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#### Abstract

In the paper we study Fredholm property of a boundary value problem in a finite domain of a class of second-order differential equation of elliptic type in a seperable Hilbert space. Sufficient conditions that provide regular and Fredholm solvability of the given problem, are found. These conditions are expressed only by the coefficients. The paper shows how the regular and Fredholm solvability of the boundary value problem are related with the norms of the intermediate derivative operators. Furthermore, the property of the internal compactness of the homogeneous equation is proved.


## 1. Introduction

Solvability of operator-differential equations and related problems originate from the works of E. Hille, K. Iosido, T. Kato and others. These authors have mainly studied the Cauchy problem.

Further, boundary value problems and related problems have been studied by many authors. Some of these results have found their reflection in the books of A.A. Dezin [6], V.I. Gorbachuk and M.L. Gorbachuk [11], S.Ya. Yakubov [21] and others. In an infinite domain, boundary value problems have been studied in the important papers of Yu.A. Dubinsky [7], M.G. Gasymov [8 ], S.S. Mirzoev [19], A.A. Shkalikov [20], A.R. Aliyev [4, 5], G.M. Gasymova [ 9, 10], S.S. Mirzoev, A.R. Aliyev, L.M. Rustamov [17, 18], S.S. Mirzoev, A.R. Aliyev, G. M. Gasymova [16] and others. In an finite domain, boundary value problems with variable coefficients have been studied very little. We can note the works of S.S. Mirzoev with G.A.Agayeva [14, 15 ], G.A.Agayeva [1, 2, 3].

Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space. Assume that $C$ is a self-adjoint operator with domain of definition $D(C)$. Then for all $\gamma \geq 0$ the domain of definition of the operator $C^{\gamma}$ will be a Hilbert space $H_{\gamma}(\gamma \geq 0)$ with a scalar product $(x, y)_{\gamma}=\left(C^{\gamma} x, C^{\gamma} y\right)$. For $\gamma=0$ we assume $H_{0}=H$ and $(x, y)_{0}=(x, y)$.

Denote by $L_{2}((0,1): H)$ a Hilbert space of vector -functions determined almost everywhere in $(0,1)$ for which

$$
\|f\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\|f(t)\|^{2} d t\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

[^0]Following the book [13], we determine the Hilbert space $W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)=\{u$ : $\left.u^{\prime \prime} \in L_{2}((0,1): H), C^{2} u \in L_{2}((0,1): H)\right\}$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}=\left(\left\|u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}+\left\|C^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

We determine the subspace $W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)=\left\{u: u^{\prime \prime} \in W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H),\right. \\
\left.u(0)=e^{i \psi} u(1), u^{\prime}(0)=e^{i \psi} u^{\prime}(1), \psi \in R=(-\infty, \infty)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

From the trace theorem it follows that $W_{2, \psi}^{2}$ is a complete Hilbert space [13, p.41]. Note that for $\psi=2 \pi k(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$ we obtain a subspace of periodic functions, while for $\psi=\pi(2 k+1)(k=0,1,2, \ldots)$ we obtain a space of anti periodic functions.

Consider in $H$ the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
L(d / d t) u(t)=-u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\rho(t) A^{2} u(t)+\left(A_{1}+K_{1}\right) u^{\prime}(t)+ \\
+\left(A_{2}+K_{2}\right) u(t)=f(t) t \in(0,1)  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=e^{i \phi} u(1), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=e^{i \phi} u^{\prime}(1) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the operator coefficients of the equation (1.1) satisfy the conditions:

1) $A$ is a positive-definite self-adjoint operator with a completely continuous operator in $H$, whose set of spectra is contained in the angular sector

$$
S_{\varepsilon}=\{\lambda: \mid \arg \lambda<\varepsilon, 0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \pi / 4\}
$$

2) $\rho(t)$ is a scalar function defined in $(0,1)$, measurable and bounded, moreover $0<\alpha \leq \rho(t) \leq \beta<\infty$, where $\alpha, \beta \in R=(-\infty, \infty)$;
3) The operators $B_{1}=A_{1} A^{-1}$ and $B_{2}=A_{2} A^{-2}$ are bounded in $H$;
4) The operators $T_{1}=K_{1} A^{-1}$ and $T_{2}=K_{2} A^{-2}$ are completely continuous operators in $H$.

Note that subject to the conditions 1), the operator $A$ has an orthonormal basis system in $H$, i.e. $A e_{k}=\lambda_{k} e_{k} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots) 0<\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leq\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \leq \ldots \leq\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<\ldots$ moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(e_{k}, e_{j}\right)=\delta_{k, j} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, k \neq j \\
1, k=j
\end{array} \text { and } \lambda_{k}=\left|\lambda_{k}\right| e^{i \varphi_{k}}, \varphi_{k} \in S_{\varepsilon}, k=1,2, \ldots\right. \\
A(\cdot) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}\left(\cdot, e_{k}\right) e_{k}, \\
C(\cdot) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|\left(\cdot, e_{k}\right) e_{k}, \\
U(\cdot) & =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{i \varphi_{k}}\left(\cdot, e_{k}\right) e_{k}, \quad \varphi_{k} \in S_{\varepsilon}, k=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows, we will use the theorems on intermediate derivatives and from the trace theorem [13, p. 31, p. 41 ], i.e.

1. if $u \in W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)$, then $C u^{\prime} \in L_{2}((0,1): H)$ and

$$
\left\|C u^{\prime}\right\| \leq \mathrm{const}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)},
$$

2. if $u(t) \in W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)$, then for any $t_{0} \in[0,1] u\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ these exists $u\left(t_{0}\right) \in H_{3 / 2}, u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \in H_{1 / 2}$ and we have the inequality

$$
\left\|u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{3 / 2} \leq \text { const }\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)} 0 \leq t_{0} \leq 1
$$

and

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{1 / 2} \leq \text { const }\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}
$$

Lemma 1.1. Let condtions 1) and 2) be satisfied. Then for any

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \leq \frac{1}{\min \left(\alpha^{2}, \beta^{2}\right)}\left\|P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)}, \\
& \quad\left\|A \frac{d u}{d t}\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \leq \frac{1}{2 \min (\alpha, \beta)}\left\|P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0, T): H)} \text {. For proof [15]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.2. If for $f(t) \in L_{2}((0,1): H)$ there exist $u(t) \in W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)$, then $u(t)$ is called a regular solution of the equation (1.1)
Definition 1.3. If for any $f(t) \in L_{2}((0,1): H)$ there exists a regular solution of equation (1.1) that satisfies the boundary conditions (1.2) in the sense of convergence

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|u(t)-e^{i \psi} u(1-t)\right\|_{3 / 2}=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow+0}\left\|u^{\prime}(t)-e^{i \psi} u^{\prime}(1-t)\right\|_{1 / 2}=0
$$

and we have the estimates

$$
\|u(t)\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \operatorname{const}\|f\|_{L_{2((0,1): H)}}
$$

then problem (1.1) -(1.2) is called regularly solvable.
Denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=\mathrm{P} u+T u, u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P u=-u^{\prime \prime}+\rho(t) A^{2} u+A_{1} u^{\prime}+A_{2} u, \quad u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K u=K_{1} u^{\prime}+K_{2} u, \quad u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.4. If the operator $L$ mapping $u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ into $L_{2}((0,1): H)$ is Fredholm, we say that problem (1.1),(1.2) is Fredholm solvable.

## 2. Some results.

Thus, the set of spectra of the operator $A$ is contained in the spectrum $S_{\varepsilon}$, i.e. there exists bounded spectra $e^{-A t}(t \geq 0)$ generated by the operator $A$

We have:
Lemma 2.1. For $\varphi \in H_{3 / 2}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{-t A} \varphi\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos \varepsilon}}\|\varphi\|_{3 / 2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\varphi \in H_{3 / 2}$, then $C^{3 / 2} \varphi=x \in H$. Then

$$
\left\|e^{-t A} \varphi\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=\left\|A^{2} e^{-t A} \varphi\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}+\left\|C^{2} e^{-t A} \varphi\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}
$$

Since for $y \in D\left(A^{2}\right), \mid A^{2} x\|=\| C^{2} x \|$, then

$$
\left\|e^{-t A} \varphi\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=2\left\|C^{2} e^{-t A} \varphi\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=2\left\|C^{1 / 2} e^{-t A} x\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}
$$
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Using spectral expansion of the operators $A$ and $C$, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|C^{1 / 2} e^{-t A} x\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}= \\
=\int_{0}^{1}\left(C^{1 / 2} e^{-t A} x, C^{1 / 2} e^{-t A} x\right) d t=\int_{0}^{1}\left(C e^{-t\left(A+A^{*}\right)} x, x\right) d t= \\
=\int_{0}^{1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right| e^{-2 t\left|\lambda_{k}\right| R e \varphi_{k}} \mid\left(x,\left.e_{k}\right|^{2} d t=\right. \\
=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \left\lvert\,\left(x,\left.\left.e_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{1}{2\left|\lambda_{k}\right| \operatorname{Re\varphi _{k}}} e^{-2\left|\lambda_{K}\right| \cos \varphi_{k} t}\right|_{0} ^{1} \leq\right.\right. \\
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\left(x, e_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \frac{1}{2 \cos \varepsilon}\left(1-e^{-2\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \cos \varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 \cos \varepsilon}\|x\|^{2}= \\
=\frac{1}{2 \cos \varepsilon}\left\|C^{3 / 2} \varphi\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \cos \varepsilon}\|\varphi\|_{3 / 2}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
\left\|e^{-t A} \varphi\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \leq 2 \frac{1}{2 \cos \varepsilon}\|\varphi\|_{3 / 2}^{2}=\frac{1}{\cos \varepsilon}\|\varphi\|_{3 / 2}^{2}
$$

The lemma is proved .
Lemma 2.2. Let $x \in D(A)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(A^{*} x, A x\right) \geq \cos 2 \varepsilon\|C x\|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From spectral expansion of the operator Ait follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(A^{*} x, A x\right)= & \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_{k}\left(x, e_{k}\right) e_{k}, \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{p}\left(x, e_{p}\right) e_{p}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_{k}\left(x, e_{k}\right)\left(e_{k}, \lambda_{k}\left(x, e_{k}\right) e_{k}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_{k}^{2}\left|\left(x, e_{k}\right)\right|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2} e^{-2 \varphi_{k}}\left|\left(x, e_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \quad, \quad \varphi_{k} \in S_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\operatorname{Re}\left(A^{*} x, A x\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{2} \operatorname{Re}^{-2 \varphi_{k}}\left|x, e_{k}\right|^{2} \geq \cos 2 \varepsilon\|C x\|^{2}$
The lemma is proved.
The operator $P: W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H) \rightarrow L_{2}\left(R_{+} ; H\right)$ determined by the equality (1.4) are represented in the form

$$
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{P}_{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{0} u=-u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\rho(t) A^{2} u(t), \quad u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)  \tag{2.3}\\
P_{1} u=A_{1} u^{\prime}+A_{2} u, \quad u \in W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H) \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have

Theorem 2.3. Let conditions 1) and 2) be fulfilled. Then for any $u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1)$ : H) we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq d_{1}(\varepsilon)\left\|P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq d_{0}(\varepsilon)\left\|P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
d_{1}(\varepsilon)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\cos \varepsilon}, \quad d_{0}=\frac{1}{\alpha} .
$$

Proof. Obviously,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=\left\|-\rho^{-1 / 2} u^{\prime \prime}+\rho^{1 / 2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=\left\|-\rho^{-1 / 2} u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}+ \\
+\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}-2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\rho^{-1 / 2} u^{\prime \prime}, \rho^{1 / 2} A^{2} u\right)=\| \rho^{-1 / 2 u^{\prime \prime} \|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}+} \\
+\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}-\operatorname{Re}\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A^{2} u\right)_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, integrating by parts and considering $u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1)$ : $H), u(0)=e^{i \psi} u(1), u^{\prime}(0)=e^{i \psi} u^{\prime}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(u^{\prime \prime}, A^{2} u\right)_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}=\int_{0}^{1}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(t), A^{2} u(t)\right)_{H} d t=\int_{0}^{1}\left(u^{\prime \prime}(t), U^{2} C^{2} u(t)\right)_{H} d t= \\
\left.=\left.\left(C^{1 / 2} u^{\prime}(t), U^{2} C^{3 /} u(1)\right)\right|_{0} ^{1}-\int_{0}^{1} U^{*} C u^{\prime}(t), U C u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t= \\
=\left(C^{1 / 2} u^{\prime}(1), U^{2} C^{3 / 2} u(1)\right)- \\
\quad-\left(C^{1 / 2} u^{\prime}(0), U^{2} C^{3 / 2} u(0)\right)-\left(A^{*} u^{\prime}(t), A u^{\prime}(t)\right) d t \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $u(0)=e^{i \psi} u(1), u^{\prime}(0)=e^{i \psi} u^{\prime}(1)$, then

$$
\left.C^{1 / 2} u^{\prime}(1), U^{2} C^{3 / 2} u(1)\right)-\left(C^{1 / 2} e^{i \psi} u^{\prime}(1), U^{2} C^{3 / 2} e^{-i \psi} u(1)\right)=0
$$

Then it follows from the equality (2.8) that

$$
-\left(R e u^{\prime \prime}, A^{2} u\right)=\left(A^{*} u^{\prime}(t), A u(t)\right)
$$

Applying the inequality (2.2) from lemma (2.2) from the equality (2.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{P}_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \geq\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}+ \\
& +\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}+2 \cos 2 \varepsilon\left\|C u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(R_{+}: H\right)}^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from inequality (2.9) that

$$
\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \leq\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} \rho^{-1 / 2} A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \leq \\
\leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\left\|P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e.

$$
\left\|A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|P_{0} u\right\| \|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}
$$

Inequality (2.6) is proved. We now prove inequality (2.5)
Obviously, for $u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ integrating by parts, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=\left\|C u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=\left(C u^{\prime}, C u^{\prime}\right)_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}= \\
& =-\left(u^{\prime \prime}, C^{2} u\right)_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq-\left(\rho^{-1 / 2} u^{\prime \prime}, \rho^{1 / 2} C^{2} u\right)_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}+\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} C^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right. \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using inequality (2.9) in inequality (2.10), we obtain:

$$
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}-2 \cos 2 \varepsilon\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right)
$$

or

$$
(1+\cos 2 \varepsilon)\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right.
$$

i.e.

$$
2 \cos ^{2} \varepsilon\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{P}_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right.
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{4 \cos ^{2} \varepsilon}\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{P}_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \frac{1}{2 \cos \varepsilon}\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{P}_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \frac{1}{2 \cos \varepsilon} \frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|\mathrm{P}_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (2.5) is also proved.
Considering the operator $P_{0}$ in $L_{2}\left((0,1): H\right.$ with domain of definition $D\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}\right)=$ $W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ we obtain that the adjoint

$$
\mathrm{P}_{0}{ }^{*} u=-u^{\prime \prime}+\rho(t) A^{*} u
$$

has the domain of definition $W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ and $A$ and $A^{*}$ have the same properties, we obtain the corollary.

Corollary 2.4. For $u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{*} u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq d_{1}(\varepsilon)\left\|L^{*} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{* 2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq d_{0}(\varepsilon)\left\|L^{*} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Main results

There we show the conditions for regular and Fredholm solvability of problem (1), (2).

Theorem 3.1. The operator $L_{0}$ isomorphically maps the space $W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ onto the space $L_{2}((0,1)$ : $H$

Proof. From the inequalities (2.5) and from (2.13) it follows $\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{P}_{0}=\{0\}$ and $\operatorname{Ker} \mathrm{P}_{0}^{*}=\{0\}$.

Indeed, if $P u=0$, then from inequality (2.5) it follows that $A^{2} u=0$, i.e. $u=0$ since $\operatorname{Ker} P_{0}^{*}=\{0\}$, then $\operatorname{Im} P$ is everywhere dense in $L_{2}(R: H)$. On the other hand, for $u \in D(P)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}=\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \\
\left.\leq \beta^{1 / 2} P_{0} u \|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right) \leq \beta^{1 / 2} 2\left(\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right)+ \\
\left.+\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right) \leq \mathrm{const}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. $P_{0}$ is a continuous operator . On the other hand,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \geq\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} u^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}+\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right) \geq \\
\geq \text { const }\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} \geq \text { const }\|u\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathrm{P}_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}=\left\|\rho^{1 / 2} \rho^{-1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \geq \\
& \left.\geq \alpha\left\|\rho^{-1 / 2} P_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}\right) \geq \mathrm{const} \|\left. u\right|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, there exists $P_{0}^{-1}$ and it is bounded.
The theorem is proved.
Theorem 3.2. Let conditions 1)-4) be fulfilled and we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\cos \varepsilon}\left\|B_{1}+T_{1}\right\| \frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|B_{2}+T_{2}\right\|<1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problem (1.1)-(1.2) is regularly solvable.
Proof. We write the problem (1.1)-(1.2) the form of the equation, $L u=f$, where $u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H), f \in L_{2}\left((0,1): H\right.$ while $L u=\mathrm{P}_{0} u+\mathrm{P}_{1} u+K u$, where $P_{0} u=-u^{\prime \prime}+\rho(t) u, P_{1} u=A_{1} u^{\prime}+A_{2} u, K u=K_{1} u^{\prime}+K_{2} u$.

Since the operator implements isomorphism between the spaces $W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ and $L_{2}\left((0,1): H\right.$, then for any $w \in L_{2}\left((0,1): H\right.$ there exists, $u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$, where $L u=w$. Then from the equation $L u=f$ we obtain the equation

$$
w+\left(P_{1} P_{0}^{-1}+K P_{0}^{-1}\right) w=f
$$

In the space $L_{2}\left((0,1): H\right.$, we estimate the norms of the operator $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{0}^{-1}+K \mathrm{P}_{0}^{-1}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{0}^{-1}+K \mathrm{P}_{0}^{-1}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}=\left\|\mathrm{P}_{1} u+K u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \\
\leq\left\|\left(A_{1}+K_{1}\right) A^{-1} A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}+\left\|\left(A_{2}+K_{2}\right) A^{-2} A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \\
\leq\left\|B_{1}+T_{1}\right\|\left\|A u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}+\left\|B_{2}+T_{21}\right\|\left\|A^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Considering the of inequalities (2.11) and (2.12) in inequality (3.2), we obtain.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{0}^{-1}+K \mathrm{P}_{0}^{-1} w\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \|\left(\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\cos \varepsilon} \| B_{1}+\right. \\
& \left.+T_{1}\left\|\frac{1}{\alpha}\right\| B_{2}+T_{2} \|\right)\left\|\mathrm{P}_{0} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}=q\|w\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $q<1$, the operator $E+\left(P_{1}+K\right) P_{0}^{-1}$ is invertible in the space $L_{2}((0,1): H$, then

$$
w=\left(E+\left(P_{1}+K\right) \mathrm{P}_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} f
$$

while

$$
u=P_{0}^{-1}\left(E+\left(P_{1}+K\right) P_{0}^{-1}\right) f
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \operatorname{cons}\|f\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}
$$

The theorem is proved.
Note that when proving the theorem, wedid not use complete continuity of the operators $T_{1}=K_{1} A^{-1}$ and $T_{2}^{\prime}=K_{2} A^{-2}$, we used their boundedness in $H$.

Corollary 3.3. If the conditions 1)-3) are fulfilled, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\cos \varepsilon}\left\|B_{1}\right\| \frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|B_{2}\right\|<1 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{j}=A_{j} A^{-j} \quad(j=0,2)$, the problem (1.1), (1.2) is regularly solvable for $T_{1}=0, T_{2}=0$.

We now prove a theorem on Fredholm solvability of problem (1.1)-(1.2).
Theorem 3.4. Let the conditions 1)-4) and inequality (3.3) be fulfilled. Then problem (1.1)-(1.2) is Fredholm solvable.

Proof. It suffices to prove the operator $L=P+K$ is a Fredholm operator, where the operators $P K$ and are determined from the equalities (1.4) and (1.5).

Corollary 3.1 yields that the operator $P$ isomorphically maps the space $W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H)$ onto the space $L_{2}((0,1): H$. At first we show that for rather small $\varepsilon>0$ the following inequality is fulfilled.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|K u\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}+\eta(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
K u=K_{1} u^{\prime}+K_{2} u=K_{1} A^{-1} u^{\prime}+K_{2} A^{-2} u=T_{1} A u^{\prime}+T_{2} A^{2} u,
$$

where $T_{1} 8 T_{2}$ are completely continuous operators in $H$. Therefore, they can be represented in the form of a finite-dimensional operator of the poles of the operators with rather small norms: i.e.

$$
K_{1}=S_{1}+F_{1}, K_{2}=S_{2}+F_{2}
$$

moreover $S_{1}(\cdot)=\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\cdot, \varphi_{k}^{(1)}\right) \psi_{k}^{1}, S_{2}(\cdot)=\sum_{j=1}^{p}\left(\cdot, \varphi_{k}^{(1)} \psi_{k}^{(1)}, \varphi_{k}^{1}, \psi_{k}^{1}, \varphi_{j}^{2}, \psi_{j}^{2} \in H\right.$, $(k=1, \ldots, m, j=1, . ., p)$, a $\left\|F_{1}\right\|<\varepsilon$ and $\left\|F_{2}\right\|<\varepsilon$. Then obviously it follows from the theorem on intermediate derivatives, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|F_{1}\left(C u^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon\left\|C u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}^{2}, \\
& \left\|F_{2}\left(C^{2} u\right)\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon\left\|C^{2} u\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we must prove the inequality (3.4) for the operators $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Since $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ is the sum of the finite number of finite -dimensional operators of the form $T_{0}(\cdot)=(\cdot, \varphi) \psi, \varphi, \psi \in H$, we prove inequality (3.4) for the operators $T_{0}$ Since

$$
\varphi=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\varphi, e_{k}\right) e_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{N}(\cdot, \varphi) e_{k}+\sum_{N+1}^{\infty}(\cdot, \varphi) e_{k}
$$

we choose $N$ rather large so that $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\cdot, \varphi) e_{k} \|<\varepsilon$. Thus

$$
\varphi=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(\varphi, e_{k}\right)+\tilde{\varphi},\|\tilde{\varphi}\|<\varepsilon
$$

Then, obviously it follows from the theorem on intermediate derivatives that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\| A u^{\prime}, \tilde{\varphi}\right) \psi\left\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq\right\| A u^{\prime}\left\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}\right\| \tilde{\varphi}\| \| \psi \| \leq \\
\leq\left\|C u^{\prime}\right\|\|\tilde{\varphi}\|\|\psi\| \leq \varepsilon_{1}\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

In a similar way, we have

$$
\left.\| A^{2} u, \tilde{\varphi}\right) \psi\left\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon_{1}\right\| u \|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\left\|S_{1}\left(A u^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}=\sum_{k=1}^{h}\left(\left(A u^{\prime}, e_{k}\right) e_{k}, e_{k}\right) \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}= \\
\left.=\| \sum_{k=1}^{h}\left(\left(u^{\prime}, \bar{\lambda}_{k} e_{k}\right) e_{k}, e_{k}\right) \psi\right)_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq\left|\lambda_{N}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{h}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}\|\psi\|
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $A^{-1}$ is a completely continuous operator, then the imbedding $W_{2}^{2}((0,1)$ : $H) \rightarrow W_{2}^{1}((0,1): H) \rightarrow L_{2}((0,1): H)$ is compact in finite interval $(0,1)$, applying theorem (16.4 p. 126 from the book [13] we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{1}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}+\eta(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}+\eta(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{1}\left(A u^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}+\eta(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{2}\left(A^{2} u\right)\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}+\eta(\varepsilon)\|u\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the inequalities (3.4)-(3.7) that for $\varepsilon>0$ the inequality (3.4) is valid. We now prove that the operator $T$ is a compact operator acting from $W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H), L_{2}((0,1): H)$. Let $M>0$ while

$$
Q_{M}=\left\{u: u \in W_{2, \psi}^{2}((0,1): H),\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)} \leq M\right\}
$$

Since the imbeddings $W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H) \rightarrow L_{2}((0,1): H)$, then there exists such a sequence
$u_{n} \in Q_{M}\left(\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)} \leq M\right)$ that $u_{n}$ converges in $L_{2}((0,1): H)$. Then, using inequality (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|K u_{n}-K u_{m}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \varepsilon\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}+\eta(\varepsilon)\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \\
\leq \varepsilon\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}+\left\|u_{m}\right\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)}+\eta(\varepsilon)\right)\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \\
\leq \mid 2 \varepsilon M+\eta(\varepsilon)\left\|u_{n}-u_{m}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, choosing rather large $n$ and $m$, we obtain

$$
\left\|K u_{n}-K u_{m}\right\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \delta
$$

where $\delta$ is a rather small number. Thus, the operator $K$ is a compact operator acting from $W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)$ to the space $L_{2}((0,1): H)$

On the other hand,

$$
L u=P u+K u=P\left(E+P^{-1} K\right) u
$$

the operator $E+P^{-1} K$ is a Fredholm operator, the operator $P$ isomorphic, the operator $L$ is a Fredholm operator and for solving the equation $L u=f$ be have the estimates

$$
\|u\|_{W_{2}^{2}((0,1): H)} \leq \text { const }\|f\|_{L_{2}((0,1): H)}
$$

The theorem is proved.
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