

## OPEN ACCESS POLICY

**Stochastic Modelling & Computational Sciences (SMCS)** is an open-access journal which means that all content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles in this journal without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author. This is by the Budapest Open Access Initiative (BOAI) definition of open access. All articles published by SMCS are made freely and permanently accessible online. All articles are posted online immediately as they are ready for publication.

### Benefits of Open Access

- Open access articles are freely available via the internet and are therefore more widely visible than articles published behind subscription barriers, with some studies suggesting that on average open access articles are twice as likely to be cited.
- Retaining copyright means that authors can reproduce and distribute their work as they choose, for example on their institution's website.
- Open access publication benefits the scientific community by making the results of research immediately and freely available to all.

## COPYRIGHT POLICY AND LICENSE

### Copyright Policy

The authors of the articles published in SMCS retain the copyright of their publications. When an author submits a manuscript for publishing, they will need to sign a license agreement with the Roman Science Publications and Distributions to allow it to publish their article. According to this agreement:

- Authors grant Roman Science Publications and Distributions a license to publish the article and identify itself as the original publisher.
- Authors will retain broad re-use rights to their article content for their future publications.
- When Roman Science Publications and Distributions publishes the article, it will apply a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) license.

### Licensing

Submitting a manuscript to the Stochastic Modelling & Computational Sciences (SMCS), the corresponding author, confirm that

- The Corresponding Author is authorized by all co-authors to enter into these license arrangements.
- The Corresponding Author warrant, on behalf of his co-authors, that:
  - the article is original, has not been formally published in any other peer-reviewed journal, is not under consideration by any other journal and does not infringe any existing copyright or any other third party rights;
  - The Corresponding Author is the sole author(s) of the article and have full authority to enter into this agreement and in granting rights to Roman Science Publications and Distributions are not in breach of any other obligation;
  - the article contains nothing that is unlawful, libellous, or which would, if published, constitute a breach of contract or of confidence or of commitment given to secrecy;
  - The Author have taken due care to ensure the integrity of the article.
- The Corresponding Author and all co-authors, agree that the article, if editorially accepted for publication, shall be licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) license. SMCS articles are published open access under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) license. Under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license, users are allowed to share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) or adapt (remix, transform, and build upon) the material as long as its integrity is maintained and its original authors, citation details, and publisher are recognized. The license confirms that the published material can be contained in any scientific archive or repository. Whereas, under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license, users are not allowed to use the material for commercial purposes. <https://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/deed.en>

## MISCONDUCT POLICY

Research misconduct encompasses fabrication, falsification, or plagiarism in the inception, execution, or evaluation of research, as well as in the dissemination of research findings. Fraudulent authorship is also considered misconduct.

- Fabrication involves inventing data or results and documenting or presenting them as genuine.
- Falsification refers to manipulating research materials, equipment, or procedures, or altering or excluding data or results in a manner that misrepresents the research in the scholarly record.
- Plagiarism is the act of using someone else's ideas, methods, findings, or words without proper acknowledgement.

In addition, fraudulent authorship and misrepresentation constitute misconduct. This includes scenarios such as

- The omission of an individual as an author who meets the authorship criteria and actively participated in the research,
- Adding researchers, often senior figures, to the author list based on their status or influence, with the expectation of enhancing publication or impact prospects and
- Listing an individual as an author who made no substantive contribution to the manuscript, often as a form of reward, favour return, or for personal or financial gain.

Allegations related to all the above mentioned and any deviations from what has been explained in the Duties of authors/editors/reviewers

<https://romanpub.com/resources/SMCS-PublicationEthicsandMalpracticeStatement.pdf> will be investigated promptly, with confidentiality, equity, and neutrality upheld throughout. If a manuscript is implicated in misconduct, processing will be suspended until the case is resolved. The publication director will keep the corresponding author updated. If no misconduct is found, processing will continue. For confirmed misconduct, specific actions will be taken against the involved author.

## PLAGIARISM POLICY

Plagiarism refers to passing off someone else's work as one's own. It also includes duplicate publication, also known as self-plagiarism, which involves reusing substantial parts of one's own previously published work without proper citation\*. Copying of ideas, text, data and other creative work (e.g. tables, figures and graphs) is the usual means of plagiarism. We define plagiarism as a case in which a paper reproduces another work with similarity and without citation.

Plagiarism detection occurs at submission and before review, with manuscripts checked for plagiarism. Depending on the extent of plagiarism detected (greater than 5%, 5-30%, or over 30%), manuscripts are handled accordingly, ranging from content revision to rejection without review. Plagiarism over 30% results in immediate rejection.

If evidence of plagiarism is found after acceptance or after publication of the paper, the Editor-in-Chief will conduct a preliminary investigation, maybe with the help of a suitable committee constituted for the purpose.

Depending upon this investigation and its outcome the author may be offered a chance for justification. If the arguments are not found to be satisfactory, the manuscript will be retracted.

All the articles will be checked through Turnitin Software before the publication of the journal.

\*Types of plagiarism identified in the SMCS include complete plagiarism, where previously published content is reused without modification; partial plagiarism, where content is a mixture of material from different sources that has been extensively rephrased; and self-plagiarism, where an author reuses their previously published research, either in parts or in entirety.

## DECLARATION OF USE OF AI IN THE WRITING PROCESS

If AI tools are used in manuscript writing, the authors must declare it in the Materials and Methods or write a declaration at the end of the paper before References. The declaration must state which AI tool was used and the reason. Therefore, the following format of the statement is suggested:

“The author(s) used [NAME AI TOOL USED] during the preparation of this work to [REASON]. The author(s) reviewed and edited the work as necessary and take(s) full responsibility for the final version.”

## CORRECTION AND RETRACTION POLICIES

SMCS is committed to upholding the integrity of the literature and publishes Errata, Expressions of Concerns or Retraction Notices depending on the situation.

### Corrections

**Corrections in the published research article:** They are allowed provided the authors give reasonable justification. Various scenarios where a correction can be made include:

- Rectification: Authors can rectify omissions by adding a section in the current journal issue, linked to the original publication.
- Erratum: Significant errors, including factual omissions, result in an erratum issued, cross-referenced with the corrected article.
- Corrigendum: Authors notify the journal of errors, subject to approval, and associated with the corrected version.
- Retraction: Conducted following COPE guidelines <https://publicationethics.org/retraction-guidelines> retractions are linked to the original article.

**Author Name corrections:** Any errors in the name of the authors or any change in the authors/s' names and affiliations will only be allowed upon reasonable request. No new author can be added to the existing list after the preparation of gallery proof of articles.

### Retractions

In exceptional circumstances, articles may be retracted due to ethical violations, including plagiarism, duplicate submissions, data fraud, and false authorship claims. Retraction requests, whether from authors or journal editors, undergo thorough investigation following COPE guidelines.

If an investigation warrants article retraction, a retraction notice is published in the subsequent issue. The online version of the retracted article includes the notice attached to the original, with the PDF retaining the article with a "Retracted" watermark on each page. The HTML version is removed, while metadata reflects the retraction status.

## APPEALS AND COMPLAINTS

### Appeal gainst a rejection

Should any individual desire to request the Editor or Editorial Board to reassess the rejection of a manuscript, they ought to initially reach out to the Editor via email. Such requests are recognized as appeals, which, as per the established policy, are to be accorded lower priority compared to the regular workload. Consequently, the processing of appeals typically spans over a few weeks. It is important to note that only one appeal per manuscript is permissible. The ultimate determinations on appeals will be undertaken either by the Editorial Board Member managing the paper or the Editor.

Typically, an appeal opposing a rejection verdict on a manuscript will be entertained under the following circumstances:

- The authors can substantiate that an error affecting the final decision was made – either by a reviewer or the Editors - during the evaluation process, or significant supplementary data can be furnished, OR,
- A compelling argument for partiality in the evaluation process can be established.

Authors intending to contest an editorial verdict should forward a formal appeal letter to the journal by reaching out to the journal's editorial office. It is crucial to include the manuscript tracking number in the subject line of the email and within the body of the appeal letter.

Should an appeal prove fruitful, the authors will receive guidance on the subsequent steps to take. In instances where an appeal warrants additional examination, the Editor might opt to circulate the authors' response and the revised manuscript for further peer evaluation.

### **Complaints/Grievances**

Complaints about our processes or publication ethics will in the first instance be handled by the Editor responsible for the journal. For complaints about processes, such as time taken for review, the Editor will review and respond to the complainant's concerns. This feedback will be provided to relevant stakeholders to guide improvements to processes and procedures.

For complaints about publication ethics or scientific content, the Editor will follow guidelines published by the Committee on Publication Ethics. The Editor then decides on a course of action and provides feedback to the complainant.

If the complainant remains dissatisfied with the handling of their complaint, it will be escalated to the journal's editorial and publishing management team for investigation.

All the complaints in a letter form can be sent to the email.

## **DIGITAL PRESERVATION AND ARCHIVING POLICY**

Digital preservation aims to ensure continuous access to digital information through archiving electronic content, abstracting/indexing services, and author self-archiving. We do our best to provide high-quality metadata for digital archiving purposes. All our articles maintain good metadata and they are captured by the Google Scholar search engine. They are also available in the form of PDFs and readers can easily download and read the content of papers from our website. Yet, authors are encouraged to upload their articles on personal and institutional websites after publication.

## **REPOSITORY POLICY**

SMCS provides open access to publications. Authors must follow the "Repository Policy" when distributing their work online. Only final versions of articles after peer review are appropriate for use. Advance online versions by the publisher may be used until the ultimate published version is available. Authorized platforms for dissemination include institutional repositories, non-profit research institutions, research funding entity websites, and online thesis repositories provided proper acknowledgement is provided for the copyright holder and citing the source.