software architecture guidance tools are a revolutionary way of filling the knowledge gap for aspiring and existing businessmen and women who lack formal education in CS but need to make informed decisions about software development. Combining architectural patterns, recommended technology stacks, cost optimization insights, and scalability information, essays AI tools help intrapreneurs maintain the autonomy of their initiatives, thus de-emphasizing the importance of technical consultants. Also, the possibilities of running the model while employing it in real-time or using 'the what-if' analysis provide essential information on the relative effectiveness of different architectural decisions when implemented in live mode, thus proving useful in risk management for the entrepreneur.

The potential of these tools will only be unleashed provided the following challenges are met. Some of the problems include the vagueness of the recommendation, which is general, issues of data privacy, and concerns of biased systems in AI, which need to be solved so that the AI tools can remain relevant, safe, and fair. However, these tools must follow specific ethical rules to avoid the leakage of users' personal information and maintain the tool's credibility, significantly when its decision-making result may profoundly impact a particular business organization.

Projections of future architectural trends advance the use of AI and its probable evolution in architecture practice as follows: adaptive AI existent simulation environments enhanced AI mixed AI

and human collaborative models and objectives and principles of professional, ethical benchmarks peculiar to a specific industry. When such advancements are achieved, generic AI guidance tools will become even more agentive, engaging entrepreneurs with ongoing support and instructions, dynamic updates on topics such as post-implementation performance and shifting market conditions, and advanced forms of integrated human-AI co-working. Through these innovations, non-technical entrepreneurs will have the confidence and capacity to make the right software architecture decisions to achieve their vision since the gap between their vision and the technicality required in software development will be closed.

References;

- 1) Aaker, D. A., & Moorman, C. (2023). Strategic market management. John Wiley & Sons.
- 2) Ali, S. A. (2023). Designing Secure and Robust E-Commerce Platform for Public Cloud. *The Asian Bulletin of Big Data Management*, 3(1), 164-189.
- 3) Barrasa, J., & Webber, J. (2023). Building Knowledge Graphs. " O'Reilly Media, Inc."
- 4) Cai, L., & Zhu, Y. (2015). The challenges of data quality and data quality assessment in the big data era. *Data science journal*, 14, 2-2.
- 5) Campos Zabala, F. J. (2023). Selecting AI Tools and Platforms. In *Grow Your Business with AI: A First Principles Approach for Scaling Artificial Intelligence in the Enterprise* (pp. 367-390). Berkeley, CA: Apress.
- 6) Chiang, C. W., & Yin, M. (2022, March). Exploring the effects of machine learning literacy interventions on laypeople's reliance on machine learning models. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces* (pp. 148-161).
- 7) Costa, G., & Madrazo, L. (2015). Connecting building component catalogues with BIM models using semantic technologies: an application for precast concrete components. *Automation in construction*, 57, 239-248.
- 8) Fuchs, B. (2016). Global field service network design: a simulation-based decision support system for industrial SME's (Doctoral dissertation, ETH Zurich).
- 9) Gharaibeh, A., Salahuddin, M. A., Hussini, S. J., Khreishah, A., Khalil, I., Guizani, M., & Al-Fuqaha, A. (2017). Smart cities: A survey on data management, security, and enabling technologies. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 19(4), 2456-2501.
- 10) Gill, A. (2018). Developing a real-time electronic funds transfer system for credit unions. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology (IJARET)*, 9(01), 162-184. <https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJARET?Volume=9&Issue=1>
- 11) Heilig, T., & Scheer, I. (2023). *Decision Intelligence: Transform Your Team and Organization with AI-Driven Decision-Making*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 12) Ko, J., Ennemoser, B., Yoo, W., Yan, W., & Clayton, M. J. (2023). Architectural spatial layout planning using artificial intelligence. *Automation in Construction*, 154, 105019.
- 13) Korzynski, P., Haenlein, M., & Rautiainen, M. (2021). Impression management techniques in crowdfunding: An analysis of Kickstarter videos using artificial intelligence. *European Management Journal*, 39(5), 675-684.
- 14) Krueger, D. (2023). AI alignment and generalization in deep learning.
- 15) Kulkov, I. (2023). Next-generation business models for artificial intelligence start-ups in the healthcare industry. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 29(4), 860-885.
- 16) Kumar, P. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence: Reshaping Life and Business*. BPB Publications.
- 17) Li, S., Zhang, H., Jia, Z., Zhong, C., Zhang, C., Shan, Z., ... & Babar, M. A. (2021). Understanding and addressing quality attributes of microservices architecture: A Systematic literature review. *Information and software technology*, 131, 106449.
- 18) MacDonald, J. (2018). *The Non-Technical Founder: How a 16-Year Old Built a Six Figure Software Company Without Writing Any Code*. Morgan James Publishing.

- 19) Mahendran, D., Luo, C., & McInnes, B. T. (2021). Privacy-preservation in the context of natural language processing. *IEEE Access*, 9, 147600-147612.
- 20) Mishra, S., & Tyagi, A. K. (2022). The role of machine learning techniques in internet of things-based cloud applications. *Artificial intelligence-based internet of things systems*, 105-135.
- 21) Naderi, H., & Shojaei, A. (2023). Digital twinning of civil infrastructures: Current state of model architectures, interoperability solutions, and future prospects. *Automation in Construction*, 149, 104785.
- 22) Nyati, S. (2018). Revolutionizing LTL Carrier Operations: A Comprehensive Analysis of an Algorithm-Driven Pickup and Delivery Dispatching Solution. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 7(2), 1659-1666. <https://www.ijsr.net/getabstract.php?paperid=SR24203183637>
- 23) Nyati, S. (2018). Transforming Telematics in Fleet Management: Innovations in Asset Tracking, Efficiency, and Communication. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 7(10), 1804-1810. <https://www.ijsr.net/getabstract.php?paperid=SR24203184230>
- 24) Rafsanjani, H. N., & Nabizadeh, A. H. (2023). Towards human-centered artificial intelligence (AI) in architecture, engineering, and construction (AEC) industry. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 100319.
- 25) Rouhiainen, L. (2018). *Artificial Intelligence: 101 things you must know today about our future*. Lasse Rouhiainen.
- 26) Sarikaya, R. (2017). The technology behind personal digital assistants: An overview of the system architecture and key components. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 34(1), 67-81.
- 27) Segate, R. V. (2020). Securitizing Innovation to Protect Trade Secrets between "the East" and "the West": A Neo-Schumpeterian Public Legal Reading. *UCLA Pac. Basin LJ*, 37, 59.
- 28) Shepherd, D. A., & Majchrzak, A. (2022). Machines augmenting entrepreneurs: Opportunities (and threats) at the Nexus of artificial intelligence and entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 37(4), 106227.
- 29) SILVA, F. F. C. D. (2023). FAROL: a lightweight framework for decision-making in software architecture (Master's thesis, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco).
- 30) Song, W. (2017). Requirement management for product-service systems: Status review and future trends. *Computers in Industry*, 85, 11-22.
- 31) Sweeting, P. (2017). *Financial enterprise risk management*. Cambridge University Press.
- 32) Tarakanova, D. (2023). *E-commerce entrepreneurship: a practical study of online shop development*.
- 33) Zellweger, T., & Zenger, T. (2023). Entrepreneurs as scientists: A pragmatist approach to producing value out of uncertainty. *Academy of Management Review*, 48(3), 379-408.