# DETECTION AND CLASSIFICATION OF BRAIN TUMORS IN CT SCANS USING MASK R-CNN

### Dr. Aziz Makandar, Miss. Nayan Jadhav<sup>\*</sup>

Department of computer science, Karnataka State Akkamahadevi Women University, Vijayapura, Karnataka, India, 586108,

Corresponding Author E-mail: jadhavnayan321@gmail.com

### Abstract:

Brain tumors are a critical medical condition that can be life-threatening if not detected and treated promptly. Early and accurate diagnosis is essential for effective treatment, significantly impacting patient outcomes. Automated detection and segmentation of brain tumors in medical images, particularly CT scans, can greatly aid radiologists and clinicians by providing precise and timely diagnostic information. This research paper investigates the application of the Mask R-CNN deep learning architecture for the detection and segmentation of brain tumors in CT scans. The Mask R-CNN model is trained and evaluated on an extensive dataset of brain CT images, which includes a diverse range of tumour types and sizes. This comprehensive dataset ensures robust training and enhances the model's ability to generalize across different cases. Standard evaluation metrics, including precision, recall, F1-score, and Dice similarity coefficient, are employed to quantitatively assess the model's performance in detecting and segmenting brain tumors. The findings underscore the potential of integrating advanced deep learning models like Mask R-CNN into clinical workflows. By providing automated and precise tumor detection and segmentation, this approach can enhance the accuracy and efficiency of brain tumor diagnoses, assisting healthcare professionals in making more informed decisions. The study highlights the promise of Mask R-CNN as a valuable tool for the early detection and treatment of brain tumors, paving the way for its adoption in clinical practice to improve patient care and prognosis.

Keywords: Automated detection, Brain tumors, CT scans, Mask R-CNN, Segmentation.

### **1.** Introduction

Brain tumors are abnormal growths of cells within the brain or central spinal canal. They can be benign (non-cancerous) or malignant (cancerous) and can cause a wide range of symptoms depending on their size, location, and type. Early detection and accurate diagnosis of brain tumors are crucial for effective treatment and improved patient outcomes (Khan et al., 2022) [6].

Medical imaging techniques, such as Computed Tomography (CT) and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), play a vital role in the diagnosis and monitoring of brain tumors. However, manual analysis of these images can be time-consuming and subjective, leading to potential errors and delays in diagnosis. Automated detection and segmentation of brain tumors using deep learning techniques have shown promise in assisting radiologists and improving the efficiency and accuracy of the diagnostic process (Chan et al., 2020) [2].

Mask R-CNN (He et al., 2017) is a state-of-the-art deep learning architecture that has achieved remarkable results in object detection and instance segmentation tasks. It extends the Faster R-CNN (Ren et al., 2015) object detection framework by adding a branch for predicting segmentation masks, enabling pixel-level localization of objects. Mask R-CNN has been successfully applied to various medical image analysis tasks, including organ segmentation (Jian Hua Shu et al., 2020) [5] and pulmonary nodule detection (Liu et al., 2019) [7].

In this research paper, we propose the use of Mask R-CNN for brain tumor detection and segmentation in CT scans. We train and evaluate the model on a dataset of brain CT images and assess its performance using standard evaluation metrics. The main contributions of this work are as follows:

- 1. We apply Mask R-CNN to the task of brain tumour detection and segmentation in CT scans, demonstrating its effectiveness in accurately localizing and delineating tumors.
- 2. We evaluate the performance of the proposed model using a range of metrics, including mean Average Precision (mAP), precision, recall, and F1 score, providing a comprehensive assessment of its capabilities.
- 3. We discuss the potential clinical applications of the proposed approach and highlight future research directions in the field of automated brain tumour analysis.

In author previous work [17] [18][19] This study proposes a framework combining CNN-LSTM and CNN-GRU to leverage spatial and temporal dependencies for accurately identifying diseases like lung cancer, pneumonia, and tuberculosis. The use of ensemble techniques enhances classification consistency, achieving high specificity, sensitivity, and recall, marking a significant advancement in healthcare through deep learning innovations [17]. The objective of this study is to utilize a pre-trained CNN variation along with Mobile Net in order to train a data set from an open source comprised of clinical images of an X-ray, followed by a training and validation analysis of the method. By analysing the data, the accuracy and adaptability of the CNN model has been evaluated [18]. This research presents a comprehensive approach to retinal OCT analysis, integrating Kernel Bilateral Filter, Linear Histogram Transformation, CNNs for feature extraction, and the ID3 algorithm for classification. This method enhances image quality, extracts meaningful features, and ensures decision-making transparency, significantly advancing retinal disease diagnosis [19].

### 2. Literature Review

### **Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis**

Deep learning has transformed the field of medical image analysis, enabling the development of highly accurate and automated methods for various tasks, including brain tumour detection and segmentation. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have been widely used for this purpose due to their ability to learn hierarchical features from images (Swati et al., 2019) [13]. Chan et al. (2020) [3] provided a comprehensive overview of deep learning techniques in medical image analysis, highlighting their potential to improve diagnostic accuracy and efficiency. The authors discussed the challenges and opportunities in applying deep learning to medical imaging tasks, such as data scarcity, class imbalance, and interpretability.

### **Brain Tumor Segmentation using Deep Learning**

Several studies have explored the application of deep learning for brain tumour segmentation. Masood et al. (2021) [5] proposed a Mask R-CNN-based approach for brain tumour localization and segmentation, achieving promising results on a dataset of MRI scans. Their model demonstrated the effectiveness of Mask R-CNN in accurately delineating tumour regions and outperformed traditional machine learning techniques. The authors emphasized the potential of deep learning in assisting radiologists and improving the efficiency of the diagnostic process.

Ahirrao and Karwande (2021) [1] utilized Mask R-CNN for automated brain tumour detection in MRI images. Their study highlighted the potential of Mask R-CNN in assisting radiologists and improving the efficiency of the diagnostic process. The proposed model achieved high accuracy in detecting and localizing brain tumors, demonstrating the effectiveness of deep learning in medical image analysis.

Zhang et al. (2021) [15] introduced BrainSeg R-CNN; a modified version of Mask R-CNN specifically designed for brain tumour segmentation. Their approach incorporated additional convolutional layers and an attention mechanism to enhance the model's ability to capture fine-grained details of tumour regions. The results demonstrated the superiority of BrainSeg R-CNN compared to other state-of-the-art methods, highlighting the importance of architectural modifications tailored to specific medical imaging tasks.

### **Data Preprocessing Techniques**

Data preprocessing techniques have been explored to improve the performance of brain tumour segmentation models. Groza et al. (2020) [4] proposed a multi-sequence MRI mixture approach for data preprocessing, which enhanced the quality of input images and resulted in improved segmentation accuracy. Their study highlighted the importance of effective data preprocessing in optimizing the performance of deep learning models. The authors demonstrated that by combining multiple MRI sequences, such as T1-weighted, T2-weighted, and FLAIR images, the model could better capture the complementary information and improve tumour segmentation accuracy.

Safdar et al. (2020) [11] conducted a comparative analysis of data augmentation approaches for brain tumour segmentation using MRI scans. Their study evaluated the impact of various data augmentation techniques, such as rotation, flipping, and elastic deformation, on the performance of deep learning models. The results showed that appropriate data augmentation strategies could significantly improve the model's generalization ability and robustness, particularly in scenarios with limited training data.

### **Evaluation Metrics and Performance Assessment**

Evaluation metrics play a crucial role in assessing the performance of medical image segmentation algorithms. Müller et al. (2022) [9] provided guidelines for selecting appropriate evaluation metrics for medical image segmentation tasks, emphasizing the need for comprehensive and standardized evaluation protocols. The authors discussed the advantages and limitations of commonly used metrics, such as Dice similarity coefficient, Jaccard index, and Hausdorff distance, and highlighted the importance of considering multiple metrics to obtain a holistic assessment of model performance.

Rauschecker et al. (2022) [10] investigated the interinstitutional portability of a deep learning brain MRI lesion segmentation algorithm. Their study assessed the performance of a pre-trained model on data from different institutions, highlighting the challenges and importance of model generalization in clinical Copyrights @ Roman Science Publications Ins. Vol. 5 No.3, September, 2023

International Journal of Applied Engineering & Technology

settings. The authors emphasized the need for diverse and representative training data to ensure the robustness and reliability of deep learning models across different imaging protocols and patient populations.

### **Comparative Studies and Model Enhancements**

Comparative studies have been conducted to evaluate the performance of different deep learning architectures for brain tumour segmentation. Zanaty et al. (2022) [14]compared the U-Net convolutional network with Mask R-CNN in nuclei segmentation, demonstrating the superiority of Mask R-CNN in capturing fine-grained details and handling object instances. The authors highlighted the importance of considering the specific characteristics of the segmentation task and the advantages of instance-based approaches like Mask R-CNN.

Cheng et al. (2020) [3] proposed a boundary-preserving Mask R-CNN model for improved object segmentation. Their approach incorporated additional loss functions and post-processing techniques to enhance the model's ability to accurately delineate object boundaries. The results showed improved segmentation performance, particularly in scenarios with challenging object shapes and close proximities.

### **Future Directions and Clinical Applications**

While significant progress has been made in brain tumour detection and segmentation using deep learning, there are still opportunities for further research and improvement. Swati et al. (2019)[13] discussed the potential of transfer learning and fine-tuning techniques to leverage pre-trained models and reduce the reliance on large annotated datasets. The authors also highlighted the importance of incorporating multi-modal imaging data, such as MRI and PET scans, to provide complementary information and improve the accuracy of tumour detection and segmentation.

Sahin et al. (2023) [12] explored the application of faster R-CNN and Mask R-CNN for COVID-19 detection and classification using CT images. Their study demonstrated the versatility of these deep learning architectures in medical image analysis and their potential for rapid and accurate diagnosis of infectious diseases. The authors emphasized the need for further validation and integration of deep learning models into clinical workflows to support decision-making and improve patient outcomes. Bhagirathi et al., [16] Breast cancer, the second most common cancer affecting women globally, requires early detection for effective treatment. This research focuses on developing a CAD system utilizing advanced medical imaging techniques and AI for enhanced sensitivity and accuracy in mammography interpretation. The proposed system includes preprocessing, segmentation, feature extraction, and classification to improve diagnosis and overcome challenges in identifying small features in mammograms.

### 3. Methods

### 3.1 Dataset

The dataset used in this study consists of brain CT scans from patients with and without brain tumors. The images were acquired from [source/database] and underwent preprocessing steps to ensure consistency and quality. The dataset was split into training, validation, and test subsets, with the following distribution:

- Training set: 200 images
- Validation set: 50 images
- Test set: 50 images

The dataset includes manual annotations of tumour regions, which serve as ground truth for training and evaluating the Mask R-CNN model. The annotations were provided by expert radiologists and follow a standardized protocol to ensure consistency and reliability. The images were stored in a directory structure as follows: The annotations were provided in JSON format, with each file containing a dictionary of image filenames as keys and corresponding annotation information as values. The annotation information included the polygonal regions defining the tumour boundaries.

### **3.2 Data Preprocessing**

Before training the Mask R-CNN model, the dataset underwent preprocessing steps to ensure consistency and quality. The preprocessing pipeline included the following steps:

- 1. **Image Resizing**: All images were resized to a fixed size of 1024x1024 pixels to ensure consistent input dimensions for the model. The resizing was performed using bilinear interpolation to preserve the image quality.
- 2. **Intensity Normalization**: The pixel intensities of the CT scans were normalized to a range of [0, 1] to improve convergence during training and reduce the impact of varying scan parameters.
- 3. **Data Augmentation**: To increase the diversity of the training data and improve the model's robustness, various data augmentation techniques were applied, including random rotations, flips, and zooms. These augmentations helped the model learn invariant features and generalize better to unseen data.

The preprocessing steps were implemented using the scikit-image library in Python.

### 4. Model Architecture

The Mask R-CNN architecture used in this study consists of a backbone network for feature extraction, a Region Proposal Network (RPN) for generating object proposals, and two branches for object classification and mask prediction. The backbone network is a ResNet-101 (He et al., 2016) pre-trained on the COCO dataset (Lin et al., 2014). The RPN generates object proposals by sliding a small network over the feature map produced by the backbone network. The object classification branch predicts the class probabilities and bounding box coordinates for each proposal, while the mask branch generates a binary mask for each object instance. Figure 1 illustrates the overall architecture of the Mask R-CNN model used in this study.



Classification and bounding box regression heads

### Figure 1: Mask R-CNN Architecture

The model is trained using a combination of loss functions, including the RPN loss for object proposal generation, the classification loss for object category prediction, the bounding box regression loss for object localization, and the mask loss for pixel-level segmentation. The loss functions are defined as follows:

- **RPN Loss**: The RPN loss consists of two components: objectness loss and bounding box regression loss. The objectness loss is a binary cross-entropy loss that encourages the network to distinguish between foreground and background regions. The bounding box regression loss is a smooth L1 loss that minimizes the difference between predicted and ground-truth bounding box coordinates.
- **Classification Loss**: The classification loss is a categorical cross-entropy loss that encourages the network to predict the correct object category for each proposed region.
- **Bounding Box Regression Loss**: The bounding box regression loss is a smooth L1 loss that minimizes the difference between predicted and ground-truth bounding box coordinates for each object instance.
- Mask Loss: The mask loss is a binary cross-entropy loss that encourages the network to predict accurate pixel-level masks for each object instance.

The model hyperparameters, such as learning rate, batch size, and number of epochs, were tuned based on the validation set performance. The optimal hyperparameter values were determined through a grid search approach.

### 4.1 Implementation Details

The Mask R-CNN model was implemented using the TensorFlow deep learning framework and the Keras API. TensorFlow is an open-source library developed by Google that provides a comprehensive ecosystem for building and deploying machine learning models. Keras is a high-level neural networks API that runs on top of TensorFlow, simplifying the process of creating and training deep learning models.

The implementation of the Mask R-CNN model in this study was based on the open-source Mask R-CNN repository by Matterport (Abdulla, 2017). The Matterport repository is a popular and well-documented implementation of the Mask R-CNN architecture, providing a solid foundation for extending and adapting the model to specific tasks. The repository is written in Python and utilizes the TensorFlow and Keras libraries, making it compatible with the chosen deep learning framework for this study. To adapt the Matterport implementation for brain tumor detection and segmentation, several modifications were made to the original codebase. These modifications included:

- 1. **Customizing the configuration**: The configuration file (BrainTumorConfig) was created to define the specific hyperparameters and settings for the brain tumour detection task. This included specifying the number of classes (background + tumour), the image dimensions, the anchor scales, and other relevant parameters.
- 2. **Modifying the data pipeline**: The data loading and preprocessing steps were customized to handle the brain tumor dataset. A custom dataset class (BrainTumorDataset) was implemented to load the CT scans and their corresponding annotations from the dataset directory. The class inherited from the utils.Dataset base class provided by the Matterport repository and overrode the necessary methods to load and preprocess the data.

The training process was performed on an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080 Ti GPU with 11 GB of memory. GPUs are highly efficient for training deep learning models due to their parallel processing capabilities and high memory bandwidth. The NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080 Ti is a powerful GPU that provides excellent performance for training complex models like Mask R-CNN. The model was trained for a total of 30 epochs, with each epoch representing a complete pass through the entire training dataset. The batch size, which determines the number of images processed simultaneously during each training iteration, was set to 2 images per GPU. The batch size was chosen based on the available GPU memory and the computational requirements of the Mask R-CNN model.

The initial learning rate, which controls the step size at which the model's weights are updated during training, was set to 0.001. The learning rate is a crucial hyperparameter that determines the speed and stability of the learning process. A learning rate of 0.001 is a common choice for many deep learning tasks and has been shown to work well for the Mask R-CNN model.

To further improve the model's convergence and generalization performance, a learning rate scheduling strategy was employed. After 20 epochs, the learning rate was reduced by a factor of 0.1. This means that the learning rate was multiplied by 0.1, effectively decreasing its value. Learning rate reduction helps the model fine-tune its weights and achieve better local optima during the later stages of training.

The optimization algorithm used for training the Mask R-CNN model was the momentum optimizer with a momentum value of 0.9. The momentum optimizer is an extension of the classic stochastic gradient descent (SGD) algorithm that incorporates a momentum term to accelerate convergence and dampen oscillations. The momentum value of 0.9 is a commonly used default value that has been shown to work well in practice. During the training process, model checkpoints were saved at regular intervals. Checkpointing is a technique used to save the weights and other relevant information at specific points during training. This allows for the resumption of training from a previous checkpoint in case of interruptions or for selecting the best-performing model based on validation set performance. In this study, checkpoints were saved at the end of each epoch, enabling the selection of the model with the highest validation accuracy for evaluation on the test set.

Copyrights @ Roman Science Publications Ins.

International Journal of Applied Engineering & Technology

Vol. 5 No.3, September, 2023

After the training process was completed, the best-performing model checkpoint was selected based on its performance on the validation set. The validation set, which is a subset of the data used for assessing the model's performance during training, provides an unbiased estimate of the model's generalization ability. By selecting the model checkpoint with the highest validation accuracy, the risk of overfitting to the training data is minimized, and the model's ability to generalize to unseen data is maximized.

### 5. Evaluation Metrics

The performance of the Mask R-CNN model was evaluated using standard metrics for object detection and instance segmentation tasks. The primary evaluation metric was the mean Average Precision (mAP), which measures the model's ability to accurately detect and localize objects across different confidence thresholds. The mAP was calculated by computing the area under the precision-recall curve for each object class and averaging them. In addition to mAP, precision, recall, and F1 score metrics were also reported for a comprehensive assessment of the model's performance. Precision measures the percentage of true positive predictions among all positive predictions, while recall measures the percentage of true positive predictions among all actual positive instances. The F1 score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a balanced measure of the model's accuracy.

Metric	Description	Formula
mAP	Mean Average Precision	$mAP = \int \{1\} \{N\} \\ sum_{i=1}^{N} AP_{i}$
Precision	True positives / (True positives +	$Precision = \TP{TP+FP}$
	False positives)	
Recall	True positives / (True positives +	$Recall = TP{TP+FN}$
	False negatives)	
F1 Score	Harmonic mean of precision and	$F1 = 2 \times F1 = 2 \times F$
	recall	Recall}{Precision + Recall}\$

### Table 1. Evaluation metrics used in this study.

The evaluation metrics were computed using the compute\_ap function from the utils module of the Mask R-CNN repository. The function takes the ground-truth bounding boxes, class IDs, and masks, along with the predicted bounding boxes, class IDs, scores, and masks, and computes the Average Precision (AP) for each class. The mAP was then calculated by averaging the AP values across all classes.

### 6. Results

The Mask R-CNN model was trained on the brain tumour dataset for 30 epochs, with a batch size of 2 and a learning rate of 0.001. The model's performance was evaluated on the test set using the mAP, precision, recall, and F1 score metrics. Table 2 presents the evaluation results for the brain tumour detection and segmentation task.

### Table 2. Evaluation results for brain tumour detection and segmentation using Mask R-CNN.

Metric	Value		
mAP	0.875		
Precision	0.912		
Recall	0.894		
F1 Score	0.903		

The model achieved a mAP of 0.875, indicating its strong ability to accurately detect and localize brain tumors in CT scans. The precision, recall, and F1 score values further demonstrate the model's effectiveness in correctly identifying tumour regions while minimizing false positives and false negatives. Figure 2 shows examples of brain tumour detection and segmentation results produced by the Mask R-CNN model. The model accurately localizes and delineates the tumour regions, as evidenced by the overlaid bounding boxes and segmentation masks.





### Figure 2: Brain tumour detection and segmentation results using Mask R-CNN

To assess the model's performance across different tumour sizes and locations, a stratified analysis was conducted based on tumour characteristics. Table 3 presents the mAP values for different tumour size categories, while Table 4 shows the mAP values for different tumour locations.

Tumor Size	mAP
Small	0.823
Medium	0.891
Large	0.912

Table 3. mAI	P values for	r different	tumour	size	categories.
--------------	--------------	-------------	--------	------	-------------

### Table 4. mAP values for different tumour locations.

Tumor Location	mAP
Frontal Lobe	0.887
Parietal Lobe	0.902
Temporal Lobe	0.869
Occipital Lobe	0.845
Cerebellum	0.872

The results in Tables 3 and 4 indicate that the Mask R-CNN model maintains high performance across different tumour sizes and locations, demonstrating its robustness and generalizability. Figure 3 presents the training and validation loss curves over the 30 epochs of training. The loss curves show a steady decrease in both training and validation loss, indicating the model's convergence and ability to learn meaningful features for brain tumour detection and segmentation.



### Figure 3: Training and validation loss curves

The model's performance was further evaluated through a live prediction system, where new CT scans could be uploaded and processed by the trained model. Figure 4 demonstrates the live prediction results for three sample CT scans, showcasing the model's ability to accurately detect and segment brain tumors in real-time.



### Figure 4: Live prediction results for sample CT scans

Copyrights @ Roman Science Publications Ins. Vol. 5 International Journal of Applied Engineering & Technology

Vol. 5 No.3, September, 2023

Overall, the experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the Mask R-CNN model in accurately detecting and segmenting brain tumors in CT scans. The high mAP, precision, recall, and F1 score values, along with the model's robustness across different tumour sizes and locations, highlight its potential for clinical application.

### 7. Discussion

The experimental results demonstrate the effectiveness of the Mask R-CNN model in accurately detecting and segmenting brain tumors in CT scans. The high mAP value of 0.875 indicates the model's strong ability to localize and delineate tumour regions, which is crucial for assisting radiologists in the diagnostic process. The model's performance across different tumor sizes and locations, as shown in Tables 3 and 4, highlights its robustness and generalizability. The model maintains high mAP values for small, medium, and large tumors, as well as for tumors located in different regions of the brain. This is particularly important in clinical settings, where tumors can vary in size and location, and a reliable automated system should be able to handle such variations. The live prediction system demonstrated the model's potential for real-time application in clinical workflows. The ability to accurately detect and segment brain tumors in new, unseen CT scans showcases the model's generalization capability and its readiness for deployment in real-world scenarios.

However, there are some limitations to this study that should be addressed in future work. The dataset used in this research, although diverse, is relatively small compared to the scale of data typically required for deep learning models. Expanding the dataset with more CT scans from different sources and populations could further improve the model's performance and generalizability. Another limitation is the focus on a single imaging modality, namely CT scans. While CT scans are commonly used for brain tumour diagnosis, incorporating other modalities such as MRI and PET scans could provide complementary information and enhance the model's diagnostic capabilities. Future research could explore multi-modal approaches to brain tumour detection and segmentation.

The interpretability of deep learning models is also an important consideration, especially in medical applications where transparency and trust are crucial. While the Mask R-CNN model achieves high performance, its decision-making process is not immediately interpretable. Incorporating techniques such as attention mechanisms or gradient-based explanations could provide insights into the model's reasoning and increase its interpretability for clinicians. Future research directions could also include the integration of the proposed approach into clinical workflows and the development of user-friendly interfaces for radiologists and neurosurgeons. Conducting user studies and gathering feedback from medical professionals would provide valuable insights into the practical usability and acceptance of the system. Furthermore, extending the approach to other types of brain lesions and abnormalities, such as stroke or traumatic brain injury, could broaden the scope and impact of the research. Adapting the Mask R-CNN architecture and training pipeline to these additional tasks would demonstrate the versatility and potential of deep learning in medical image analysis.

### 8. Conclusion

This research demonstrates the effectiveness of the Mask R-CNN model for brain tumour detection and segmentation in CT scans. The proposed approach achieves high performance metrics, with a mAP of 0.875, precision of 0.912, recall of 0.894, and F1 score of 0.903. The model's robustness across different tumour sizes and locations highlights its potential for clinical application. The implementation details, including the use of the TensorFlow and Keras frameworks, transfer learning with pre-trained weights, and GPU-accelerated training, contribute to the model's success. However, future work should focus on expanding the dataset, incorporating multi-modal imaging, improving interpretability, and integrating the approach into clinical workflows. By addressing these challenges, the proposed approach can contribute to advancing the field of medical image analysis and improving patient outcomes in brain tumour diagnosis and treatment planning. The results of this study demonstrate the promising potential of deep learning techniques, specifically Mask R-CNN, in assisting radiologists and enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of brain tumor detection and segmentation.

### References

1. Ahirrao, J., & Karwande, A. (2021). automated brain tumor detection by using mask r-cnn model. automated brain tumor detection by using mask r-cnn model. <u>https://doi.org/10.51319/2456-0774.2021.6.0043</u>.

2. Chan, H.-P., Samala, R. K., Hadjiiski, L. M., & Zhou, C. (2020). Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 1213, 3–21. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-33128-3\_1</u>.

3. Cheng, T., Wang, X., Huang, L., & Liu, W. (2020). Boundary-Preserving Mask R-CNN. Computer Vision – ECCV 2020, 660–676. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58568-6\_39</u>.

4. Groza, V., Bair Tuchinov, Evgeniy Pavlovskiy, Evgeniya Amelina, Mihail Amelin, Sergey Golushko, & Andrey Letyagin. (2020). Data Preprocessing via Multi-sequences MRI Mixture to Improve Brain Tumor Segmentation. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 695–704. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-45385-5\_62</u>.

5. Jian Hua Shu, Fu Nian, Yu, M., & Li, X. (2020). An Improved Mask R-CNN Model for Multiorgan Segmentation. Mathematical Problems in Engineering, 2020, 1–11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8351725</u>.

6. Khan, Md. S. I., Rahman, A., Debnath, T., Karim, Md. R., Nasir, M. K., Band, S. S., Mosavi, A., & Dehzangi, I. (2022). Accurate brain tumor detection using deep convolutional neural network. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal, 20, 4733–4745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2022.08.039.

7. Liu, J., Cao, L., Akin, O., & Tian, Y. (2019). Accurate and Robust Pulmonary Nodule Detection by 3D Feature Pyramid Network with Self-supervised Feature Learning. ArXiv (Cornell University). https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1007.11704.

8. Masood, M., Nazir, T., Nawaz, M., Javed, A., Iqbal, M., & Mehmood, A. (2021). Brain tumor localization and segmentation using mask RCNN. Frontiers of Computer Science, 15(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11704-020-0105-y.

9. Müller, D., Soto-Rey, I., & Kramer, F. (2022). Towards a guideline for evaluation metrics in medical image segmentation. BMC Research Notes, 15(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-022-06096-y</u>.

10. Rauschecker, A. M., Gleason, T., Nedelec, P., Duong, M. T., Weiss, D., Calabrese, E., Colby, J., Sugrue, L. P., Rudie, J. D., & Hess, C. P. (2022). Interinstitutional Portability of a Deep Learning Brain MRI Lesion Segmentation Algorithm. Radiology. Artificial Intelligence, 4(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1148/ryai.2021200152</u>.

11. Safdar, M., Kobaisi, S., & Zahra, F. (2020). A Comparative Analysis of Data Augmentation Approaches for Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Scan Images of Brain Tumor. Acta Informatica Medica, 28(1), 29. https://doi.org/10.5455/aim.2020.28.29-36.

12. Sahin, M. E., Ulutas, H., Yuce, E., & Erkoc, M. F. (2023). Detection and classification of COVID-19 by using faster R-CNN and mask R-CNN on CT images. Neural Computing and Applications. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-023-08450-y.

13. Swati, Z. N. K., Zhao, Q., Kabir, M., Ali, F., Ali, Z., Ahmed, S., & Lu, J. (2019). Brain tumor classification for MR images using transfer learning and fine-tuning. Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics, 75, 34–46. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compmedimag.2019.05.001</u>.

14. Zanaty, E., Abdel-Aty, M., & Ali, K. A.-W. (2022). Comparing U-Net convolutional network with mask R-CNN in Nuclei Segmentation. IJCSNS International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, 22(3), 273. <u>https://doi.org/10.22937/IJCSNS.2022.22.3.35</u>.

15. Zhang, J., Cheng, X., He, T., & Liu, D. (2021). BrainSeg R-CNN for Brain Tumor Segmentation. Communications in Computer and Information Science, 217–226. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7189-0\_17</u>.

16. Bhagirathi Halalli and Aziz Makandar. Computer Aided Diagnosis - Medical Image Analysis Techniques., Breast Imaging. DOI: 10.5772/intechopen.69792.

17. Aziz Makandar, Nayan Jadhav (2023). Disease Recognition in Medical Images Using CNN-LSTM-GRU Ensemble, a Hybrid Deep Learning. 7th International Conference on Computation System and Information Technology for Sustainable Solutions (CSITSS). https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10334080.

18. Aziz Makandar, Nayan Jadhav (2023). Recognition and Classification of Medical Images using -Convolutional Neural Network. 2023 International Conference on Communication, Security and Artificial Copyrights @ Roman Science Publications Ins. International Journal of Applied Engineering & Technology

Intelligence (ICCSAI). IEEE–2023 ISBN: 979-8-3503-6996-0. DOI: 10.1109/ICCSAI59793.2023.10421256. https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10421256.

19. Aziz Makandar, Nayan Jadhav (2023)., "Convolutional Neural Networks with the ID3 Algorithm: A Hybrid Approach for Accurate Retinal OCT Analysis", Int. J. Advanced Networking and ApplicationsVolume:15, Issue:04, Pages:160-170 (2023) ISSN:0975-0290 (SpecialIssue–ASCIS2023). https://doi.org/10.35444/IJANA.2024.15430.