Multimodal Medical Image Fusion based on Hilbert Transform

Maruturi Haribabu, [2]Ch. Hima Bindu

[1]Assistant Professor, [2]Professor

[1,2]Department of ECE, QIS College of Engineering & Technology,

Ongole, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Abstract- The process of clinical image combination involves combining at least two clinical images, such asan MRI and a PET scan, and planning them into a single image to create a composite image. Our review's motivation is to aid physicians in continuously diagnosing and treating patients. We combined information images using Hilbert transformations (2-D HT) and Hue Saturation Value (HSV) techniques. The ideal presentation of the suggested technique is to preserving both spatial and spectral information. The main goal of clinical image combination, it can be assumed that our suggested method can do the same based on the mathematical results of assessment measurements like mean, standard deviation, average gradient, spatial frequency, modified spatial frequency, mutual information as well as desired recreated results.

Index Terms: 2-D HT, PET, MRI, and HSV.

I. INTRODUCTION

The term "image fusion" describes the act of combining many pictures into one. Image fusion's primary goal is to keep any and all useful data from the source photos. When talking about picture fusion, medical image fusion is among the most crucial sub-categories. The term "fused picture" refers to the final product of multi-modal medical image fusion, which consists of information from many input medical images, each of which was obtained of a different part of the body. The combined picture has more diagnostic value than the individual medical images utilized to create it. Today's medical professionals rely heavily on imaging tools to aid in the prevention, detection, and management of illness. The need for medical image fusion originates from the fact that individual medical imaging modalities do not provide enough information about the organ under investigation. The MRI, which use a very strong magnetic source and radio waves, are one kind of medical imaging that stands out from others in terms of its unique characteristics. Soft tissues including the heart, brain, lungs, and livers may be seen in such pictures, providing important diagnostic information. MRI imaging systems provide a number of significant benefits, the most notable ones being their ability to produce high-resolution pictures without causing any harm to the patient and their lack of reliance on ionizing radiation. It also gives details on the internal anatomy of several organs. However, positron emission is at the heart of what we see in PET scans. In addition to providing anatomical data, this imaging technology may also reveal the metabolic activities of individual tissues. Because of this quality, doctors can now detect illness and tumour progression at earlier stages. We require a specialized fusion approach to combine PET and MRI images to provide a single fused picture with both spatial and spectral meaningful information [1, 2].

II. RELATED WORK

Pixel-level fusion, feature-level fusion, and decision-level fusion are the typical three levels used by medical picture fusion systems. In this article, we aim to combine PET and MRI scans at the pixel level. Computer vision, pattern recognition, and machine learning are just few of the many subfields that fall within medical image fusion. The Brovey Transform (BT), Intensity Hue Saturation (IHS), and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) are just a few of the numerous picture approaches used as the foundation for standard image fusion methods. This is a standard practice for merging RGB photos together. The aforementioned methods of picture fusion all have their own set of benefits and drawbacks. While the fused image's spatial resolution may be improved using these approaches, its inability to maintain the original colors in the input photos is their principal downside. Image fusion so often employs transform domain methods. Some examples of these transformation strategies are the discrete wavelet transform (DWT), the curvelet transform (CVT), and the contourlet transform (CT). Gradient pyramids, Gaussian pyramids, FSD pyramids, and Laplacian pyramids are all examples of pyramid approaches that may be used for picture fusion. Both the FSD pyramid methodology and the Gradient pyramid are contrasted with our suggested method for greater clarity. If you're looking for a computationally efficient variant of the Gaussian

International Journal of Applied Engineering Research

pyramid, the FSD pyramid approach is a good bet. While the Laplacian pyramid approach to fusion is conceptually similar to FSD pyramid, the latter omits the up sampling stage. As a result of the issues outlined above, we choose to employ the FSD pyramid method instead of the Laplacian pyramid method. The Gradient Pyramid Technique is yet another approach of combining pyramids. Using a combination of horizontal, vertical, and two diagonal filters, the performance of a Gradient pyramid may be improved. In this part, we will provide a short explanation of the Hilbert transform (HT) and the HSV technique, which together make up our suggested approach [1-5].

III. METHODOLOGY

One of the most significant and beneficial changes in signal handling is the Hilbert Transform (HT) [6]. This result implies that if the incoming signal cosine capability after determining its Hilbert Transform, and we'll be able to do sine. The implementation of Hilbert Transform (HT) as follows:

1. Applying the Fourier Transform to each individual information signal

2. Taking steps to reduce negative frequencies

3. Using the reverse Fourier transform to obtain the capacity for complicated structures component of the signal is a controlled Analytic Signal (AS) and imaginative component Information signal's HT, or Hilbert Transform.

IV. PROPOSED METHOD

This algorithm is summarized as follows:
1. Take two input images.
2. Using RGB to HSV algorithm to convert the color PET/SPECT image from RGB space to HSV space.
3. Separating the intensity component (V) of PET image in HSV domain. Hue (H) and saturation (S) of PET/SPECT image in HSV space are kept the same.
4. Applying 2-D HT for intensity component (V) of PET/SPECT image and MRI image.
5. In this paper we use maximum fusion rule to fuse PET/SPECT and MRI images based on combination of 2-D HT and HSV method.
6. Apply Inverse HT and perform HSV to RGB to obtain fused image.

V. RESULTS

Any fusion algorithm's goal is to combine the necessary data from the two source images into the final image. It is not possible to evaluate a fused image solely by looking at the fused image or by examining fusion metrics. This should evaluate it in objectively and qualitatively utilizing visual displays hybrid metrics. We give visual quality and quantitative analysis of several methods in this part.

MRI IMAGE   PET IMAGE   FUSED IMAGE

MRI image   PET image   Fused image

International Journal of Applied Engineering Research

MRI IMAGE   SPET IMAGE   FUSED IMAGE

Fig 1. Fused images for MRI-PET and MRI-SPECT images

TABLE 1: FOR EVALUATING PARAMETERS.

Datasets	Fusionmetrics					
	MEAN	*STD*	*AG*	*SF*	*MSF*	*MI*
MRI-PET	22.4029	35.2851	4.9226	14.8175	32.0899	4.5475
MRI-PET	18.0137	42.0856	6.9014	28.3248	57.9962	3.0452
MRI-SPECT	48.8759	65.3509	7.4158	24.9739	52.9676	3.5520

VI. CONCLUSION

In this research, we fused MRI and PET/SPECT images using a method that combines the HSV technique with 2-D HT. Table 1 contains the values for the quantitative evaluation criteria, and Fig. 1 displays the simulated results. Different measures of our suggested method's ability to maintain spectral properties and ideal performance are used to demonstrate its superiority..

REFERENCES

[1] JAMES AP, DASARATHY BV. MEDICAL IMAGE FUSION: A SURVEY OF THE STATE OF THE ART. INF FUSION 2014;19:4E19.

[2] SHEN R,CHENG I, BASU A.CROSS-SCALE COEFFICIENTS ELECTION FOR VOLUMETRIC MEDICAL IMAGE FUSION. IEEE TRANS BIOMED ENG 2013;60:1069E79.

[3] PIELLA G. A GENERAL FRAMEWORK FOR MULTIRESOLUTION IMAGE FUSION: FROM PIXELS TO REGIONS. INF FUSION2003;4:259E80.

[4] CALHOUN VD, ADAL T. FEATURE-BASED FUSION OF MEDICAL IMAGING DATA. IEEE TRANS INF TECHNOL BIOMED2009;13:711E20.

[5] MADABHUSHI A, AGNERS, BASAVANHALLY A,DOYLE S,LEE G. COMPUTER-AIDED PROGNOSIS: PREDICTING PATIENT AND DISEASE OUTCOME VIA QUANTITATIVE FUSION OF MULTI-SCALE, MULTI-MODAL DATA. COMPUT MED IMAGING GRAPH 2011;35:506E14.

[6] SINGH A. SURVEY PAPER ON HILBERT TRANSFORM WITH ITS APPLICATIONS IN SIGNAL PROCESSING. INT J COMPUT SCI INFTECHNOL2014;5:3880E2